



**CONNECTICUT HOUSING
PRODUCTION AND PERMIT
AUTHORIZED CONSTRUCTION**

**C
ONSTRUCTION REPORT**

1999



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

DECD DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

INTRODUCTION

The Department of Economic and Community Development (DECD), the lead agency in matters related to housing, economic development and community development in Connecticut, monitors housing growth, analyze trends, and collects data concerning the private sector of the housing market. DECD annually publishes statistics on housing production in the state, and distributes a monthly update to all interested parties.

This report represents the annual statistics on permit-authorized construction, specifically the additions to and reductions from the Connecticut housing inventory. The statistics are based on reports submitted by local building permit offices in response to a monthly survey conducted by the Construction Statistics Division of the U. S. Bureau of the Census.

Beginning in 1996, the Bureau of the Census changed the reporting forms used by towns to transmit these data. Towns no longer report on permits for demolitions, additions and alterations or distinguish between the privately owned and publicly owned units. In 1997, the Bureau of Census further eliminated distinguishing between one-unit attached and one-unit detached building permits. Therefore, only one-unit permits are issued and reported.

DECD, on behalf of Connecticut, acts as a cooperating agency in this survey. The data contained in this report were subject to continuous revision. For data and information regarding this report, please contact Kolie Sun Chang at 860-270-8167 or e-mail her: kolie.chang@po.state.ct.us

TOTAL HOUSING PRODUCTION

According to the recent released housing statistics from the Bureau of the Census, Connecticut authorized 10,637 new units in 1999, the second highest since 1990. In terms of single family permits issued, there were 9,249 units which is 119 units more than a year ago. Construction of duplex and three or more unit housing has decreased over the years.

[Figure 1 - New Housing Units](#)

| Structure Type | 1999 | 1998 | Chg. 99-98 | % Change |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| One-Unit | 9,249 | 9,130 | 119 | 1.3% |
| Two-Unit | 90 | 184 | -94 | -51.1% |
| Three & Four-Unit | 64 | 196 | -132 | -67.3% |
| Five-or-More-Unit | 1,234 | 2,353 | -1,119 | -47.6% |
| Total Units | 10,637 | 11,863 | -1,226 | -10.3% |

DEMOLITION AND NET GAIN

Again, at the end of 1999, DECD sent out a survey to collect the residential demolition permits issued. Over 85 percent of the cities and towns responded to our request. Hartford issued the most demolition permits with 288 units, Bridgeport with 274, Meriden with 148, Waterbury with 146 and New Britain with 108. (The City of New Haven did not reply to our survey.) The five cities contributed over 62 percent of all demolition permits. The net gain to Connecticut's housing inventory totaled 8,636 units in 1999. This was a decrease of three percent from 1998's net gain of 8,895. The reader should consult the table "Net Gain in Rank Order" for more information.

COUNTIES

In 1999, Fairfield County authorized the largest number of new residential permits with 2,343, followed by New Haven County with 2,334, and Hartford County with 2,182. These three counties combined accounted for 64.5 percent of total permits issued. Compared with a year ago, only Tolland County, Litchfield County and New Haven County posted gains in new permit issued by 78, 72 and 33 units respectively. Comparing to 1990 to 1999, new housing permits authorized increased almost 32 percent at the State level. Among the counties, Tolland, Middlesex and Fairfield Counties outpaced the State level with percentage increases of 121 percent, 116 percent and 44 percent respectively during the same period. Windham County was the only county to post a decrease, from 422 units to 392 units. Figure 2 presents the changes and percentage changes of the housing units permitted by county in 1999, 1998 and 1990.

[Figure 2 - Change in Connecticut Housing Permits by County](#)

| <u>State & Counties</u> | <u>1999</u> | <u>1998</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>Units Change</u> <u>1998-1999</u> | <u>% Change</u> <u>1998-1999</u> | <u>Units Change</u> <u>1990-1999</u> | <u>% Change</u> <u>1990-1999</u> |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| <u>Connecticut</u> | <u>10,637</u> | <u>11,863</u> | <u>8,080</u> | <u>-1,226</u> | <u>-10.3%</u> | <u>2,557</u> | <u>31.6%</u> |
| <u>Fairfield County</u> | <u>2,343</u> | <u>2,978</u> | <u>1,623</u> | <u>-635</u> | <u>-21.3%</u> | <u>720</u> | <u>44.4%</u> |
| <u>Hartford County</u> | <u>2,182</u> | <u>2,790</u> | <u>1,703</u> | <u>-608</u> | <u>-21.8%</u> | <u>479</u> | <u>28.1%</u> |
| <u>Litchfield County</u> | <u>846</u> | <u>774</u> | <u>837</u> | <u>72</u> | <u>9.3%</u> | <u>9</u> | <u>1.1%</u> |
| <u>Middlesex County</u> | <u>869</u> | <u>899</u> | <u>403</u> | <u>-30</u> | <u>-3.3%</u> | <u>466</u> | <u>115.6%</u> |
| <u>New Haven County</u> | <u>2,334</u> | <u>2,301</u> | <u>1,969</u> | <u>33</u> | <u>1.4%</u> | <u>365</u> | <u>18.5%</u> |
| <u>New London County</u> | <u>879</u> | <u>972</u> | <u>765</u> | <u>-93</u> | <u>-9.6%</u> | <u>114</u> | <u>14.9%</u> |
| <u>Tolland County</u> | <u>792</u> | <u>714</u> | <u>358</u> | <u>78</u> | <u>10.9%</u> | <u>434</u> | <u>121.2%</u> |
| <u>Windham County</u> | <u>392</u> | <u>435</u> | <u>422</u> | <u>-43</u> | <u>-9.9%</u> | <u>-30</u> | <u>-7.1%</u> |

MUNICIPALITIES

The top five communities combined accounted for about 14 percent of the total permits authorized. Stamford led all Connecticut communities with 451 permits issued. Danbury ranked second with 321 units, followed by Southington with 265 units, New Haven with 234 units, and Milford with 258 units.

MEDIAN HOME SALES PRICE

According to the Connecticut Policy and Economic Council (CPEC), the median sales price of single family homes was \$136,000 in 1996 while the average price was \$194,593. In 1999, the median price of new homes was \$149,900, representing an increase of 8.6 percent from 1996, while the average price increased by 13.5 percent to \$220,858. Home sales also jumped significantly by 38 percent, from 39,332 transactions in 1996 to 54,106 sales in 1999. This increase suggested that more expensive homes were sold in 1999 than in 1996.

CONSTRUCTION VALUE

The valuation of construction is the cost of construction as recorded on the building permit. This figure usually excludes the cost of on-site development and improvements, and the cost of heating, plumbing, electrical and elevator installations.

The total investment in authorized construction activity was an estimated \$1.466 billion during 1999. This was an increase of 5.2 percent from 1998's level of \$1.394 billion. The average construction value of single unit housing showed an increase of 7.3 percent from \$138,321 in 1998 to \$148,435 in 1999, and 13.9 percent higher compared to the level of 1997.

INVENTORY CHANGE

Connecticut's inventory of residential units was estimated to be 1,383,597 at the end of 1998. This estimate was based on a net gain of 62,747 housing units authorized from January of 1991 through December of 1997, added to the base of 1,320,850 housing units reported in the 1990 census. Figure 3 illustrates changes in the housing unit inventory between 1990 and 1998.

[Figure 3 - Change in Connecticut Housing Inventory](#)

| <u>Structure Type</u> | <u>1999</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>Change 1990-1999</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| <u>One-Unit</u> | <u>882,413</u> | <u>815,307</u> | <u>67,106</u> | <u>8.2%</u> |
| <u>Two-Unit</u> | <u>121,503</u> | <u>121,177</u> | <u>326</u> | <u>0.3%</u> |
| <u>Three & Four-Unit</u> | <u>122,351</u> | <u>122,423</u> | <u>-72</u> | <u>-0.1%</u> |
| <u>Five-or-More-Unit</u> | <u>239,163</u> | <u>230,989</u> | <u>8,174</u> | <u>3.5%</u> |
| <u>Other</u> | <u>30,964</u> | <u>30,954</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>0.0%</u> |
| <u>Demolitions</u> | <u>4161*</u> | | | |
| <u>Total Units</u> | <u>1,392,233</u> | <u>1,320,850</u> | <u>71,383</u> | <u>5.4%</u> |

[* Includes 1998 demolitions units 2,968 and
1997 demolitions units 1,193](#)

Starting with the 1991 edition of this report, 1990 census figures were utilized as the base for Connecticut's housing unit inventory. Prior reports relied on the 1980 census housing unit count as the base for housing unit inventory.

