Filtering Practices

Stormwater filtering practices capture and store stormwater runoff and pass it through a filtering media such as sand, organic material, or soil for pollutant removal. Stormwater filtering practices generally fall into two categories, which are described in this section:

- **Surface filters (including bioretention)**
- **Underground filters**

Stormwater filters are primarily water quality control devices designed to remove particulate pollutants and, to a lesser degree, bacteria and nutrients. A separate facility would typically be required to provide channel protection and peak flow control. Most filtering systems consist of four design components:

- **Inflow regulation to divert the water quality volume into the structure**
- **Pretreatment to capture coarse sediments**
- **Filter surface and media**
- **Outflow mechanism to return treated flows back to the conveyance system or into the soil**

Stormwater filtering practices are typically applied to small drainage areas (5 to 10 acres) and designed as off-line systems to treat the water quality volume and bypass larger flows. The water quality volume is diverted into a pretreatment settling chamber or forebay where coarse solids are allowed to settle, thereby reducing the amount of sediment that reaches the filter. Water flows to the filter surface in a controlled manner, where finer sediment and attached pollutants are trapped or strained out and microbial breakdown of pollutants (i.e., nitrification) can occur. Filtered stormwater is then collected below the filter bed or media and either returned to the conveyance system via an underdrain or allowed to infiltrate into the soil.
(i.e., exfiltration). Due to their similarity to infiltration basins, which were discussed in the previous section, exfiltration systems are not addressed in this section.

Stormwater filtering practices are commonly used to treat runoff from small sites such as parking lots and small developments; areas with high pollution potential such as industrial sites; or in highly urbanized areas where space is limited. A number of surface and underground stormwater filter design variations have been developed for these types of applications. Underground filters can be placed under parking lots and are well suited to highly urbanized areas or space-limited sites since they consume no surface space. As such, stormwater filters are often suitable for retrofit applications where space is typically limited. Stormwater filtration systems that do not discharge to the soil (i.e., are contained in a structure or equipped with an impermeable liner) are also suitable options for treating runoff from industrial areas and other land uses with high pollutant potential since the water is not allowed to infiltrate into the soil and potentially contaminate groundwater.

**Design Variations**

**Surface Filters**

**Surface Sand Filter:** The surface sand filter is the original sand filter design, in which both the filter bed and sedimentation chamber are aboveground. Surface sand filters can consist of excavated, earthen basins or aboveground concrete chambers (i.e., Austin Sand Filter). Figure 11-P4-1 and Figure 11-P4-2 depict schematics of two common surface sand filter designs.

**Organic Filters:** Organic filters are similar to surface sand filters, with the sand medium replaced with or supplemented by material having a higher organic content such as peat or compost. Organic filters are generally ineffective during the winter in cold climates because they retain water and consequently freeze solid and become completely impervious. Organic filters are not recommended for use in Connecticut and, therefore, are not addressed in this Manual.

**Bioretention:** Bioretention systems are shallow landscaped depressions designed to manage and treat stormwater runoff. Bioretention systems are a variation of a surface sand filter, where the sand filtration media is replaced with a planted soil bed designed to remove pollutants through physical and biological processes (EPA, 2002). Stormwater flows into the bioretention area, ponds on the surface, and gradually infiltrates into the soil bed. Treated water is allowed to infiltrate into the surrounding soils or is collected by an underdrain system and discharged to the storm sewer system or receiving waters. Small-scale bioretention applications (i.e., residential yards, median strips, parking lot islands), commonly referred to as rain gardens, are also described in Chapter Four of this Manual as a Low Impact Development practice. Figure 11-P4-3 depicts schematic designs of several common types of bioretention facilities.

**Underground Filters**

**D.C. Sand Filter:** This underground vaulted filter design was developed by the District of Columbia in the late 1980s. The D.C. Sand Filter includes three chambers. The first chamber and a portion of the second chamber contain a permanent pool of water, which provides sedimentation and removal of floatables and oil and grease. Water flows through a submerged opening near the dividing wall that connects the two chambers, into the second chamber and onto the filter bed. Filtered water is collected by an underdrain system and flows into the third chamber, which acts like a clearwell and overflow chamber (EPA, 2002). A schematic of the D.C. Sand Filter is shown in Figure 11-P4-4.

