

Since 1975



# FREEDOM OF INFORMATION



Connecticut Freedom of Information Commission • 18-20 Trinity Street, Suite 100 • Hartford, CT 06106  
Toll free (CT only): (866)374-3617 Tel: (860)566-5682 Fax: (860)566-6474 • www.state.ct.us/foi/ • email: foi@po.state.ct.us

Mark Dumas and the  
Connecticut State Police Union,  
Complainant(s)  
against

Notice of Meeting

Docket #FIC 2013-493

Colonel, Danny Stebbins, State of Connecticut,  
Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection,  
Division of State Police; and State of Connecticut,  
Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection,  
Division of State Police,  
Respondent(s)

April 16, 2014

### Transmittal of Proposed Final Decision

In accordance with Section 4-179 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Freedom of Information Commission hereby transmits to you the proposed finding and decision prepared by the hearing officer in the above-captioned matter.

This will notify you that the Commission will consider this matter for disposition at its meeting which will be held in the Freedom of Information Commission Hearing Room, 18-20 Trinity Street, 1st floor, Hartford, Connecticut, at **2 p.m. on Wednesday, May 14, 2014**. At that time and place you will be allowed to offer oral argument concerning this proposed finding and order. Oral argument shall be limited to ten (10) minutes. For good cause shown, however, the Commission may increase the period of time for argument. A request for additional time must be made in writing and should be filed with the Commission **ON OR BEFORE May 2, 2014**. Such request **MUST BE (1) copied to all parties, or if the parties are represented, to such representatives, and (2) include a notation indicating such notice to all parties or their representatives.**

Although a brief or memorandum of law is not required, if you decide to submit such a document, an **original and fourteen (14) copies** must be filed **ON OR BEFORE May 2, 2014**. **PLEASE NOTE: Any correspondence, brief or memorandum directed to the Commissioners by any party or representative of any party MUST BE (1) copied to all parties, or if the parties are represented, to such representatives, (2) include a notation indicating such notice to all parties or their representatives and (3) be limited to argument. NO NEW EVIDENCE MAY BE SUBMITTED.**

If you have already filed a brief or memorandum with the hearing officer and wish to have that document distributed to each member of the Commission, it is requested that **fourteen (14) copies** be filed **ON OR BEFORE May 2, 2014**, and that **notice be given to all parties or if the parties are represented, to their representatives, that such previously filed document is being submitted to the Commissioners for review.**

By Order of the Freedom of  
Information Commission

W. Paradis  
Acting Clerk of the Commission

Notice to: Mark Dumas  
Terrence M. O'Neill, AAG

4/16/14/FIC# 2013-493/Trans/wrbp/VDH/CAL

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

In the Matter of a Complaint by

Report of Hearing Officer

Mark Dumas and the  
Connecticut State Police Union,

Complainant

against

Docket #FIC 2013-493

Colonel Danny Stebbins,  
State of Connecticut, Department  
of Emergency Services and Public  
Protection, Division of State Police;  
and State of Connecticut, Department  
of Emergency Services and Public  
Protection, Division of State Police,

Respondents

March 21, 2014

The above-captioned matter was scheduled to be heard as a contested case on March 19, 2014, at 11:00 A.M., however, neither the complainant nor the respondents appeared for the hearing. For purposes of hearing, this matter was consolidated with Docket #FIC 2013-494; Mark Dumas and the Connecticut State Police Union v. Colonel Danny Stebbins, State of Connecticut, Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection, Division of State Police; and State of Connecticut, Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection, Division of State Police.

The Commission notes that, on February 6, 2014, the complainant filed an unopposed motion to continue the contested case hearing originally scheduled for February 19, 2014, indicating that he wanted additional time to see if the parties could resolve the matters in this case without the need for a contested case hearing. On February 6, 2014, the complainant's motion was granted and the hearing was rescheduled to March 19, 2014. It is quite possible that the complainant was able to resolve the matters in this case, and simply failed to notify the Commission that a hearing was no longer necessary.

The following order by the Commission is hereby recommended on the basis of the record concerning the above-captioned complaint:

1. The complaint is hereby dismissed.



Valicia Dee Harmon  
as Hearing Officer