There are some differences in comparability between the 1990 census and the 1980 census regarding data collection and classification of several survey items in the housing unit inventory. In 1980, data on mobile homes and trailers were shown only for year-round housing units. In 1990, these data were shown for all housing units.

Also, the category, "Boat, tent, van, etc." was replaced in 1990 by the category "Other". This category is for any living quarters occupied as a housing unit that does not fit into the previous categories. Houseboats, campers, vans, and railroad cars are examples that would fit into this category. In some areas, the proportions of units classified as "Other" is far larger than the number of units that were classified as "Boat, tent, van, etc." in 1980.

**Connecticut New Housing Authorizations in 1999
By State and Counties**

| Permit-issuing State and County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Total 1999 Demolitions | 1999 Net Gain |
|--|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| State | 10,637 | 9,249 | 90 | 64 | 1,234 | 2,001 | 8,636 |
| Fairfield | 2,343 | 1,758 | 42 | 25 | 518 | 676 | 1,667 |
| Hartford | 2,182 | 2,024 | 16 | 11 | 131 | 567 | 1,615 |
| Litchfield | 846 | 820 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 43 | 803 |
| Middlesex | 869 | 814 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 28 | 841 |
| New Haven | 2,334 | 1,857 | 32 | 0 | 445 | 511 | 1,823 |
| New London | 879 | 863 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 107 | 772 |
| Tolland | 792 | 732 | 0 | 16 | 44 | 40 | 752 |
| Windham | 392 | 381 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 29 | 363 |

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| State | | 10,637 | 9,249 | 90 | 64 | 1,234 | 2001 | 8,636 |
| Andover | Tolland | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Ansonia | New Haven | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| Ashford | Windham | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Avon | Hartford | 172 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 169 |
| Barkhamsted | Litchfield | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Beacon Falls | New Haven | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| Berlin | Hartford | 103 | 101 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 101 |
| Bethany | New Haven | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Bethel | Fairfield | 46 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| Bethlehem | Litchfield | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Bloomfield | Hartford | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| Bolton | Tolland | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 |
| Bozrah | New London | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Branford | New Haven | 54 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 44 |
| Bridgeport | Fairfield | 63 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 274 | -211 |
| Bridgewater | Litchfield | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Bristol | Hartford | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 33 |
| Brookfield | Fairfield | 63 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| Brooklyn | Windham | 34 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 34 |
| Burlington | Hartford | 71 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Canaan | Litchfield | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Canterbury | Windham | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| Canton | Hartford | 65 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 |
| Chaplin | Windham | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Cheshire | New Haven | 107 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 98 |
| Chester | Middlesex | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| Clinton | Middlesex | 81 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 74 |
| Colchester | New London | 107 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 106 |
| Colebrook | Litchfield | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Columbia | Tolland | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 34 |

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Cornwall | Litchfield | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Coventry | Tolland | 67 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 63 |
| Cromwell | Middlesex | 59 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Danbury | Fairfield | 321 | 202 | 4 | 7 | 108 | 41 | 280 |
| Darien | Fairfield | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 7 |
| Deep River | Middlesex | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Derby | New Haven | 55 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 |
| Durham | Middlesex | 58 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| East Granby | Hartford | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| East Haddam | Middlesex | 86 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 83 |
| East Hampton | Middlesex | 83 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 77 |
| East Hartford | Hartford | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | -8 |
| East Haven | New Haven | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 33 |
| East Lyme | New London | 71 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 64 |
| East Windsor | Hartford | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| Eastford | Windham | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Easton | Fairfield | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Ellington | Tolland | 138 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 128 |
| Enfield | Hartford | 63 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 62 |
| Essex | Middlesex | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Fairfield | Fairfield | 77 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 65 |
| Farmington | Hartford | 146 | 139 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 135 |
| Franklin | New London | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Glastonbury | Hartford | 187 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 177 |
| Goshen | Litchfield | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Granby | Hartford | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Greenwich | Fairfield | 105 | 97 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 48 |
| Griswold | New London | 46 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 39 |
| Groton | New London | 146 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 129 |
| Guilford | New Haven | 112 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 103 |
| Haddam | Middlesex | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |
| Hamden | New Haven | 203 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 13 | 190 |
| Hampton | Windham | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Hartford | Hartford | 44 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 288 | -244 |
| Hartland | Hartford | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Harwinton | Litchfield | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Hebron | Tolland | 79 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 78 |
| Kent | Litchfield | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Killingly | Windham | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23 |
| Killingworth | Middlesex | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Lebanon | New London | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 39 |
| Ledyard | New London | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| Lisbon | New London | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Litchfield | Litchfield | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| Lyme | New London | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Madison | New Haven | 82 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 79 |
| Manchester | Hartford | 147 | 109 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 35 | 112 |
| Mansfield | Tolland | 86 | 70 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 80 |
| Marlborough | Hartford | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Meriden | New Haven | 43 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 148 | -105 |
| Middlebury | New Haven | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Middlefield | Middlesex | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| Middletown | Middlesex | 142 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 142 |
| Milford | New Haven | 258 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 41 | 217 |
| Monroe | Fairfield | 69 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 67 |
| Montville | New London | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| Morris | Litchfield | 15 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| Naugatuck | New Haven | 64 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 63 |
| New Britain | Hartford | 13 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 108 | -95 |
| New Canaan | Fairfield | 56 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 16 |
| New Fairfield | Fairfield | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| New Hartford | Litchfield | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| New Haven | New Haven | 234 | 173 | 16 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 234 |
| New London | New London | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Milford | Litchfield | 181 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 173 |
| Newington | Hartford | 94 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 90 |

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Newtown | Fairfield | 221 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 203 |
| Norfolk | Litchfield | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| North Branford | New Haven | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| North Canaan | Litchfield | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| North Haven | New Haven | 209 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 209 |
| North Stonington | New London | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 |
| Norwalk | Fairfield | 151 | 99 | 10 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 129 |
| Norwich | New London | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 9 |
| Old Lyme | New London | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 37 |
| Old Saybrook | Middlesex | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| Orange | New Haven | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Oxford | New Haven | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 72 |
| Plainfield | Windham | 51 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Plainville | Hartford | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Plymouth | Litchfield | 65 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 65 |
| Pomfret | Windham | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Portland | Middlesex | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| Preston | New London | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Prospect | New Haven | 62 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 60 |
| Putnam | Windham | 11 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Redding | Fairfield | 53 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 52 |
| Ridgefield | Fairfield | 83 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 80 |
| Rocky Hill | Hartford | 95 | 91 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| Roxbury | Litchfield | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 |
| Salem | New London | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| Salisbury | Litchfield | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Scotland | Windham | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Seymour | New Haven | 46 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Sharon | Litchfield | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Shelton | Fairfield | 169 | 159 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| Sherman | Fairfield | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Simsbury | Hartford | 68 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 63 |
| Somers | Tolland | 57 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 50 |

