Table of Contents

1. Introduction 1-1

1.1 Project Background 1-1
1.2 Study Goals and Objectives 1-2
1.3 Study Area 1-3
1.4 Study Process 1-3
1.5 Public Participation 1-5
1.6 Project Team 1-5

2. Existing Infrastructure System Inventory 2-1

2.1 Existing I-95 Traffic Demand 2-1
2.1.1 Daily Volumes 2-1
2.1.2 Peak Hour Volumes 2-2
2.1.3 Surface Street Traffic Volumes 2-4
2.1.4 Trucks 2-4
2.1.5 Mainline Speeds 2-4
2.2 Geometrics 2-5
2.2.1 Methodology/Review of Geometrics 2-5
2.2.2 Mainline Review 2-5
2.2.3 Interchange Review 2-6
2.3 Existing Traffic Operations 2-9
2.3.1 Methodology/Criteria 2-9
2.3.2 Mainline Operations 2-10
2.3.3 Ramp Operations 2-13
2.3.4 Weaves 2-17
2.3.5 Intersections 2-18
2.3.6 Other Geometric Issues 2-22
2.4 Safety Analysis 2-23
2.4.1 Methodology 2-23
2.4.2 Qualitative Description 2-23
2.4.3 Quantitative Accident Data 2-23
2.5 Deficiencies Summary 2-28
2.6 Multi-Modal Transportation Services 2-30

3. Future Transportation Conditions 3-1

3.1 Forecasting Future Traffic Conditions – 2002 to 2025 3-1
3.1.1 Study Area Land Use Update 3-2
3.2 Future Traffic Demand – Year 2025 3-2
3.2.1 2025 Daily Volumes 3-2
3.2.2 2025 Evening Peak Hour Volumes 3-4
3.3 Future Traffic Operations – Year 2025 3-6
3.3.1 Mainline Operations 3-6
3.3.2 Ramp Operations 3-8
3.3.3 Weaves 3-12
3.3.4 Intersections 3-13
3.3.5 Comparison of Existing and Future Conditions 3-17
3.4 Future Demand vs. Capacity 3-18
3.4.1 2025 Demands Exceeding Capacity 3-18
3.5 Future Deficiencies Summary – Year 2025 3-21

4. Existing Environmental Conditions 4-1

4.1 Constraint Mapping Process 4-1
4.2 Corridor Environmental Constraints 4-2
4.2.1 Surface Water Resources 4-2
4.2.2 Groundwater Resources 4-9
4.2.3 Farmland 4-11
4.2.4 Coastal Resources 4-13
4.2.5 Historic and Archaeological Resources 4-15
4.2.6 Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources 4-20
4.2.7 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species 4-24
4.2.8 Land Use 4-25
4.2.9 Environmental Risk Sites 4-32
4.2.10 Environmental Justice 4-36
4.2.11 Other Unique Features 4-40
4.2.12 Air Quality 4-41
4.2.13 Noise 4-42

5. Improvement Concepts Analysis 5-1

5.1 Future Demand vs. Capacity 5-1
5.2 Alternatives to Reduce Demands 5-3
5.3 Mainline Improvement Concepts to Increase Capacity 5-4
5.3.1 Approach to Mainline Widening Analysis 5-5
5.3.2 Locations Warranting Additional Capacity 5-5
5.3.3 Engineering Considerations for Widening 5-7
5.3.4 Analysis of Mainline Widening Concept 5-11
5.3.4.a Overall Feasibility 5-11
5.3.4.b Area 1 – Exit 54 to Connecticut River (Exit 69) 5-11
5.3.4.c Area 2 – Connecticut River (Exit 70) to Thames River (Exit 84) 5-16
5.3.4.d Area 3 – Thames River (Exit 85) to Rhode Island State Line 5-18
5.3.5 Mainline Operations Summary – Year 2025 Build Condition 5-21

