



# STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

CLAUDETTE J. BEAULIEU  
Deputy Commissioner

December 26, 2012

TELEPHONE  
(860) 424-5004

TDD/TTY  
1-800-842-4524

FAX  
(860) 424-4899

Earl S. Johnson, Ph.D., Director  
Administration for Children and Families  
Office of Family Assistance, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor East  
370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW  
Washington, DC 20477-0001

RE: TANF Caseload Reduction Credit Report for FFY 2013

Dear Dr. Johnson,

Enclosed please find a revised TANF Caseload Reduction Credit Report for FFY 2013, Form ACF-202, with attachments and certification for the State of Connecticut.

According to the analysis shown in this report, Connecticut's caseload reduction credit for FFY 2011 is **28.6%**. This percentage should be applied to reduce Connecticut's minimum all families participation rate from 50% to 21.4%. This caseload reduction credit was calculated by comparing the caseloads in FFY 2005 to FFY 2012. Additionally, the number of families served by TANF and SSP funding was adjusted to offset the pro rata share of the number of cases funded from FFY 2012 Maintenance of Effort (MOE) expenditures made by the State of Connecticut in excess of the required amount.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact Peter J. Palermino, Manager, Family Services Division, Bureau of Assistance Programs, at (860) 424-5006.

Sincerely,

Claudette J. Beaulieu  
Deputy Commissioner

CJB/jb  
Enclosure

cc: Robert Shelbourne, Director, Division of State TANF Policy, ACF, HHS  
Carol Monteiro, Regional TANF Program Manager, ACF, Region 1  
Sharon Rencsko, TANF Program Specialist, ACF, Region 1  
Roderick L. Bremby, Commissioner, DSS  
Kathleen M. Brennan, Deputy Commissioner, DSS  
Lee Voghel, Director, Division of Financial Management and Analysis, DSS  
Peter J. Palermino, Manager, Family Services Division, DSS  
Julie A. Bisi, Planning Specialist, Family Services Division, DSS

25 SIGOURNEY STREET • HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06106-5033

An Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action Employer  
Printed on Recycled or Recovered Paper



**TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**  
**For Federal Fiscal Year 2013**

**State of Connecticut**  
**Department of Social Services**

December 26, 2012

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

## TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT FFY 2013

### INTRODUCTION

The State of Connecticut, Department of Social Services is required to complete and submit annually Form ACF-202, the Caseload Reduction Report for the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY), to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, in accordance with federal regulations at 45 CFR 260 et seq.

The federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant includes specific performance expectations and requirements to help federal and state governments measure program success. All states are required to meet specific work participation rates, which reflect the percentage of families receiving TANF assistance that must be engaged in federally-defined work activities.

The caseload reduction report provides an analysis of case activity including activity related to changes in eligibility criteria. The caseload reduction credit for FFY 2013 reduces the state's work participation target rate for that fiscal year based on the decline in the FFY 2012 assistance caseload compared to FFY 2005. The methodology and the case number estimates used are included in this document.

A notice to solicit public comments was published in the Connecticut Law Journal on November 27, 2012. Comments shall be submitted on or before December 20, 2012. No comments regarding the Caseload Reduction Report were submitted to the Department of Social Services.

During FFY 2012, Connecticut operated two assistance programs under the authority of the federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant<sup>1</sup>. These two programs are claimed as TANF Assistance programs:

- Temporary Family Assistance (TFA)
- Foster Care Maintenance (FCM)

In FFY 2012, there were no assistance cases claimed under separate state programs (SSP). The TFA Cases with Certain Exempt Adults assistance program previously funded as a separate state program is funded as a solely state funded program, effective as of October 1, 2008. The Two-Parent Temporary Family Assistance program, previously funded as a separate state program, is funded as a solely state funded program, effective as of October 1, 2007.

---

<sup>1</sup>Connecticut's TANF State Plan also lists Energy Assistance. However, during FFY 2011, TANF dollars were not actually claimed for this program.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

### TANF ASSISTANCE PROGRAM NARRATIVE & METHODOLOGY

Changes in eligibility criteria that the state has made on or after October 1, 2006 that could cause the state's caseload to decrease or increase are described below.

