VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

December 16, 2015

John Morissette, Project Manager – Transmission Siting CT
Eversource Energy
56 Prospect Street
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, CT 06103

RE: PETITION NO. 1201 – Eversource Energy petition for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the proposed reconductoring of approximately 1.75 miles of its existing No. 1810 115-kV transmission line from Forestville Junction in Southington to Lake Avenue Junction in Bristol, rebuilding and reconductoring of approximately 1.85 miles of its existing Nos. 1800 and 1810 115-kV transmission lines from Southington Substation in Southington to Structure No. 1815 in Southington, reinforcement of an existing transmission structure at Southington Substation in Southington, and related substation and transmission line structure improvements.

Dear Mr. Morissette:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than January 6, 2016. To help expedite the Council’s review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

Melanie A. Bachman
Acting Executive Director

MB/MP/Im

c: Council Members
Petition No. 1201
Eversource
Southington and Bristol
Interrogatories – Set 1

1. Did Eversource Energy (Eversource) provide notice to all property owners abutting the Southington Substation?

2. On page 4 of Eversource’s Petition (Petition) dated November 25, 2015, Eversource notes that the tallest proposed structure would be 120 feet. Where would this structure be located? Provide the structure number and its corresponding sheet number.

3. Page 4 of the Petition notes that ten feet of vegetation/tree clearing would be performed on the western portion of the right of way (ROW). Would this clearing be performed for the entire 1.85 miles (to the extent that a western vegetative buffer exists for the entire distance)?

4. Under Attachment B of the Petition, Sheet 1 indicates that two potential vernal pools are located approximately 350 feet and 500 feet, respectively, from structure #1860. Depict the approximate locations of the potential vernal pools relative to structure #1860 on a drawing.

5. On Sheet 10, would the proposed 18-foot wide bridge to cross Wetland 12 be permanent?