5.4 Interchange Improvement Concepts 5-23
5.4.1 Generalized Interchange Improvements 5-24
5.4.2 Interchange-Specific Improvements 5-26
5.4.3 Analysis of Interchange Improvement Concepts 5-27
5.4.4 Interchange/Intersection Operations Summary – Year 2025 Build Condition 5-74
5.4.4.a Ramp Operations 5-74
5.4.4.b Weaves 5-78
5.4.4.c Signalized Intersections 5-79
5.4.4.d Unsignalized Intersections 5-81
5.5 Environmental Impact Summary 5-82
5.6 Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate 5-83
5.6.1 Estimating Methodology and Assumptions 5-83
5.7 Managed Lane Feasibility Analysis 5-86

6. Implementation Plan 6-1

6.1 Overview of Recommended Improvements 6-1
6.2 Near-Term Improvement Program 6-2
6.2.1 Speed-Change Lanes 6-3
6.2.2 Intersections 6-9
6.2.3 Interchanges 6-12
6.2.3.a Additional Analysis Requirements 6-14
6.2.4 Median Improvements 6-15
6.2.5 Near-Term Implementation Plan 6-16
6.3 Long-Term Improvement Program 6-17
6.3.1 Prioritization Strategy 6-17
6.3.2 Long-Term Implementation Plan 6-18
6.4 Environmental Considerations/Permitting Strategy 6-21
6.4.1 Regulatory Framework 6-21
6.4.2 Potential Environmental Permits/Compliance Requirements 6-23
6.4.3 Schedule and Cost Implications 6-23
6.5 Other Considerations 6-24

7. Products of Public Participation 7-1

7.1 Outreach Program 7-1
7.1.1 Key Stakeholders 7-1
7.1.2 General Public 7-2
7.2 Sources of Feedback 7-3
7.3 Public Recommendations and Concerns 7-3
7.3.1 Long-Term Improvement Recommendations 7-3
7.3.2 Near-Term Improvement Recommendations 7-5
7.3.3 Common Concerns 7-6
7.4 Continued Involvement 7-8

Tables

Table Number	Description	Page
2-1	I-95 Average Daily Traffic Volumes	2-2
2-2	I-95 Mainline Peak Hour Volumes – 2001 AM and 2002 PM Existing Conditions	2-3
2-3	I-95 Outside Shoulder Width Deficiencies (10' Minimum)	2-6
2-4	I-95 Mainline Grade Deficiencies (4% Maximum)	2-6
2-5	I-95 Mainline Degree of Horizontal Curvature Deficiencies (2º-45' Maximum)	2-6
2-6	I-95 Ramp Design Speed Deficiencies	2-7
2-7	I-95 Ramp Acceleration/Deceleration Lane Length Deficiencies	2-8
2-8	I-95 Entrance to Exit Ramp Separation Deficiencies (2,000' Minimum)	2-9
2-9	Freeway Section Analysis – Summary of 2002 Existing Conditions	2-11
2-10	Ramp Merge/Diverge Analysis – Summary of 2002 Existing Conditions	2-14
2-11	Weaving Sections Analysis – Summary of 2002 Existing Conditions	2-18
2-12	Signalized Intersection Analysis – Summary of 2002 Existing Conditions	2-19
2-13	Unsignalized Intersection Analysis – Summary of 2002 Existing Conditions	2-20
2-14	High Accident Locations Summary – January 1, 1997 to December 31, 1999	2-27
2-15	Amtrak/ACELA Express Daily Stops	2-31
3-1	I-95 Mainline Average Daily Traffic Volumes (ADT) Comparison – 2000 to 2025 (Two-Way)	3-3
3-2	I-95 Mainline Evening Peak Hour Volume Comparison – 2002 to 2005 (Two-Way)	3-4
3-3	I-95 Mainline Evening Peak Hour Volumes – 2025 Future Conditions (Two-Way)	3-5
3-4	Freeway Section Analysis – Summary of 2025 Future Conditions	3-6
3-5	Ramp Merge/Diverge Analysis – Summary of 2025 Future Conditions	3-9
3-6	Weaving Sections Analysis – Summary of 2025 Future Conditions	3-12
3-7	Signalized Intersection Analysis – Summary of 2025 Future Conditions	3-14
3-8	Unsignalized Intersection Analysis – Summary of 2025 Future Conditions	3-15
3-9	Comparison of Existing and Future Traffic Conditions – 2000 to 2025	3-17
3-10	2025 Forecasted Demand Compared to Capacity	3-19
3-11	Comparative Levels of Service for Freeway Sections – Existing vs. 2025 No-Build Conditions	3-21
4-1	Stream Crossings Along the I-95 Corridor by Town	4-3
4-2	Regulated Tidal Wetlands near I-95	4-7
4-3	National Register/State Register Listed Architectural Resources	4-17
4-4	Potential Section 4(f) Lands Adjacent to I-95	4-23
4-5	Generalized Land Use Along the I-95 Corridor	4-27
4-6	Environmental Justice Thresholds	4-38
4-7	Composition of Minority Population	4-40
4-8	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level	4-42