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| South Windsor | Hartford | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Southbury | New Haven | 105 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Southington | Hartford | 265 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 262 |
| Sprague | New London | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Stafford | Tolland | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Stamford | Fairfield | 451 | 74 | 8 | 7 | 362 | 76 | 375 |
| Sterling | Windham | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Stonington | New London | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 64 |
| Stratford | Fairfield | 38 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 34 |
| Suffield | Hartford | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 88 |
| Thomaston | Litchfield | 56 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Thompson | Windham | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33 |
| Tolland | Tolland | 149 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 148 |
| Torrington | Litchfield | 110 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 90 |
| Trumbull | Fairfield | 98 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 95 |
| Union | Tolland | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Vernon | Tolland | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 52 |
| Voluntown | New London | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Wallingford | New Haven | 191 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 187 |
| Warren | Litchfield | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Washington | Litchfield | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Waterbury | New Haven | 66 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 146 | -80 |
| Waterford | New London | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 62 |
| Watertown | Litchfield | 69 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 66 |
| West Hartford | Hartford | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| West Haven | New Haven | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 103 | -63 |
| Westbrook | Middlesex | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 46 |
| Weston | Fairfield | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 20 |
| Westport | Fairfield | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 17 |
| Wethersfield | Hartford | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| Willington | Tolland | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Wilton | Fairfield | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 27 |
| Winchester | Litchfield | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Windham | Windham | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 28 |
| Windsor | Hartford | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 45 |
| Windsor Locks | Hartford | 20 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| Wolcott | New Haven | 67 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 64 |
| Woodbridge | New Haven | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| Woodbury | Litchfield | 44 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Woodstock | Windham | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Connecticut | 9,249 | 1,372,874,580 | 148,435 | 10,637 | 1,466,184,999 | 137,838 |
| Andover | 20 | 2,442,300 | 122,115 | 20 | 2,442,300 | 122,115 |
| Ansonia | 38 | 2,615,200 | 68,821 | 40 | 2,665,200 | 66,630 |
| Ashford | 17 | 1,625,797 | 95,635 | 17 | 1,625,797 | 95,635 |
| Avon | 120 | 24,589,485 | 204,912 | 172 | 27,052,043 | 157,279 |
| Barkhamsted | 19 | 2,823,130 | 148,586 | 19 | 2,823,130 | 148,586 |
| Beacon Falls | 49 | 3,346,000 | 68,286 | 49 | 3,346,000 | 68,286 |
| Berlin | 101 | 11,572,035 | 114,575 | 103 | 11,734,035 | 113,923 |
| Bethany | 27 | 6,456,180 | 239,118 | 27 | 6,456,180 | 239,118 |
| Bethel | 46 | 8,122,321 | 176,572 | 46 | 8,122,321 | 176,572 |
| Bethlehem | 20 | 2,838,000 | 141,900 | 20 | 2,838,000 | 141,900 |
| Bloomfield | 40 | 2,958,850 | 73,971 | 40 | 2,958,850 | 73,971 |
| Bolton | 37 | 7,790,413 | 210,552 | 37 | 7,790,413 | 210,552 |
| Bozrah | 15 | 1,721,000 | 114,733 | 15 | 1,721,000 | 114,733 |
| Branford | 48 | 6,673,050 | 139,022 | 54 | 6,918,010 | 128,111 |
| Bridgeport | 56 | 3,401,929 | 60,749 | 63 | 3,726,929 | 59,158 |
| Bridgewater | 7 | 2,092,469 | 298,924 | 7 | 2,092,469 | 298,924 |
| Bristol | 92 | 10,906,700 | 118,551 | 92 | 10,906,700 | 118,551 |
| Brookfield | 63 | 11,177,240 | 177,417 | 63 | 11,177,240 | 177,417 |
| Brooklyn | 27 | 3,186,750 | 118,028 | 34 | 3,875,812 | 113,994 |
| Burlington | 71 | 11,490,688 | 161,841 | 71 | 11,490,688 | 161,841 |
| Canaan | 4 | 310,500 | 77,625 | 4 | 310,500 | 77,625 |
| Canterbury | 20 | 1,687,900 | 84,395 | 20 | 1,687,900 | 84,395 |
| Canton | 65 | 11,054,262 | 170,066 | 65 | 11,054,262 | 170,066 |
| Chaplin | 17 | 921,383 | 54,199 | 17 | 921,383 | 54,199 |
| Cheshire | 71 | 9,551,129 | 134,523 | 107 | 12,763,813 | 119,288 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Chester | 26 | 4,386,017 | 168,693 | 26 | 4,386,017 | 168,693 |
| Clinton | 81 | 7,822,854 | 96,578 | 81 | 7,822,854 | 96,578 |
| Colchester | 107 | 10,640,686 | 99,446 | 107 | 10,640,686 | 99,446 |
| Colebrook | 6 | 757,396 | 126,233 | 6 | 757,396 | 126,233 |
| Columbia | 35 | 4,447,242 | 127,064 | 35 | 4,447,242 | 127,064 |
| Cornwall | 8 | 963,338 | 120,417 | 8 | 963,338 | 120,417 |
| Coventry | 67 | 6,964,594 | 103,949 | 67 | 6,964,594 | 103,949 |
| Cromwell | 59 | 7,795,146 | 132,121 | 59 | 7,795,146 | 132,121 |
| Danbury | 202 | 24,366,239 | 120,625 | 321 | 30,891,274 | 96,234 |
| Darien | 32 | 21,721,000 | 678,781 | 32 | 21,721,000 | 678,781 |
| Deep River | 25 | 5,119,596 | 204,784 | 25 | 5,119,596 | 204,784 |
| Derby | 55 | 5,116,292 | 93,023 | 55 | 5,116,292 | 93,023 |
| Durham | 58 | 6,604,078 | 113,863 | 58 | 6,604,078 | 113,863 |
| East Granby | 25 | 3,557,000 | 142,280 | 25 | 3,557,000 | 142,280 |
| East Haddam | 86 | 9,719,740 | 113,020 | 86 | 9,719,740 | 113,020 |
| East Hampton | 83 | 6,111,411 | 73,631 | 83 | 6,111,411 | 73,631 |
| East Hartford | 6 | 483,267 | 80,545 | 6 | 483,267 | 80,545 |
| East Haven | 42 | 3,978,390 | 94,724 | 42 | 3,978,390 | 94,724 |
| East Lyme | 71 | 8,456,122 | 119,100 | 71 | 8,456,122 | 119,100 |
| East Windsor | 17 | 1,937,492 | 113,970 | 17 | 1,937,492 | 113,970 |
| Eastford | 9 | 721,031 | 80,115 | 9 | 721,031 | 80,115 |
| Easton | 34 | 10,891,400 | 320,335 | 34 | 10,891,400 | 320,335 |
| Ellington | 94 | 15,001,260 | 159,588 | 138 | 17,426,480 | 126,279 |
| Enfield | 63 | 5,459,104 | 86,652 | 63 | 5,459,104 | 86,652 |
| Essex | 50 | 8,682,396 | 173,648 | 50 | 8,682,396 | 173,648 |
| Fairfield | 77 | 13,460,332 | 174,810 | 77 | 13,460,332 | 174,810 |
| Farmington | 139 | 18,963,385 | 136,427 | 146 | 19,234,985 | 131,746 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|--------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Franklin | 2 | 300,000 | 150,000 | 2 | 300,000 | 150,000 |
| Glastonbury | 187 | 30,581,153 | 163,536 | 187 | 30,581,153 | 163,536 |
| Goshen | 31 | 6,089,000 | 196,419 | 31 | 6,089,000 | 196,419 |
| Granby | 73 | 10,342,255 | 141,675 | 73 | 10,342,255 | 141,675 |
| Greenwich | 97 | 52,555,137 | 541,806 | 105 | 53,434,212 | 508,897 |
| Griswold | 42 | 4,045,500 | 96,321 | 46 | 4,150,500 | 90,228 |
| Groton | 134 | 15,503,751 | 115,700 | 146 | 16,503,751 | 113,039 |
| Guilford | 112 | 19,983,300 | 178,422 | 112 | 19,983,300 | 178,422 |