#### **Temporary Family Assistance (TFA-TANF)**

The Temporary Family Assistance (TFA) program provides cash assistance for ongoing basic needs. All TFA cases are time-limited unless all adults in the family are exempt from the time-limit provisions. These programs are descendants of the AFDC program, but have been modified extensively since FFY 1995, first under welfare reform demonstration waivers, then pursuant to changes associated with TFA becoming a TANF-based assistance program, and a third time effective 10/1/01. The eligibility and policies regarding this program have not been modified since 10/1/06. These programs constitute the vast majority of the state's overall assistance caseload.

Effective as of October 1, 2008, Certain Exempt TFA households became solely state funded and are no longer funded with Federal TANF or Commingled funds, or as a Separate State Program, as was the case prior to FFY 2008.

#### **Foster Care Maintenance (FCM-TANF)**

Connecticut uses TANF funds to provide Foster Care Maintenance (FCM) payments for children who are in the custody of the Department of Children and Families (DCF). TANF covers the portion of the maintenance services that were authorized under the prior law Emergency Assistance (EAF) program. Benefits are funded by TANF for up to twelve months of care, but only those issued for more than four consecutive months are included as "assistance."

Assistance begins for cases that meet the durational criterion in addition to the other criteria described under the former EAF program and the TANF state plan.

There have been no eligibility changes to Foster Care Maintenance, in the context of the TANF block grant. Therefore, no case closures are evaluated for their effect on the overall assistance caseload. The FFY 2012 FCM average monthly assistance caseload is simply added into the TANF assistance caseload for FFY 2012.

#### **Safety Net Basic Needs (Program No Longer TANF MOE)**

Effective September 30, 2006 the State stopped claiming as a TANF state maintenance of effort expenditure the Safety Net "Basic Needs" Program for families who exhausted time-limited TFA and who were not eligible for extensions for reasons other than having income over the TFA payment standard. The program continues to operate outside of the TANF program. The Safety Net Basic Needs program provides eligible families with vendor payments for basic needs such as food, rent, utilities, and clothing. This component was previously categorized as a Separate State MOE *assistance* program. The caseload for this program in FFY 11 from non-TANF funds are "added back" to the average FFY 2012 Caseload for Caseload Reduction Credit comparison to FFY 2005.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

### **Two-Parent TFA (No TANF Federal, SSP or MOE Expenditures)**

Effective as of October 1, 2007, the Two-Parent Temporary Family Assistance program, previously funded as a Separate State Program, is funded as a solely state funded program. The Two-Parent Temporary Family Assistance program has been removed from the TANF Plan as an assistance program. Effective October 1, 2007, cash assistance is provided to two parent households as a solely state-funded program, although the families are eligible for non-assistance programs such as Employment Services. Since that date, there have been no changes in eligibility to evaluate for caseload effects. Therefore, the average monthly FFY 2012 Two-Parent TFA caseload would normally have been added to the State's overall assistance caseload. However, the state did not utilize TANF federal or state Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2007, and thus the effect was a reduction in the total SSP-MOE caseload. The caseload for this program in FFY 11 from non-TANF funds are "added back" to the average FFY 2012 TANF caseload for Caseload Reduction Credit comparison to FFY 2005.

### **Certain Exempts - Temporary Family Assistance (TFA) (No TANF, SSP or MOE Expenditures)**

The Temporary Family Assistance (TFA) program provides cash assistance for ongoing basic needs for families including families with adults who are identified with certain exempt status. Effective as of October 1, 2008, Certain Exempt TFA households became solely state funded and are no longer funded as regular TFA with Federal TANF or Commingled funds (FFY 2008), or as a Separate State Program, as it was prior to FFY 2008.