Tables

Table Number	Description	Page
5-1	Comparative Levels of Service for Freeway Sections – Existing vs. 2025 No-Build Conditions	5-2
5-2	Freeway Section Analysis – Summary of 2025 No-Build Conditions	5-5
5-3	Environmental Impact Summary for Area 1	5-12
5-4	Environmental Impact Summary for Area 2	5-17
5-5	Environmental Impact Summary for Area 3	5-19
5-6	Freeway Section Analysis – Summary of 2025 Build Condition	5-21
5-7	Environmental Impact Summary for Interchange Improvement Concepts	5-65
5-8	Park and Ride Lot Summary	5-74
5-9	Ramp Merge/Diverge Analysis – Summary of 2025 Build Condition	5-75
5-10	Weaving Sections Analysis – Summary of 2025 Build Condition	5-78
5-11	Signalized Intersection Analysis – Summary of 2025 Build Condition	5-79
5-12	Unsignalized Intersection Analysis – Summary of 2025 Build Condition	5-81
5-13	Environmental Impact Summary	5-82
5-14	Conceptual Construction Cost Estimate Summary – Improvement Concepts	5-84
6-1	Prioritization of Near-Term Speed-Change (Acceleration/Deceleration) Lane Improvements	6-4
6-2	Prioritization of Near-Term Intersection Improvements	6-10
6-3	Prioritization of Near-Term Interchange Improvements	6-15
6-4	Summary of Near-Term Improvement Program	6-16
6-5	Long-Term Implementation Plan	6-20

Figures

(Located in Attached 11x17 Document, *Final Report Figures*)

Figure Number	Description
1-1	Study Area Map
1-2	Study Process (shown on page 1-4 of this document)
2-1	Corridor Geometric and Safety Review
2-2	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis – Evening Peak Hour
2-3	Intersection Capacity Analysis – Evening Peak Hour
2-4	Existing Bicycle Routes in I-95 Corridor Study Area
3-1	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis – Evening Peak Hour 2025 No-Build Condition
3-2	Intersection Capacity Analysis – Evening Peak Hour 2025 No-Build Condition
4-1	Surface Water Resources
4-2	Ground Water Resources
4-3	Farmland Soils
4-4	Cultural and Sensitive Environmental Resources
4-5	Land Use
4-6	Environmental Justice Census Tracts/Block Groups
5-1	Conceptual Typical Sections, 4-Lane Section to 6-Lane Section
5-2i	Sheet Index for Figure 5-2
5-2	Corridor Improvement Concepts
5-3	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis – Evening Peak Hour 2025 Build Condition
5-4	Intersection Capacity Analysis – Evening Peak Hour 2025 Build Condition
6-1	Near-Term Intersection Improvement Concepts
6-2	Near-Term Interchange Improvement Concepts
6-3	Near-Term Median Improvement Concept
6-4	Near-Term Implementation Plan
6-5	Long-Term Implementation Plan