| Haddam | 42 | 4,588,269 | 109,245 | 42 | 4,588,269 | 109,245 |
| Hamden | 107 | 9,137,460 | 85,397 | 203 | 12,687,460 | 62,500 |
| Hampton | 17 | 1,752,316 | 103,077 | 17 | 1,752,316 | 103,077 |
| Hartford | 30 | 1,579,200 | 52,640 | 44 | 2,204,200 | 50,095 |
| Hartland | 2 | 233,674 | 116,837 | 2 | 233,674 | 116,837 |
| Harwinton | 26 | 5,423,000 | 208,577 | 26 | 5,423,000 | 208,577 |
| Hebron | 79 | 7,922,928 | 100,290 | 79 | 7,922,928 | 100,290 |
| Kent | 13 | 2,287,800 | 175,985 | 13 | 2,287,800 | 175,985 |
| Killingly | 36 | 2,584,277 | 71,785 | 36 | 2,584,277 | 71,785 |
| Killingworth | 73 | 12,164,350 | 166,635 | 73 | 12,164,350 | 166,635 |
| Lebanon | 41 | 3,620,372 | 88,302 | 41 | 3,620,372 | 88,302 |
| Ledyard | 40 | 3,927,950 | 98,199 | 40 | 3,927,950 | 98,199 |
| Lisbon | 25 | 2,159,147 | 86,366 | 25 | 2,159,147 | 86,366 |
| Litchfield | 45 | 5,423,486 | 120,522 | 45 | 5,423,486 | 120,522 |
| Lyme | 16 | 4,075,258 | 254,704 | 16 | 4,075,258 | 254,704 |
| Madison | 82 | 17,219,879 | 209,999 | 82 | 17,219,879 | 209,999 |
| Manchester | 109 | 11,502,752 | 105,530 | 147 | 13,007,752 | 88,488 |
| Mansfield | 70 | 8,324,695 | 118,924 | 86 | 8,697,195 | 101,130 |
| Marlborough | 38 | 6,661,445 | 175,301 | 38 | 6,661,445 | 175,301 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Meriden | 41 | 3,259,290 | 79,495 | 43 | 3,367,890 | 78,323 |
| Middlebury | 29 | 5,907,720 | 203,714 | 29 | 5,907,720 | 203,714 |
| Middlefield | 26 | 3,316,800 | 127,569 | 26 | 3,316,800 | 127,569 |
| Middletown | 87 | 5,762,545 | 66,236 | 142 | 6,362,055 | 44,803 |
| Milford | 151 | 17,196,539 | 113,884 | 258 | 20,071,539 | 77,797 |
| Monroe | 69 | 12,285,000 | 178,043 | 69 | 12,285,000 | 178,043 |
| Montville | 40 | 3,823,887 | 95,597 | 40 | 3,823,887 | 95,597 |
| Morris | 11 | 1,630,000 | 148,182 | 15 | 1,690,000 | 112,667 |
| Naugatuck | 64 | 6,252,916 | 97,702 | 64 | 6,252,916 | 97,702 |
| New Britain | 10 | 419,000 | 41,900 | 13 | 569,000 | 43,769 |
| New Canaan | 54 | 33,883,500 | 627,472 | 56 | 34,233,500 | 611,313 |
| New Fairfield | 27 | 3,837,763 | 142,139 | 27 | 3,837,763 | 142,139 |
| New Hartford | 30 | 4,065,900 | 135,530 | 30 | 4,065,900 | 135,530 |
| New Haven | 173 | 16,252,896 | 93,947 | 234 | 19,219,813 | 82,136 |
| New London | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Milford | 181 | 31,864,354 | 176,046 | 181 | 31,864,354 | 176,046 |
| Newington | 94 | 12,643,538 | 134,506 | 94 | 12,993,538 | 138,229 |
| Newtown | 221 | 40,104,956 | 181,470 | 221 | 40,104,956 | 181,470 |
| Norfolk | 5 | 982,531 | 196,506 | 5 | 982,531 | 196,506 |
| North Branford | 29 | 3,129,811 | 107,925 | 29 | 3,129,811 | 107,925 |
| North Canaan | 6 | 938,000 | 156,333 | 6 | 938,000 | 156,333 |
| North Haven | 48 | 7,517,540 | 156,615 | 209 | 15,378,623 | 73,582 |
| North Stonington | 33 | 3,519,357 | 106,647 | 33 | 3,519,357 | 106,647 |
| Norwalk | 99 | 17,045,368 | 172,175 | 151 | 20,180,654 | 133,647 |
| Norwich | 28 | 3,053,827 | 109,065 | 28 | 3,053,827 | 109,065 |
| Old Lyme | 41 | 8,834,144 | 215,467 | 41 | 8,834,144 | 215,467 |
| Old Saybrook | 19 | 3,141,243 | 165,329 | 19 | 3,141,243 | 165,329 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Orange | 19 | 3,983,040 | 209,634 | 19 | 3,983,040 | 209,634 |
| Oxford | 74 | 8,798,000 | 118,892 | 74 | 8,798,000 | 118,892 |
| Plainfield | 51 | 4,442,500 | 87,108 | 51 | 4,442,500 | 87,108 |
| Plainville | 26 | 1,962,971 | 75,499 | 26 | 1,962,971 | 75,499 |
| Plymouth | 43 | 4,497,000 | 104,581 | 65 | 5,288,645 | 81,364 |
| Pomfret | 34 | 4,108,000 | 120,824 | 34 | 4,108,000 | 120,824 |
| Portland | 50 | 6,530,502 | 130,610 | 50 | 6,530,502 | 130,610 |
| Preston | 20 | 2,110,000 | 105,500 | 20 | 2,110,000 | 105,500 |
| Prospect | 62 | 8,177,320 | 131,892 | 62 | 8,177,320 | 131,892 |
| Putnam | 7 | 621,594 | 88,799 | 11 | 771,879 | 70,171 |
| Redding | 47 | 13,068,118 | 278,045 | 53 | 13,353,118 | 251,946 |
| Ridgefield | 83 | 24,810,375 | 298,920 | 83 | 24,810,375 | 298,920 |
| Rocky Hill | 91 | 9,974,304 | 109,608 | 95 | 10,227,485 | 107,658 |
| Roxbury | 29 | 9,238,172 | 318,558 | 29 | 9,238,172 | 318,558 |
| Salem | 17 | 2,795,724 | 164,454 | 17 | 2,795,724 | 164,454 |
| Salisbury | 7 | 2,750,000 | 392,857 | 7 | 2,750,000 | 392,857 |
| Scotland | 9 | 769,500 | 85,500 | 9 | 769,500 | 85,500 |
| Seymour | 44 | 4,154,766 | 94,427 | 46 | 4,235,766 | 92,082 |
| Sharon | 10 | 1,641,500 | 164,150 | 10 | 1,641,500 | 164,150 |
| Shelton | 159 | 16,921,492 | 106,424 | 169 | 17,610,252 | 104,203 |
| Sherman | 25 | 4,614,297 | 184,572 | 25 | 4,614,297 | 184,572 |
| Simsbury | 68 | 11,057,857 | 162,616 | 68 | 11,057,857 | 162,616 |
| Somers | 57 | 7,672,128 | 134,599 | 57 | 7,672,128 | 134,599 |
| South Windsor | 100 | 14,479,400 | 144,794 | 100 | 14,479,400 | 144,794 |
| Southbury | 105 | 16,188,388 | 154,175 | 105 | 16,188,388 | 154,175 |
| Southington | 231 | 19,239,712 | 83,289 | 265 | 21,069,712 | 79,508 |
| Sprague | 4 | 387,000 | 96,750 | 4 | 387,000 | 96,750 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Stafford | 50 | 5,072,000 | 101,440 | 50 | 5,072,000 | 101,440 |
| Stamford | 74 | 17,814,893 | 240,742 | 451 | 63,692,116 | 141,224 |
| Sterling | 25 | 1,783,000 | 71,320 | 25 | 1,783,000 | 71,320 |
| Stonington | 90 | 14,899,552 | 165,551 | 90 | 14,899,552 | 165,551 |
| Stratford | 34 | 3,369,900 | 99,115 | 38 | 3,569,900 | 93,945 |
| Suffield | 90 | 13,845,400 | 153,838 | 90 | 13,845,400 | 153,838 |
| Thomaston | 56 | 6,776,000 | 121,000 | 56 | 6,776,000 | 121,000 |
| Thompson | 39 | 4,241,844 | 108,765 | 39 | 4,241,844 | 108,765 |
| Tolland | 149 | 22,598,500 | 151,668 | 149 | 22,598,500 | 151,668 |
| Torrington | 110 | 8,802,900 | 80,026 | 110 | 8,802,900 | 80,026 |
| Trumbull | 98 | 18,039,762 | 184,079 | 98 | 18,039,762 | 184,079 |
| Union | 4 | 589,000 | 147,250 | 4 | 589,000 | 147,250 |
| Vernon | 60 | 6,120,803 | 102,013 | 60 | 6,120,803 | 102,013 |
| Voluntown | 27 | 2,396,000 | 88,741 | 27 | 2,396,000 | 88,741 |
| Wallingford | 191 | 25,778,758 | 134,967 | 191 | 25,778,758 | 134,967 |
| Warren | 10 | 2,175,812 | 217,581 | 10 | 2,175,812 | 217,581 |
| Washington | 12 | 2,600,772 | 216,731 | 12 | 2,600,772 | 216,731 |
| Waterbury | 64 | 3,349,400 | 52,334 | 66 | 3,399,400 | 51,506 |
| Waterford | 70 | 9,741,542 | 139,165 | 70 | 9,741,542 | 139,165 |
| Watertown | 69 | 9,638,656 | 139,691 | 69 | 9,638,656 | 139,691 |
| West Hartford | 32 | 4,071,000 | 127,219 | 32 | 4,071,000 | 127,219 |
| West Haven | 38 | 1,472,599 | 38,753 | 40 | 1,517,599 | 37,940 |
| Westbrook | 49 | 6,375,615 | 130,115 | 49 | 6,375,615 | 130,115 |
| Weston | 31 | 22,910,290 | 739,042 | 31 | 22,910,290 | 739,042 |
| Westport | 90 | 32,761,895 | 364,021 | 90 | 32,761,895 | 364,021 |
| Wethersfield | 40 | 6,289,650 | 157,241 | 40 | 6,289,650 | 157,241 |
| Willington | 10 | 1,244,080 | 124,408 | 10 | 1,244,080 | 124,408 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Wilton | 40 | 9,232,556 | 230,814 | 40 | 9,232,556 | 230,814 |
| Winchester | 18 | 2,266,565 | 125,920 | 18 | 2,266,565 | 125,920 |
| Windham | 36 | 2,282,054 | 63,390 | 36 | 2,282,054 | 63,390 |
| Windsor | 48 | 5,829,962 | 121,458 | 48 | 5,829,962 | 121,458 |
| Windsor Locks | 16 | 1,613,652 | 100,853 | 20 | 1,810,887 | 90,544 |
| Wolcott | 67 | 6,920,761 | 103,295 | 67 | 6,920,761 | 103,295 |
| Woodbridge | 27 | 5,795,000 | 214,630 | 27 | 5,795,000 | 214,630 |
| Woodbury | 44 | 8,085,742 | 183,767 | 44 | 8,085,742 | 183,767 |
| Woodstock | 37 | 4,956,707 | 133,965 | 37 | 4,956,707 | 133,965 |

