December 3, 2009

The Honorable Raymond E. Mabus Jr.
Secretary of the Navy
1000 Navy Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350-1000

Dear Secretary Mabus:

I was concerned to see recent press reports that the Navy’s next shipbuilding plan could include a reduction in attack submarine production. I refer to press reports that the Navy may be considering reducing by one the number of submarines it plans to acquire between 2011 and 2015. As you are aware, this is the period covered in the shipbuilding plan to be transmitted to Congress with the FY 2011 budget request in February.

In the interest of national security, Congress made a commitment and provided the resources for production of two submarines per year, starting in FY 2011. To draw back from this commitment would harm our nation’s ability to meet the increasing demands on our current and future submarine force as they are already unable to meet all of the “critical mission” requests from operational commanders. It would also be a setback for the submarine industrial base that has performed so well, and continues to set the standard in integrating the highest quality and affordability in major defense procurements.

If these press reports are accurate, I urge you to carefully reconsider this notion and its catastrophic consequences. If these press reports are not accurate, I hope you will set the record straight to prevent such reckless speculation about the Navy’s commitment in this matter.

I hope we will soon have another opportunity to meet again in a future visit to Submarine Base New London.

Sincerely,

M. Jodi Rell
Governor

cc: Senators Dodd and Lieberman
Representatives Larson, Courtney, DeLauro, Himes, Murphy
Robert T. Ross, Connecticut Office of Military Affairs
December 4, 2009

The Honorable Robert M. Gates
Secretary of Defense
Department of Defense
1000 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-1000

The Honorable Ray Mabus
Secretary
US Department of the Navy
1000 Navy Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350-1000

Admiral Gary Roughhead, USN
Chief of Naval Operations
United States Navy
2000 Navy Pentagon
Washington, DC 20350

Dear Secretary Gates, Secretary Mabus and Admiral Roughhead:

In recent weeks, there have been media reports suggesting that the shipbuilding plan, to be submitted with the Fiscal Year 2011 budget, could include a reduction in attack submarine procurement. We write today in strong opposition to such a plan.

As you know, last year the Department of Defense and the Navy reached an important milestone when it signed a $14 billion, five year multi-year procurement (MYP) contract with General Dynamics Electric Boat, and their partner Northrop Grumman Shipbuilding, for the procurement of eight Virginia-class submarines between 2009 and 2013 -- including the increase to two a year starting in 2011. This “Block III” contract capped a two year effort to accelerate the increased procurement of new Virginia-class submarines from 2012 to 2011. This was an important step towards ensuring that the submarine force has the resources it needs to accomplish its diverse array of missions, and protect the security of our nation.

Yet, based on the Navy’s own stated force requirements in the FY2009 shipbuilding plan - the last one submitted to Congress - our nation will still have fewer than the 48 submarines we need for a 12 year period between 2022 and 2033. Further, in 2008, the attack submarine force was only able to meet a total of 49 percent of all missions requested of it - and it will be asked to continue to “do more with less” as the demand for their unique stealth and intelligence capabilities increases while force levels decline.

That is why we are concerned that the Department of Defense may be considering a potential one-boat reduction in the number of submarines it plans to procure between 2011 and 2015, the period covered by the next shipbuilding plan to be submitted to Congress with the FY2011 budget request. If these reports are accurate, it would represent a significant step backwards from clear Congressional support for a sustained two a year attack submarine build rate, as well as from
clearly identified needs within the submarine force and our domestic industrial base.

As such, we hope you will reconsider any proposals to reduce the submarine build rate during this period and fully support a sustained two-a-year procurement rate beginning in FY2011. We believe that such a policy is in interest of our long term submarine force structure requirements, our industrial base and our nation.

We look forward to your response. As always, thank you for your service to our nation and dedication to the men and women of our Armed Forces.

Sincerely,

JOE COURTNEY
Member of Congress

ROBERT J. WITTMAN
Member of Congress

J. RANDY FORBES
Member of Congress

JAMES R. LANGEVIN
Member of Congress

GENE TAYLOR
Member of Congress

W. TODD AKIN
Member of Congress

ROScoe G. BARTLETT
Member of Congress

TIM HOLDEN
Member of Congress

CAROL SHEA-PORTER
Member of Congress

JOHN B. LARSON
Member of Congress
JOHN ABNEY CULBERSON  
Member of Congress

CORNELL BROWN  
Member of Congress

FRANK R. WOLF  
Member of Congress

RICK BOUCHER  
Member of Congress

TIM MURPHY  
Member of Congress

JAMES A. HIMES  
Member of Congress

JOHN A. BOCCIERI  
Member of Congress

THOMAS S. P. PERIELLO  
Member of Congress

JAMES P. MORAN  
Member of Congress

BOB GOODLATTE  
Member of Congress

PAUL TONKO  
Member of Congress

BETTY SUTTON  
Member of Congress