

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM¹

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to Capitol Region Council of Governments
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	17573915
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

¹ With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM²

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to Central Connecticut Regional Planning Agency
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	5744512
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

² With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM³

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to Connecticut River Estuary Regional Planning Agency
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	1099730
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

³ With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM⁴

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to Greater Bridgeport/Valley MPO
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	8025320
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

⁴ With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM⁵

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to Midstate Regional Planning Agency
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	2135706
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

⁵ With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM⁶

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to South Central Regional Council of Governments
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	14048105
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

⁶ With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM⁷

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Recipient name	Connecticut Department of Transportation Suballocated to South Western Regional Planning Agency
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	94944392
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	9215534
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	0

⁷ With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a "notice to proceed" or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	0

Information Requested	Connecticut's Data
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	3917797 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount from the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not tracked by MPO.
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	13800000 Note: This amount represents the aggregate amount related to the State STP/Urban bond account. These expenditures are not broken out by MPO.
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0