**Connecticut New Housing Authorizations in 1999
In Net Gains Order**

Number of Housing Units

| Permit-issuing Places | County | Total Units | 1 Unit | 2 Units | 3 and 4 Units | 5 Units or More | Demolition | Net Gains |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| State | | 10,637 | 9,249 | 90 | 64 | 1,234 | 2001 | 8,636 |
| Stamford | Fairfield | 451 | 74 | 8 | 7 | 362 | 76 | 375 |
| Danbury | Fairfield | 321 | 202 | 4 | 7 | 108 | 41 | 280 |
| Southington | Hartford | 265 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 262 |
| New Haven | New Haven | 234 | 173 | 16 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 234 |
| Milford | New Haven | 258 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 41 | 217 |
| North Haven | New Haven | 209 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 209 |
| Newtown | Fairfield | 221 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 203 |
| Hamden | New Haven | 203 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 13 | 190 |
| Wallingford | New Haven | 191 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 187 |
| Glastonbury | Hartford | 187 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 177 |
| New Milford | Litchfield | 181 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 173 |
| Avon | Hartford | 172 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 3 | 169 |
| Shelton | Fairfield | 169 | 159 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| Tolland | Tolland | 149 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 148 |
| Middletown | Middlesex | 142 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 142 |
| Farmington | Hartford | 146 | 139 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 135 |
| Groton | New London | 146 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 129 |
| Norwalk | Fairfield | 151 | 99 | 10 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 129 |
| Ellington | Tolland | 138 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 128 |
| Manchester | Hartford | 147 | 109 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 35 | 112 |
| Colchester | New London | 107 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 106 |
| Southbury | New Haven | 105 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Guilford | New Haven | 112 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 103 |
| Berlin | Hartford | 103 | 101 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 101 |
| South Windsor | Hartford | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Cheshire | New Haven | 107 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 98 |
| Rocky Hill | Hartford | 95 | 91 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 95 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|----|
| Trumbull | Fairfield | 98 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 95 |
| Newington | Hartford | 94 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 90 |
| Torrington | Litchfield | 110 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 90 |
| Suffield | Hartford | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 88 |
| East Haddam | Middlesex | 86 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 83 |
| Mansfield | Tolland | 86 | 70 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 80 |
| Ridgefield | Fairfield | 83 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 80 |
| Madison | New Haven | 82 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 79 |
| Hebron | Tolland | 79 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 78 |
| East Hampton | Middlesex | 83 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 77 |
| Clinton | Middlesex | 81 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 74 |
| Granby | Hartford | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Killingworth | Middlesex | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Oxford | New Haven | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 72 |
| Burlington | Hartford | 71 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Monroe | Fairfield | 69 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 67 |
| Watertown | Litchfield | 69 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 66 |
| Fairfield | Fairfield | 77 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 65 |
| Plymouth | Litchfield | 65 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 65 |
| East Lyme | New London | 71 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 64 |
| Stonington | New London | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 64 |
| Wolcott | New Haven | 67 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 64 |
| Brookfield | Fairfield | 63 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| Coventry | Tolland | 67 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 63 |
| Naugatuck | New Haven | 64 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 63 |
| Simsbury | Hartford | 68 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 63 |
| Canton | Hartford | 65 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 |
| Enfield | Hartford | 63 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 62 |
| Waterford | New London | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 62 |
| Prospect | New Haven | 62 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 60 |
| Cromwell | Middlesex | 59 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Durham | Middlesex | 58 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| Thomaston | Litchfield | 56 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Derby | New Haven | 55 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 |
| Redding | Fairfield | 53 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 52 |
| Vernon | Tolland | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 52 |
| Plainfield | Windham | 51 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-----|----|---|---|---|----|----|
| Essex | Middlesex | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Somers | Tolland | 57 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 50 |
| Stafford | Tolland | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Beacon Falls | New Haven | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| Portland | Middlesex | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 |
| Greenwich | Fairfield | 105 | 97 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 48 |
| Seymour | New Haven | 46 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Westbrook | Middlesex | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 46 |
| Bethel | Fairfield | 46 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| Windsor | Hartford | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 45 |
| Branford | New Haven | 54 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 44 |
| Woodbury | Litchfield | 44 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Litchfield | Litchfield | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| Haddam | Middlesex | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |
| Griswold | New London | 46 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 39 |
| Lebanon | New London | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 39 |
| Marlborough | Hartford | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Ansonia | New Haven | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| Ledyard | New London | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| Old Lyme | New London | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 37 |
| Woodstock | Windham | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Bloomfield | Hartford | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| Bolton | Tolland | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 |
| Wethersfield | Hartford | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| Brooklyn | Windham | 34 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 34 |
| Columbia | Tolland | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| Easton | Fairfield | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Pomfret | Windham | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Stratford | Fairfield | 38 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 34 |
| Bristol | Hartford | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 33 |
| East Haven | New Haven | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 33 |
| Thompson | Windham | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33 |