Therefore, similar to Two-Parent TFA, the caseload for this program in FFY 2012 is "added back" for Caseload Reduction Credit comparison to FFY 2005.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

### Analysis of the Effect of Policy Changes

For reasons articulated above, the State's analysis of the effect of policy changes on the overall caseload includes the removal of the Safety Net Basic Needs Program (SNBN), and the Two-Parent and Certain Exempt Temporary Family Assistance program from TANF Commingled and Separate State Program Maintenance of Effort to solely state-funded programs. These eligibility and funding changes impact the caseload reduction credit. For the purposes of analysis, the state has reviewed the monthly caseloads of Temporary Family Assistance (TFA), Two Parent TFA and Certain Exempt TFA case data obtained from the Eligibility Management System (EMS), as well as Foster Care Maintenance, and Safety Net Basic Needs data obtained from the contractors and other sources described in detail below, to arrive at the estimated impact of eligibility and caseload changes on Connecticut's total FFY 2012 assistance caseload.

### TANF MOE EXCESS CASELOAD REDUCTION METHODOLOGY

The methodology used to calculate the excess MOE Expenditures and Caseload ratios are based on total FFY 2012 TANF, state MOE, and separate state program MOE expenditures. The total TANF expenditures equaled \$465,974,889, of which \$240,109,297 was from the federal block grant. In FFY12, the amount of MOE dollars required at 75% equaled \$183,421,057, while actual MOE expenditures were \$225,865,592. This resulted in an excess amount of MOE expenditures equal to \$42,444,535. Based on an average Assistance caseload cost of \$5,613 and expenditures on Assistance programs equal to \$85,153,363 or 18.27% of all TANF expenditures, the excess MOE Assistance equals \$7,756,416. or an offset number of cases equal to 1,398.

Under CFR Part 261.43 "What is the definition of a "case receiving assistance" in calculating the caseload reduction credit?" states: "(2) A State that is investing State MOE funds in eligible families in excess of the required 80 percent or 75 percent basic MOE amount need only include the pro rata share of caseloads receiving assistance that is required to meet the basic MOE requirements."

The total average number of TANF and SSP cases served in FFY 2012 equaled 15,352. Due to program eligibility & policy changes, 3,243 cases (1,429 Two Parent, 1,866 Certain Exempt and 52 Safety Net Basic Needs cases), are to be added back into the overall caseload when it is compared to the base year caseload of 24,088 in FFY 05. The adjusted caseload equals the 2012 TANF and SSP average monthly number of cases served. This is 15,352 minus the excess MOE caseload offset of 1,398 for a caseload equal to 13,954, plus the 3,243 "added back" or net impact cases that are no longer included because of eligibility or policy changes but are included to maintain comparable caseloads to the FFY 2005 program. The total adjusted caseload with net impact changes therefore equals 17,197.

The difference between the base year caseload of 24,088 (FFY 2005) and an adjusted FFY 2012 caseload of 17,197 equals a decline with net impact of 6,891 cases. This is divided by the base year caseload to establish a Caseload Reduction Credit for 2013 of 28.6%.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/12

**State:** CONNECTICUT

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

In Connecticut the pro rata share of excess Maintenance of Effort (MOE) for TANF and SSP expenditures and caseload was calculated as follows:

**Connecticut**

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/2012

### Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet

#### Caseload Data

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	19,830
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	4,258
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>24,088</b>
FY 2012 TANF Caseload	15,352
FY 2012 SSP Caseload	0
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>15,352</b>

#### 2-Parent Caseload Data

FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	1,423 *
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>1,423</b>
FY 2012 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2012 2-p SSP Caseload	0
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Adjusted Caseload Data

Adjusted FY 2012 Overall Caseload	13,954
Adjusted FY 2012 2-parent Caseload	0

#### Expenditure Data

<b>Total Expenditures</b>	
FY 2012 Total Federal Expenditures	\$240,109,297
FY 2012 Total MOE Expenditures	\$225,865,592
<b>Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)</b>	<b>\$465,974,889</b>

#### Assistance Expenditures

FY 2012 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$8,904,348
FY 2012 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$76,249,014
<b>Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal+MOE)</b>	<b>\$85,153,362</b>
Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	18.27%

#### Expenditures Per Case

Average Expenditures per Case	\$30,353
Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$5,547

