

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:58 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: Protect our precious watersheds--stop Tilcon

---

**From:** Guthrie Sayen [guthrie@BridgetotheDivine.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 5, 2018 10:21 AM  
**To:** Wagener, Karl  
**Subject:** Protect our precious watersheds--stop Tilcon

Dear Mr. Wagener,

I urge you to protect our precious watersheds and reject the Tilcon proposal to buy access to Class I and Class II watersheds in New Britain. Clean water is an asset that is only getting more valuable. Short-term economic thinking is bad for long-term economic health. Water, like air, is vital to all life; it is a public trust; and it must be protected.

Thank you for your attention to this and for your service.

Blessings,

Guthrie Sayen  
27 Rundelane  
Bloomfield, CT 06002

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:59 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: Stop selling our watershed lands!

---

From: erica bloch [ericabloch@sbcglobal.net]  
Sent: Wednesday, April 4, 2018 11:14 PM  
To: Wagener, Karl  
Subject: Stop selling our watershed lands!

Dear Chairman Wagener,

Please listen to the needs of the Connecticut people and vote no to "giving / selling" our watershed lands to development companies. We are already losing people to down south, and I fear the more excuses we give people to flee and diminish our tax base - like impure waters - the more sorry a state Connecticut will be.

Sincerely,  
Erica Bloch

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** elizabeth.watts@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Elizabeth Watts  
<elizabeth.watts@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 07, 2018 8:57 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Mrs. Elizabeth Watts  
16 Starks Pl Lynbrook, NY 11563-4025  
elizabeth.watts@verizon.net

**From:** ehscott1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Elizabeth Scott <ehscott1@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 2:43 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Mrs Elizabeth Scott  
49 Tuttle Ct Bethany, CT 06524-3027  
ehscott1@comcast.net

**From:** lizraisbeck@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Elizabeth Raisbeck  
<lizraisbeck@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 1:57 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

There is nothing more important to protect in our landscape than the quality of our drinking water, both for us and for future generations. Choosing a future of safe and clean drinking water over the profits of a mining company is a no brainer. I am counting on our state officials to see the truth of this as you contemplate a proposal by Tilcon mining company to destroy over 100 acres of drinking water watershed.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.


Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Ms Elizabeth Raisbeck  
81 Main St Groton, CT 06340-5702  
lizraisbeck@yahoo.com




---

**From:** Elizabeth Clark <clarkelizabeth0@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 12, 2018 7:14 AM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** tilcon expansion

Tilcon expansion in New Britain into protected water supply land is not good for CT. The impacts of strip mining rock from the property are total habitat loss with mortality of all species, and habitat degradation to adjacent areas of the proposed quarry limits. Also this impacts CT water supply and protections for everyone's water. Please do not let this occur.

Thank You,  
Elizabeth Clark



---

**From:** Wagener, Karl  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 9:36 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: Tilcon Proposal

---

From: Elizabeth Carlson [elizcarlson@comcast.net]  
Sent: Friday, April 6, 2018 3:12 PM  
To: Wagener, Karl  
Subject: Tilcon Proposal

I strongly urge you to reject this proposal. It is imperative that we protect our watersheds to ensure clean water for now and future generations.

Elizabeth Carlson  
Bloomfield CT

**From:** Nancy Alderman <nancy.alderman@ehhi.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 10, 2018 7:07 AM  
**To:** CT Water Planning Council; Wagener, Karl; Hearn, Peter  
**Cc:** rivers@riversalliance.org  
**Subject:** Tilcon Study - Study concerning 100 acres of Class I and Class II Watershed Lands

April 10, 2018

To the Water Planning Council and the CT Council on Environmental Quality (CT CEQ),

Environment and Human Health, Inc. writes to comment on the proposal of The Tilcon Connecticut Company wanting to expand its quarry into 100 acres of class I and II watershed lands.

It is Connecticut's watershed lands that filter Connecticut's drinking water and allows our state to maintain a healthy and potable water supply.

At a time when many towns, cities and states are facing water supplies that are tainted with heavy metals and toxic chemicals, it is even more important for Connecticut to protect its water supplies for its citizens.

People cannot remain healthy without clean air and clean water. Please do not allow industry take over our vital watershed property that is filtering our water supplies. We can live without the expansion of a company crushing stone -- the citizens of Connecticut cannot live without clean water. We ask that you not allow this unnecessary expansion by Tilcon Connecticut.

Thank you for your attention,  
Nancy Alderman, President  
Environment and Human Health, Inc  
North Haven, CT 06473

--

Nancy Alderman, President  
Environment and Human Health, Inc.  
1191 Ridge Road  
North Haven, CT 06473  
(phone) 203-248-6582  
(Fax) 203-288-7571  
<http://www.ehhi.org>  
<http://ehhijournal.org>  
<https://twitter.com/ehhi>



[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:03 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: "Protect Our Watersheds and Reject the Tilcon Proposal"

---

From: edpikaart@gmail.com [edpikaart@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, April 4, 2018 1:33 PM  
To: CT Water Planning Council; Wagener, Karl  
Subject: "Protect Our Watersheds and Reject the Tilcon Proposal"

"Protect Our Watersheds and Reject the Tilcon Proposal"