| North Stonington | New London | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 |
| West Hartford | Hartford | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Goshen | Litchfield | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Montville | New London | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| New Hartford | Litchfield | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|----|----|---|---|---|----|----|
| Middlebury | New Haven | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| North Branford | New Haven | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| Windham | Windham | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 28 |
| Bethany | New Haven | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| New Fairfield | Fairfield | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Roxbury | Litchfield | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 |
| Voluntown | New London | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Wilton | Fairfield | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 27 |
| Harwinton | Litchfield | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Deep River | Middlesex | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Lisbon | New London | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Plainville | Hartford | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Sherman | Fairfield | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Sterling | Windham | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Middlefield | Middlesex | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| Woodbridge | New Haven | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| Chester | Middlesex | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| East Granby | Hartford | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| Killingly | Windham | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23 |
| Andover | Tolland | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Bethlehem | Litchfield | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Preston | New London | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Weston | Fairfield | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 20 |
| Barkhamsted | Litchfield | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Orange | New Haven | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Canterbury | Windham | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| Windsor Locks | Hartford | 20 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| Ashford | Windham | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Chaplin | Windham | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Hampton | Windham | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Old Saybrook | Middlesex | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| Westport | Fairfield | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 17 |
| Winchester | Litchfield | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| Lyme | New London | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| New Canaan | Fairfield | 56 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 16 |
| Bozrah | New London | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| East Windsor | Hartford | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|----|----|---|---|---|-----|------|
| Salem | New Lond | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| Kent | Litchfield | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Morris | Litchfield | 15 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| Putnam | Windham | 11 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Washington | Litchfield | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Warren | Litchfield | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Willington | Tolland | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Eastford | Windham | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Norwich | New Lond | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 9 |
| Scotland | Windham | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Sharon | Litchfield | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Cornwall | Litchfield | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Bridgewater | Litchfield | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Darien | Fairfield | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 7 |
| Salisbury | Litchfield | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| North Canaan | Litchfield | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Colebrook | Litchfield | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Canaan | Litchfield | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Norfolk | Litchfield | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Sprague | New Lond | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Union | Tolland | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Franklin | New Lond | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Hartland | Hartford | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| New London | New Lond | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East Hartford | Hartford | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | -8 |
| West Haven | New Have | 40 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 103 | -63 |
| Waterbury | New Have | 66 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 146 | -80 |
| New Britain | Hartford | 13 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 108 | -95 |
| Meriden | New Have | 43 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 148 | -105 |
| Bridgeport | Fairfield | 63 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 274 | -211 |
| Hartford | Hartford | 44 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 288 | -244 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Connecticut | 9,249 | 1,372,874,580 | 148,435 | 10,637 | 1,466,184,999 | 137,838 |
| Andover | 20 | 2,442,300 | 122,115 | 20 | 2,442,300 | 122,115 |
| Ansonia | 38 | 2,615,200 | 68,821 | 40 | 2,665,200 | 66,630 |
| Ashford | 17 | 1,625,797 | 95,635 | 17 | 1,625,797 | 95,635 |
| Avon | 120 | 24,589,485 | 204,912 | 172 | 27,052,043 | 157,279 |
| Barkhamsted | 19 | 2,823,130 | 148,586 | 19 | 2,823,130 | 148,586 |
| Beacon Falls | 49 | 3,346,000 | 68,286 | 49 | 3,346,000 | 68,286 |
| Berlin | 101 | 11,572,035 | 114,575 | 103 | 11,734,035 | 113,923 |
| Bethany | 27 | 6,456,180 | 239,118 | 27 | 6,456,180 | 239,118 |
| Bethel | 46 | 8,122,321 | 176,572 | 46 | 8,122,321 | 176,572 |
| Bethlehem | 20 | 2,838,000 | 141,900 | 20 | 2,838,000 | 141,900 |
| Bloomfield | 40 | 2,958,850 | 73,971 | 40 | 2,958,850 | 73,971 |
| Bolton | 37 | 7,790,413 | 210,552 | 37 | 7,790,413 | 210,552 |
| Bozrah | 15 | 1,721,000 | 114,733 | 15 | 1,721,000 | 114,733 |
| Branford | 48 | 6,673,050 | 139,022 | 54 | 6,918,010 | 128,111 |
| Bridgeport | 56 | 3,401,929 | 60,749 | 63 | 3,726,929 | 59,158 |
| Bridgewater | 7 | 2,092,469 | 298,924 | 7 | 2,092,469 | 298,924 |
| Bristol | 92 | 10,906,700 | 118,551 | 92 | 10,906,700 | 118,551 |
| Brookfield | 63 | 11,177,240 | 177,417 | 63 | 11,177,240 | 177,417 |
| Brooklyn | 27 | 3,186,750 | 118,028 | 34 | 3,875,812 | 113,994 |
| Burlington | 71 | 11,490,688 | 161,841 | 71 | 11,490,688 | 161,841 |
| Canaan | 4 | 310,500 | 77,625 | 4 | 310,500 | 77,625 |
| Canterbury | 20 | 1,687,900 | 84,395 | 20 | 1,687,900 | 84,395 |
| Canton | 65 | 11,054,262 | 170,066 | 65 | 11,054,262 | 170,066 |
| Chaplin | 17 | 921,383 | 54,199 | 17 | 921,383 | 54,199 |
| Cheshire | 71 | 9,551,129 | 134,523 | 107 | 12,763,813 | 119,288 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Chester | 26 | 4,386,017 | 168,693 | 26 | 4,386,017 | 168,693 |
| Clinton | 81 | 7,822,854 | 96,578 | 81 | 7,822,854 | 96,578 |
| Colchester | 107 | 10,640,686 | 99,446 | 107 | 10,640,686 | 99,446 |
| Colebrook | 6 | 757,396 | 126,233 | 6 | 757,396 | 126,233 |
| Columbia | 35 | 4,447,242 | 127,064 | 35 | 4,447,242 | 127,064 |
| Cornwall | 8 | 963,338 | 120,417 | 8 | 963,338 | 120,417 |
| Coventry | 67 | 6,964,594 | 103,949 | 67 | 6,964,594 | 103,949 |
| Cromwell | 59 | 7,795,146 | 132,121 | 59 | 7,795,146 | 132,121 |
| Danbury | 202 | 24,366,239 | 120,625 | 321 | 30,891,274 | 96,234 |
| Darien | 32 | 21,721,000 | 678,781 | 32 | 21,721,000 | 678,781 |
| Deep River | 25 | 5,119,596 | 204,784 | 25 | 5,119,596 | 204,784 |
| Derby | 55 | 5,116,292 | 93,023 | 55 | 5,116,292 | 93,023 |
| Durham | 58 | 6,604,078 | 113,863 | 58 | 6,604,078 | 113,863 |