#### MOE and Excess MOE

Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$183,421,057
Excess MOE Expenditures	\$42,444,535
Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$7,756,416

**Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE** 1,398

**2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE** 0

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/12

**State:** CONNECTICUT

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

Overall Report  (check one)  
Two-parent Report

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? N/A\_ yes  
\_\_\_\_\_ no

**PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005**  
**(Complete this section for EACH change)**

1. Name of eligibility change: **Safety Net Basic Needs Program – No TANF or MOE Expenditures**
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **October 1, 2006**
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

**Safety Net Basic Needs Program (SN-BN)**

Effective September 30, 2006 the State stopped claiming as TANF or MOE expenditures the Safety Net “Basic Needs” Program for families who exhausted time-limited TFA and who were not eligible for extensions for reasons other than having income over the TFA payment standard. The Safety Net Basic Needs program provides eligible families with vendor payments for basic needs such as food, rent, utilities, and clothing. This component was categorized as a Separate State MOE *assistance* program. The caseload data for this group from the last report is listed as to be “added back” to the Average Monthly FFY 2012 Caseload.

There had been no changes in eligibility to evaluate for caseload effects. Therefore, the average monthly SN-BN caseload would have been added to the State’s overall assistance caseload. However, the state did not utilize TANF federal or state Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2006, and thus the effect was a reduction in the total SSP-MOE caseload.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

The state does not utilize TANF or Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2006.

The average monthly caseload between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012 equaled **61**. These cases are not claimed in the Maintenance of Effort expenditures. There were no participants which may be claimed in the caseload from the Safety Net – Basic Needs. The impact of this establishes a reduction in the average monthly caseload by **52** cases. These **52** cases are to be “added back to the TANF caseload” to level the comparison to the FFY 2005 base year average monthly caseload.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year:

Avg. Monthly Caseload FFY 2012 = **52** as compared to the Avg. Monthly Caseload FFY 2005 = 56

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/12

**State:** CONNECTICUT

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Two Parent TFA Program – No TANF or MOE Expenditures**
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **October 1, 2007**
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

### **Two Parent TFA Program**

Effective October 1, 2007 the State stopped claiming the Two Parent component of the TFA program as TANF or MOE expenditures. The Two-Parent Temporary Family Assistance program has been removed from the TANF Plan as an assistance program. Effective October 1, 2007, cash assistance is provided to two parent households in a solely state funded program. Two Parent families are eligible for non-assistance programs such as Employment Services.

There had been no changes in eligibility to evaluate for caseload effects. The average monthly caseload data for this group is “added back” to the Average Monthly FFY 2012 Caseload. Caseload numbers for Two Parent TFA in prior years had been shown in the Separate State Program (SSP) sections. The state did not utilize TANF federal or state Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2007, and thus the effect was a reduction in the total SSP-MOE caseload.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

The state does not utilize TANF or Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2007.

The average monthly caseload between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012 equaled 1,429; however, none of these cases were claimed in TANF federal or state Maintenance of Effort expenditures. There were no participants which may be claimed in the caseload from the Two Parent Family assistance units. The impact of this establishes a reduction in the average monthly caseload by 1,429 cases. These cases are to be “added back” to the TANF caseload to level the comparison to the FFY 2005 base year average monthly caseload.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year:

Avg. Monthly Caseload FFY 2012 = 1,429 as compared to FFY 2005 = 1,423

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/12

**State:** CONNECTICUT

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

1. Name of eligibility change: **Certain Exempt TFA Program – No TANF or MOE Expenditures**
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: **October 1, 2008**
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

### **Certain Exempt TFA Program**

Effective October 1, 2008 the State stopped claiming the Certain Exempt component of the TFA program as TANF, Commingled, or Separate State Program MOE expenditures. The Certain Exempt Temporary Family Assistance program has been removed from the TANF Plan as an assistance program. Effective October 1, 2008, cash assistance is provided to Certain Exempt households in a solely state funded program.