Peace and Blessings,

Ed

Edward H. Pikaart, Jr.  
Mobile and text: +1 203 645 2054<tel:+1%20203%20645%202054>

**From:** edwarddpickering@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Edward Pickering  
<edwarddpickering@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 10, 2018 5:41 AM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Mr. Edward Pickering  
13 Sullivan St Greenville, SC 29605-3930  
edwarddpickering@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** shirley.mccarthy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Shirley McCarthy  
<shirley.mccarthy@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 08, 2018 9:26 AM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Dr. Shirley McCarthy  
16 Rockland Park Branford, CT 06405-4778  
shirley.mccarthy@yale.edu

**From:** cornebg@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Nina Garrett  
<cornebg@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 3:32 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Dr. Nina Garrett  
4 Sea View Ave Old Saybrook, CT 06475-2035  
cornebg@gmail.com

**From:** supergrm@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Irene Murray  
<supergrm@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 3:03 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands. This land cannot be reclaimed once it is destroyed!

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Ms Irene Murray  
923 Sweetheart Path Southington, CT 06489-3492  
supergrm@sbcglobal.net

**From:** hlord01@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Henry Lord <hlord01@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 08, 2018 6:49 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Mr. Henry Lord  
313 Audubon Ct New Haven, CT 06510-1203  
hlord01@sprynet.com

**From:** janinawoelfin@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jan van Heurck  
<janinawoelfin@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 1:35 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water  
Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New Britain/Tilcon environmental study.

I strongly oppose the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Ms. Jan van Heurck  
PO Box 1215 Madison, CT 06443-1215  
janinawoelfin@gmail.com


**From:** emily <emilyviandier@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 12, 2018 3:06 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** Tilcon Study

CEQ,

I am opposed to allowing Tilcon to expand its New Britain quarry into protected water supply land. Keeping the sanctity of our lands and drinking water supersedes any allowance of furthering the mining company's profits. If Tilcon is permitted to expand into protected watershed areas, not only will this set a precedence, it will annihilate the environment around the reservoir. Having clean drinking water and keeping reservoirs free of pollutants should be of the utmost concern to all citizens across this country, and when a mine threatens a local water supply in Connecticut, the state and local towns should step in to protect its citizens. There was an environmental study done on this for Tilcon mine's expansion but why was it needed? It's beyond obvious what striping and devastating the land would do: habitat for animals would be lost, valuable topsoil would be washed away, whole forests would be clear cut, all resulting in complete destruction. If Connecticut values its residents and the land and water we all need to survive, Tilcon's mining operations should be halted before they cause irreversible damage to our environment and the people who live nearby.

Thank you,  
Jamila HadjSalem  
Stafford Springs, CT



  
**From:** vintagews@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of James Sirch  
<vintagews@everyactioncustom.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 06, 2018 2:29 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** PA 16-61 – AAC An Environmental Study on a Change in Use of New Britain Water Company Land

Dear CEQ Members,

I would like to express my concern over the Tilcon mining proposal to destroy over 100 acres of drinking watershed lands, which would set a dangerous precedent for the preservation of watershed lands throughout the state. These lands were taken away from citizens by eminent domain. It is not right for them to be used now to provide a sweet deal for corporations.

Under state law, the land proposed for the quarry operation is intended to be preserved in perpetuity. Class I and II watershed lands need to be protected and this proposal sets a dangerous precedent that puts all our drinking water in Connecticut at risk.

The environmental study states the expansion of the quarry will destroy critical watershed lands, eradicate habitat for reptiles and amphibians, drastically affect migrating and resident birds, and result in the loss of billions of gallons of clean naturally filtered water.

The precedent-setting destruction of Class I and II watershed lands, extensive damage to the environment, and lack of evidence substantiating the need for future reservoir capacity in the region are just a few of the many reasons this mining proposal should not go forward.

I urge you to uphold the strong protections of Class I and II watershed land as required by the Connecticut General Statutes.

Sincerely,  
Mrs. James Sirch  
204 W Todd St Hamden, CT 06518-1129  
vintagews@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:57 PM  
**To:** Hearn, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: Protect CT watersheds

---

From: Ivan Backer [ivanbacker29@gmail.com]  
Sent: Thursday, April 5, 2018 4:38 PM  
To: Wagener, Karl  
Subject: Protect CT watersheds

Dear Mr. Wagener,

The proposal that Tilcon be allowed to expand its gravel mining operation to an additional area consisting of more than a 100 acres threatens New Britain's watersheds. I understand that a recent environmental study found the proposal would have a major impact on local habitat destruction and there would be significant species loss in the contested area. Also, there will be more blasting, dust, and the loss of billions of gallons of filtered water from the mined area.

The worst outcome would be setting a precedent for swapping our key watershed lands for corporate profits or municipal gain. Class I and II watersheds buffer our water from environmental contaminants and filter it for our aquifers. The recent State Water Plan draft lists protection of watershed land as one of its top ten priorities and re-states CT's dedication to preserving the highest quality drinking water in the nation.

It is very important that Tilcon's proposal not be approved. Thank you. Ivan Backer

PS to Paula

Could you also write a similar letter. Thank you.