| East Granby | 25 | 3,557,000 | 142,280 | 25 | 3,557,000 | 142,280 |
| East Haddam | 86 | 9,719,740 | 113,020 | 86 | 9,719,740 | 113,020 |
| East Hampton | 83 | 6,111,411 | 73,631 | 83 | 6,111,411 | 73,631 |
| East Hartford | 6 | 483,267 | 80,545 | 6 | 483,267 | 80,545 |
| East Haven | 42 | 3,978,390 | 94,724 | 42 | 3,978,390 | 94,724 |
| East Lyme | 71 | 8,456,122 | 119,100 | 71 | 8,456,122 | 119,100 |
| East Windsor | 17 | 1,937,492 | 113,970 | 17 | 1,937,492 | 113,970 |
| Eastford | 9 | 721,031 | 80,115 | 9 | 721,031 | 80,115 |
| Easton | 34 | 10,891,400 | 320,335 | 34 | 10,891,400 | 320,335 |
| Ellington | 94 | 15,001,260 | 159,588 | 138 | 17,426,480 | 126,279 |
| Enfield | 63 | 5,459,104 | 86,652 | 63 | 5,459,104 | 86,652 |
| Essex | 50 | 8,682,396 | 173,648 | 50 | 8,682,396 | 173,648 |
| Fairfield | 77 | 13,460,332 | 174,810 | 77 | 13,460,332 | 174,810 |
| Farmington | 139 | 18,963,385 | 136,427 | 146 | 19,234,985 | 131,746 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|--------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Franklin | 2 | 300,000 | 150,000 | 2 | 300,000 | 150,000 |
| Glastonbury | 187 | 30,581,153 | 163,536 | 187 | 30,581,153 | 163,536 |
| Goshen | 31 | 6,089,000 | 196,419 | 31 | 6,089,000 | 196,419 |
| Granby | 73 | 10,342,255 | 141,675 | 73 | 10,342,255 | 141,675 |
| Greenwich | 97 | 52,555,137 | 541,806 | 105 | 53,434,212 | 508,897 |
| Griswold | 42 | 4,045,500 | 96,321 | 46 | 4,150,500 | 90,228 |
| Groton | 134 | 15,503,751 | 115,700 | 146 | 16,503,751 | 113,039 |
| Guilford | 112 | 19,983,300 | 178,422 | 112 | 19,983,300 | 178,422 |
| Haddam | 42 | 4,588,269 | 109,245 | 42 | 4,588,269 | 109,245 |
| Hamden | 107 | 9,137,460 | 85,397 | 203 | 12,687,460 | 62,500 |
| Hampton | 17 | 1,752,316 | 103,077 | 17 | 1,752,316 | 103,077 |
| Hartford | 30 | 1,579,200 | 52,640 | 44 | 2,204,200 | 50,095 |
| Hartland | 2 | 233,674 | 116,837 | 2 | 233,674 | 116,837 |
| Harwinton | 26 | 5,423,000 | 208,577 | 26 | 5,423,000 | 208,577 |
| Hebron | 79 | 7,922,928 | 100,290 | 79 | 7,922,928 | 100,290 |
| Kent | 13 | 2,287,800 | 175,985 | 13 | 2,287,800 | 175,985 |
| Killingly | 36 | 2,584,277 | 71,785 | 36 | 2,584,277 | 71,785 |
| Killingworth | 73 | 12,164,350 | 166,635 | 73 | 12,164,350 | 166,635 |
| Lebanon | 41 | 3,620,372 | 88,302 | 41 | 3,620,372 | 88,302 |
| Ledyard | 40 | 3,927,950 | 98,199 | 40 | 3,927,950 | 98,199 |
| Lisbon | 25 | 2,159,147 | 86,366 | 25 | 2,159,147 | 86,366 |
| Litchfield | 45 | 5,423,486 | 120,522 | 45 | 5,423,486 | 120,522 |
| Lyme | 16 | 4,075,258 | 254,704 | 16 | 4,075,258 | 254,704 |
| Madison | 82 | 17,219,879 | 209,999 | 82 | 17,219,879 | 209,999 |
| Manchester | 109 | 11,502,752 | 105,530 | 147 | 13,007,752 | 88,488 |
| Mansfield | 70 | 8,324,695 | 118,924 | 86 | 8,697,195 | 101,130 |
| Marlborough | 38 | 6,661,445 | 175,301 | 38 | 6,661,445 | 175,301 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Meriden | 41 | 3,259,290 | 79,495 | 43 | 3,367,890 | 78,323 |
| Middlebury | 29 | 5,907,720 | 203,714 | 29 | 5,907,720 | 203,714 |
| Middlefield | 26 | 3,316,800 | 127,569 | 26 | 3,316,800 | 127,569 |
| Middletown | 87 | 5,762,545 | 66,236 | 142 | 6,362,055 | 44,803 |
| Milford | 151 | 17,196,539 | 113,884 | 258 | 20,071,539 | 77,797 |
| Monroe | 69 | 12,285,000 | 178,043 | 69 | 12,285,000 | 178,043 |
| Montville | 40 | 3,823,887 | 95,597 | 40 | 3,823,887 | 95,597 |
| Morris | 11 | 1,630,000 | 148,182 | 15 | 1,690,000 | 112,667 |
| Naugatuck | 64 | 6,252,916 | 97,702 | 64 | 6,252,916 | 97,702 |
| New Britain | 10 | 419,000 | 41,900 | 13 | 569,000 | 43,769 |
| New Canaan | 54 | 33,883,500 | 627,472 | 56 | 34,233,500 | 611,313 |
| New Fairfield | 27 | 3,837,763 | 142,139 | 27 | 3,837,763 | 142,139 |
| New Hartford | 30 | 4,065,900 | 135,530 | 30 | 4,065,900 | 135,530 |
| New Haven | 173 | 16,252,896 | 93,947 | 234 | 19,219,813 | 82,136 |
| New London | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Milford | 181 | 31,864,354 | 176,046 | 181 | 31,864,354 | 176,046 |
| Newington | 94 | 12,643,538 | 134,506 | 94 | 12,993,538 | 138,229 |
| Newtown | 221 | 40,104,956 | 181,470 | 221 | 40,104,956 | 181,470 |
| Norfolk | 5 | 982,531 | 196,506 | 5 | 982,531 | 196,506 |
| North Branford | 29 | 3,129,811 | 107,925 | 29 | 3,129,811 | 107,925 |
| North Canaan | 6 | 938,000 | 156,333 | 6 | 938,000 | 156,333 |
| North Haven | 48 | 7,517,540 | 156,615 | 209 | 15,378,623 | 73,582 |
| North Stonington | 33 | 3,519,357 | 106,647 | 33 | 3,519,357 | 106,647 |
| Norwalk | 99 | 17,045,368 | 172,175 | 151 | 20,180,654 | 133,647 |
| Norwich | 28 | 3,053,827 | 109,065 | 28 | 3,053,827 | 109,065 |
| Old Lyme | 41 | 8,834,144 | 215,467 | 41 | 8,834,144 | 215,467 |
| Old Saybrook | 19 | 3,141,243 | 165,329 | 19 | 3,141,243 | 165,329 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Orange | 19 | 3,983,040 | 209,634 | 19 | 3,983,040 | 209,634 |
| Oxford | 74 | 8,798,000 | 118,892 | 74 | 8,798,000 | 118,892 |
| Plainfield | 51 | 4,442,500 | 87,108 | 51 | 4,442,500 | 87,108 |
| Plainville | 26 | 1,962,971 | 75,499 | 26 | 1,962,971 | 75,499 |
| Plymouth | 43 | 4,497,000 | 104,581 | 65 | 5,288,645 | 81,364 |
| Pomfret | 34 | 4,108,000 | 120,824 | 34 | 4,108,000 | 120,824 |
| Portland | 50 | 6,530,502 | 130,610 | 50 | 6,530,502 | 130,610 |
| Preston | 20 | 2,110,000 | 105,500 | 20 | 2,110,000 | 105,500 |
| Prospect | 62 | 8,177,320 | 131,892 | 62 | 8,177,320 | 131,892 |
| Putnam | 7 | 621,594 | 88,799 | 11 | 771,879 | 70,171 |
| Redding | 47 | 13,068,118 | 278,045 | 53 | 13,353,118 | 251,946 |
| Ridgefield | 83 | 24,810,375 | 298,920 | 83 | 24,810,375 | 298,920 |
| Rocky Hill | 91 | 9,974,304 | 109,608 | 95 | 10,227,485 | 107,658 |
| Roxbury | 29 | 9,238,172 | 318,558 | 29 | 9,238,172 | 318,558 |
| Salem | 17 | 2,795,724 | 164,454 | 17 | 2,795,724 | 164,454 |
| Salisbury | 7 | 2,750,000 | 392,857 | 7 | 2,750,000 | 392,857 |
| Scotland | 9 | 769,500 | 85,500 | 9 | 769,500 | 85,500 |
| Seymour | 44 | 4,154,766 | 94,427 | 46 | 4,235,766 | 92,082 |
| Sharon | 10 | 1,641,500 | 164,150 | 10 | 1,641,500 | 164,150 |
| Shelton | 159 | 16,921,492 | 106,424 | 169 | 17,610,252 | 104,203 |
| Sherman | 25 | 4,614,297 | 184,572 | 25 | 4,614,297 | 184,572 |
| Simsbury | 68 | 11,057,857 | 162,616 | 68 | 11,057,857 | 162,616 |
| Somers | 57 | 7,672,128 | 134,599 | 57 | 7,672,128 | 134,599 |
| South Windsor | 100 | 14,479,400 | 144,794 | 100 | 14,479,400 | 144,794 |
| Southbury | 105 | 16,188,388 | 154,175 | 105 | 16,188,388 | 154,175 |
| Southington | 231 | 19,239,712 | 83,289 | 265 | 21,069,712 | 79,508 |
| Sprague | 4 | 387,000 | 96,750 | 4 | 387,000 | 96,750 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Stafford | 50 | 5,072,000 | 101,440 | 50 | 5,072,000 | 101,440 |
| Stamford | 74 | 17,814,893 | 240,742 | 451 | 63,692,116 | 141,224 |
| Sterling | 25 | 1,783,000 | 71,320 | 25 | 1,783,000 | 71,320 |
| Stonington | 90 | 14,899,552 | 165,551 | 90 | 14,899,552 | 165,551 |
| Stratford | 34 | 3,369,900 | 99,115 | 38 | 3,569,900 | 93,945 |
| Suffield | 90 | 13,845,400 | 153,838 | 90 | 13,845,400 | 153,838 |
| Thomaston | 56 | 6,776,000 | 121,000 | 56 | 6,776,000 | 121,000 |
| Thompson | 39 | 4,241,844 | 108,765 | 39 | 4,241,844 | 108,765 |
| Tolland | 149 | 22,598,500 | 151,668 | 149 | 22,598,500 | 151,668 |
| Torrington | 110 | 8,802,900 | 80,026 | 110 | 8,802,900 | 80,026 |
| Trumbull | 98 | 18,039,762 | 184,079 | 98 | 18,039,762 | 184,079 |
| Union | 4 | 589,000 | 147,250 | 4 | 589,000 | 147,250 |
| Vernon | 60 | 6,120,803 | 102,013 | 60 | 6,120,803 | 102,013 |
| Voluntown | 27 | 2,396,000 | 88,741 | 27 | 2,396,000 | 88,741 |
| Wallingford | 191 | 25,778,758 | 134,967 | 191 | 25,778,758 | 134,967 |
| Warren | 10 | 2,175,812 | 217,581 | 10 | 2,175,812 | 217,581 |
| Washington | 12 | 2,600,772 | 216,731 | 12 | 2,600,772 | 216,731 |
| Waterbury | 64 | 3,349,400 | 52,334 | 66 | 3,399,400 | 51,506 |
| Waterford | 70 | 9,741,542 | 139,165 | 70 | 9,741,542 | 139,165 |
| Watertown | 69 | 9,638,656 | 139,691 | 69 | 9,638,656 | 139,691 |
| West Hartford | 32 | 4,071,000 | 127,219 | 32 | 4,071,000 | 127,219 |
| West Haven | 38 | 1,472,599 | 38,753 | 40 | 1,517,599 | 37,940 |
| Westbrook | 49 | 6,375,615 | 130,115 | 49 | 6,375,615 | 130,115 |
| Weston | 31 | 22,910,290 | 739,042 | 31 | 22,910,290 | 739,042 |
| Westport | 90 | 32,761,895 | 364,021 | 90 | 32,761,895 | 364,021 |
| Wethersfield | 40 | 6,289,650 | 157,241 | 40 | 6,289,650 | 157,241 |
| Willington | 10 | 1,244,080 | 124,408 | 10 | 1,244,080 | 124,408 |