There had been no changes in eligibility to evaluate for caseload effects. The average monthly caseload data for this group is “added back” to the average monthly FFY 2012 caseload. Caseload numbers for Certain Exempt TFA in prior years had been shown in TANF Caseload (FFY 2008) and Separate State Program (prior to FFY 2008). The state did not utilize TANF federal or state Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2008, and thus the effect was a reduction in the total caseload.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

The state does not utilize TANF or Maintenance of Effort dollars for this program as of October 1, 2008.

The average monthly caseload between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012 equaled 1,866; however, none of these cases were claimed in TANF federal or state Maintenance of Effort expenditures. There were no participants claimed in the caseload from the Certain Exempt assistance units. The impact of this establishes a reduction in the average monthly caseload by 1,866 cases. These cases are to be “added back” to the TANF caseload to level the comparison to the FFY 2005 base year average monthly caseload.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year:

Avg. Monthly Caseload FFY 2012 = 1,866 as compared to FFY 2005 = 2,401

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/12

**State:** CONNECTICUT

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2013

**PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit**

**Connecticut**

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:**

**2013**

**Date of Completion:** 12/26/12

**PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit**

Impact of All Changes

Two Parent Cases (No TANF or MOE Funding)	-1429
Safety Net Basic Needs (No TANF or MOE Funding)	-52
Certain Exempt Cases (No TANF or MOE Funding)	-1,866

Caseload Reduction Calculation

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	19,830	
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	4,258	
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>24,088</b>	
FY 2012 TANF Caseload	15,352	
FY 2012 SSP Caseload	0	
<b>Total FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>15,352</b>	
Excess MOE Cases FY 2012	1,398	
<b>Adjusted FY 2012 Caseload</b>	<b>13,954</b>	
Caseload Decline	10,134	42.1%
Decline – Net Impact	6,891	
	Caseload Reduction Credit =	<b>28.6%</b>

**Net Impact** **-3,243**

Pro rata offset / Excess MOE (>75%) Eligible Families adjusted in TANF & SSP FFY 11 Caseload\*

\*Under CFR Part 261.43 "What is the definition of a "case receiving assistance" in calculating the caseload reduction credit?" states: "(2) A State that is investing State MOE funds in eligible families in excess of the required 80 percent or 75 percent basic MOE amount need only include the pro rata share of caseloads receiving assistance that is required to meet the basic MOE requirements."

<i>Total FY 2005 Caseload</i>	<i>24,088</i>
<i>Adjusted Caseload w/ net impact &amp; Excess MOE</i>	<i>17,197</i>
<i>Difference in FFY and Base Year</i>	<i>6,891</i>
<i>Percent change</i>	<i>28.6%</i>

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

### Safety Net Basic Needs Program No TANF Federal or MOE Funds Claimed in FFY 2012

#### Safety Net Basic Needs Program TFA Program FFY 2012

	Impact on Each Month in FY 2012												
	Oct-11	Nov	Dec	Jan-12	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
<b>Time of Closure</b>													
Oct-11	66												
Nov	47												
Dec	46												
Jan-12	38												
Feb	31												
Mar	49												
Apr	58												
May	68												
Jun	29												
Jul	38												
Aug	103												
Sep	56												
<b>Total</b>	66	47	46	38	31	49	58	68	29	38	103	56	<b>Grand Total</b>
	629											FY 2012 monthly average	52

No TANF Federal or Maintenance of Effort Expenditures were claimed in FFY 12 for the Safety Net Basic Needs Program. The impact shown on the average monthly caseload of the program operating with non-TANF Funds for the program year. The average monthly caseload equaled 52 in FFY 2012.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

### Two Parent TFA Program No TANF Federal or MOE Funds Claimed in FFY 2012

#### Two Parent TFA Program FFY 2012

	Impact on Each Month in FY 2012												
	Oct-11	Nov	Dec	Jan-12	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
<b>Time of Closure</b>													
Oct-11	1,493												
Nov		1,478											
Dec			1,452										
Jan-12				1,407									
Feb					1,408								
Mar						1,405							
Apr							1,420						
May								1,385					
Jun									1,399				
Jul										1,404			
Aug											1,449		
Sep												1,449	
<b>Total</b>	1,493	1,478	1,452	1,407	1,408	1,405	1,420	1,385	1,399	1,404	1,449	1,449	Grand Total 17,149
	17,149											FY 2012 monthly average	-1,429