**Housing Units and Residential Construction Activity Authorized in 1999
In Alphabetical Order
Valuations of Residential Construction**

| State/Towns | Single Family | | | All Units (Including Single & Multi-Units) | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|
| | Units | Valuations | Valuations Per Unit | Total Units | Total Valuation | Valuations Per Unit |
| Wilton | 40 | 9,232,556 | 230,814 | 40 | 9,232,556 | 230,814 |
| Winchester | 18 | 2,266,565 | 125,920 | 18 | 2,266,565 | 125,920 |
| Windham | 36 | 2,282,054 | 63,390 | 36 | 2,282,054 | 63,390 |
| Windsor | 48 | 5,829,962 | 121,458 | 48 | 5,829,962 | 121,458 |
| Windsor Locks | 16 | 1,613,652 | 100,853 | 20 | 1,810,887 | 90,544 |
| Wolcott | 67 | 6,920,761 | 103,295 | 67 | 6,920,761 | 103,295 |
| Woodbridge | 27 | 5,795,000 | 214,630 | 27 | 5,795,000 | 214,630 |
| Woodbury | 44 | 8,085,742 | 183,767 | 44 | 8,085,742 | 183,767 |
| Woodstock | 37 | 4,956,707 | 133,965 | 37 | 4,956,707 | 133,965 |

DESCRIPTION OF SURVEY AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SERVICES

The statistics provided in this publication were based on reports submitted monthly by local building officials in Connecticut, in response to a mail survey conducted by the U. S. Bureau of the Census.

The estimated inventory of housing units in Connecticut towns was based on the net change in housing units authorized from January 1991 through the end of December 1998, which was added to the full count of housing units in structures, were derived from the monthly reports and the 1990 Census of Population and Housing.

DATA PROCESSING AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES

The data that were reported monthly was successively downloaded electronically from the diskette distributed by Census. They include data estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for those places that provided reports for fewer than 12 months in a year. If a community issued no reports to Bureau of the Census, that town will not appear in the tables listing data by town.

SURVEY CHANGES

At some locations, statistics on new housing units authorized in the permit jurisdiction have been kept for more than a century. The U.S. Bureau of the Census has published a book useful for time-series analysis, Housing Construction Statistics: 1889 to 1964.

In 1954, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor published permit data for virtually all the permit-issuing locations surveyed. Since 1959, the U.S. Bureau of the Census of the U.S. Department of Commerce has been collecting permit information through mail surveys of local building officials in 17,000 locations.

The State of Connecticut has actively cooperated with the federal government since this mail survey began. The Department of Public Works was the first cooperating agency, succeeded by the Department of Community Affairs, the Department of Housing and now the Department of Economic and Community Development.

Beginning in January 1987, several changes were made to the reporting and classifying of various survey items. Buildings and the valuation of additions, alterations, and conversions to residential buildings were classified under a common item number. Similarly, buildings and the evaluation of additions, alterations, and conversions to non-housekeeping and nonresidential buildings were classified under a common item number. In both circumstances, housing units were no longer reported.

The survey no longer distinguished between additions, alterations, and conversions that resulted in an increase, or decrease to the housing inventory in Connecticut. Furthermore, mobile homes were no longer within the scope of the survey.

DATA RELIABILITY

Although the statistics in this report were not subject to sampling variability, they were subject to various response and operational errors that could be attributed to many sources such as the inability to obtain information about all cases, the differences in the interpretation of questions, the inability or unwillingness by respondents to provide correct information, and data-processing errors.

Explicit measures of the effects of these were not available. However, DECD believed that most of the important operational errors were detected in the course of the cooperative review of the data for reasonableness and consistency. The participants in the review included the U.S. Bureau of the Census, DECD, and local officials.

The review by DECD did not end with this publication. As errors are resolved or permits modified or rescinded, the computer file is updated.

DATA LIMITATIONS

In Connecticut, by state statute no building or structure may be “constructed or altered until an application has been filed (with a municipal building official) by the owner of the premises affected or his agent,” and a permit has been issued.

Building permits have been required prior to the beginning of any construction or alteration since October 1, 1970. Similarly, no person may “demolish any building, structure or part thereof without obtaining a permit for the particular demolition undertaking” from a municipal administrative officer (C.G.S. Sec. 29-263; 29-406)

Continuing sample surveys conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census indicate that construction resulted in all but two percent of the new housing units nationally authorized by permits.

Construction typically begins during the month of the permit issuance, and most of the remaining works begins within the following three months.

Therefore, the housing-unit statistics displayed in this report do not represent the number of units actually put into construction for the period shown, and should not be directly interpreted as “housing starts”.

In certain instances, a developer may have been given notice to proceed with the construction of federal public housing without a reported building permit. In these instances the data relate to the award of construction contract.

DATA CHARACTERISTICS

The working definition of a housing unit was “a room or group of rooms intended for occupancy as separate living quarters”. Hence, each apartment unit in an apartment building was counted as one housing unit. For example, one new building containing 260 apartments would appear in the housing unit table as 260 housing units.

However, a housing unit may be unoccupied at a particular time or year-round. By contrast, a household included all the persons who occupy a housing unit. To estimate the number of households it was necessary to multiply the overall number of housing units. At a given time by the overall owner-occupied and renter-occupied housing units observed in the most recent census or other vacancy survey by the number of all housing units at the time of the survey.

To avoid duplication, respondents were cautioned to include foundation permits only when a separate foundation permit was issued, and it has a construction cost. Respondents were obstructed to include the cost of the foundation when it was authorized, but not to enter the number of buildings or housing units. Buildings, housing units, and remaining costs were counted only in the month that the superstructures were authorized. Similarly, when the superstructure only constituted a shell, the cost of completion of the interior was included in the month that the completion was authorized.

The valuation of construction as displayed in these tables was the cost of construction as recorded on the building permit. This figure usually excluded the cost of on-site development and improvements, and the cost of heating, plumbing, electrical and elevator installations.

According to the U.S. Bureau of the Census, the characteristics of building-permit data further restricted their value as indicators of the dollar volume of residential and nonresidential construction. Any attempt to use these figures for inter-area comparisons of construction volume must, at best, be made cautiously and with broad reservation.