There were no TANF Federal or Maintenance of Effort expenditure claims FFY 12 for the Two Parent Program. It is a Solely State Funded (SSF) Program. The average monthly caseload equaled 1,429 in FFY 2012.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

### Certain Exempt TFA Program No TANF Federal or MOE Funds Claimed in FFY 2012

#### Certain Exempt TFA Program FFY 2012

	Impact on Each Month in FY 2012													
	Oct-11	Nov	Dec	Jan-12	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
<b>Time of Closure</b>														
Oct-11	1,844													
Nov		1,846												
Dec			1,924											
Jan-12				1,905										
Feb					1,939									
Mar						1,974								
Apr							1,942							
May								1,894						
Jun									1,797					
Jul										1,797				
Aug											1,779			
Sep												1,748		
<b>Total</b>	1,844	1,846	1,924	1,905	1,939	1,974	1,942	1,894	1,797	1,797	1,779	1,748		<b>Grand Total</b>
	22,389												FY 2012 monthly average	-1,866

There were no TANF Federal or Maintenance of Effort expenditure claims FFY 12 for the Certain Exempt Program. It is a Solely State Funded (SSF) Program. The average monthly caseload equaled 1,866 in FFY 2012.

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT


Date of Completion: 12/26/11

State: CONNECTICUT

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2013

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(signature)

Claudette J. Beaulieu

\_\_\_\_\_  
(name)

Deputy Commissioner, Connecticut Department of Social Services

\_\_\_\_\_  
(title)

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

**Notice Published in Connecticut Law Journal on November 27, 2012.**

### TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Pursuant to federal regulations at 45 CFR 261.40 et seq., the Connecticut Department of Social Services is seeking public review and comment on the methodology and the case number estimates used in its Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Caseload Reduction Report to calculate the state's TANF Work Participation Rate for Federal Fiscal Year 2013.

The federal TANF block grant includes specific performance expectations and requirements to help federal and state governments measure program success. All states are required to meet specific work participation rates. Federal law sets required work participation rates, which reflect the percentage of families receiving TANF assistance that must be engaged in federally-defined work activities.

To ensure that states receive credit for families that have become self-sufficient, Congress created the caseload reduction credit. States must complete form ACF-202, the Caseload Reduction Report, and provide the public with an opportunity to comment on its methodology and estimates. The reduction report provides an analysis of monthly caseload, case closure, and application activity, including activity related to changes in eligibility criteria, to arrive at the estimated impact of eligibility changes on the state's average assistance caseloads in FFY 2012 (October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2012).

The caseload reduction credit reduces the required participation rate(s) that a state must meet for a given fiscal year. It reflects the net percentage point reduction in the state's caseload in the prior fiscal year as compared to the caseload in base year FFY 2005. The Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 recalibrated the base year to be Federal Fiscal Year 2005. Thus, the caseload reduction credit for FFY 2013 reduces the state's work participation rate for that fiscal year based on the caseload decline in the FFY 2012 compared to FFY 2005. However, caseload declines attributable to state or federal changes in eligibility criteria do not count toward the caseload credit.

Statement of Purpose: to solicit public comments on the Caseload Reduction Report in accordance with federal TANF regulations.

Written comments on Connecticut's Caseload Reduction Report may be submitted to the Department by December 20, 2012 to the attention of: Julie Bisi, Planning Specialist, Department of Social Services, Family Services Division, 25 Sigourney St., Hartford, CT 06106 or [julie.bisi@ct.gov](mailto:julie.bisi@ct.gov).

A full copy of the report is available at no cost upon request to the Department. To receive a copy, email [julie.bisi@ct.gov](mailto:julie.bisi@ct.gov) or call (860) 424-5877. The report will also be available on the web at <http://ct.gov/dss>