**Perimeter Sand Filter:** The perimeter sand filter is an underground vault sand filter that was originally developed in Delaware (also known as the “Delaware Sand Filter”) for use around the perimeter of parking lots. The system contains two parallel chambers and a clearwell. Overland flow enters the first chamber through slotted grates, which acts as a sedimentation chamber. Water then flows over weirs into the second chamber, which contains the filter media. Filtered water is collected by an underdrain system and flows into a clearwell before discharging to the storm drain system. A schematic of a perimeter sand filter is shown in Figure 11-P4-5.

**Alexandria Sand Filter:** The Alexandria Sand Filter, developed in Alexandria, Virginia, is similar to the D.C. Sand Filter in that it consists of three distinct chambers: a sediment chamber, a filtering chamber, and a clearwell. However, the Alexandria design replaces the permanent pool oil/water separator with a gabion barrier that filters and dissipates energy. This variation is a dry system designed to drain between storms. Figure 11-P4-6 shows a schematic of an Alexandria Sand Filter.

**Proprietary Designs:** A number of proprietary underground media filter designs have been developed in recent years. These systems consist of the
Figure 11-P4-1 Earthen Surface Sand Filter

Plan View

Elevation

Typical Section

Source: Adapted from Center for Watershed Protection, 2000.
same general configuration, with specialized filter media targeted at removal of various particulate and soluble pollutants. Most of these pre-manufactured systems consist of a sedimentation chamber and a filtration chamber that holds a series of canisters with replaceable/recyclable media cartridges. These systems currently are not considered primary treatment practices due to limited peer-reviewed data on their performance under field conditions. Proprietary filtering designs are discussed further as secondary treatment practices later in this chapter.

Advantages
- Applicable to small drainage areas.
- Can be applied to most sites due to relatively few constraints and many design variations (i.e., highly versatile).
- May require less space than other treatment practices. Underground filters can be used where space limitations preclude surface filters.
- Ideal for stormwater retrofits and highly developed sites.
- High solids, metals, and bacteria removal efficiency.
- High longevity for sand filters.
- Bioretention can provide groundwater recharge.

Limitations
- Pretreatment required to prevent filter media from clogging.
- Limited to smaller drainage areas.
- Frequent maintenance required.
- Relatively expensive to construct.
- Typically require a minimum head difference of approximately 5 feet between the inlet and outlet of the filter.
- Surface sand filters not feasible in areas of high water tables.
- Should not be used in areas of heavy sediment loads (i.e., unstabilized construction sites).
- Provide little or no quantity control.
- Surface and perimeter filters may be susceptible to freezing.

Surface filters can be unattractive without grass or vegetative cover. Bioretention may be a more aesthetically pleasing alternative due to incorporation of plants.

May have odor and mosquito-breeding problems if not designed properly.

Siting Considerations

Drainage Area: The maximum contributing drainage area for most surface and underground filtering practices is between 5 and 10 acres. Filtering practices can be used to treat runoff from larger drainage areas if properly designed, although the potential for clogging increases for drainage areas larger than 10 acres. Bioretention should be restricted to drainage areas of 5 acres or less.

Slopes and Head Requirements: Filtering systems can be used on sites with slopes of approximately 6 percent or less. Most stormwater filter designs require between 5 and 7 feet of head difference between the filter inlet and outlet to allow sufficient gravity flow through the system. Perimeter sand filters and bioretention areas require as little as 2 feet of head.

Soils: Stormwater filtering systems that return filtered runoff to the conveyance system and do not infiltrate into the ground can be used in almost any soil type. Bioretention designs that rely on infiltration can be used only when the soil infiltration characteristics are appropriate (see the Infiltration Practices section of this chapter).

Land Use: Filtering systems are generally applicable to highly impervious sites.

Water Table: At least 3 feet of separation is recommended between the bottom of the filter and the seasonally high groundwater table to maintain adequate drainage, prevent structural damage to the filter, and minimize the potential for interaction with groundwater.

Design Criteria

The design criteria presented in this section are applicable to surface sand filters, bioretention systems, and underground filters. Considerations for specific design variations are also included.
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Pretreatment

- Pretreatment should be provided to store at least 25 percent of the water quality volume and release it to the filter media over a 24-hour period. Storage and pretreatment of the entire water quality volume (also known as “full sedimentation” design) may be required for sites with less than 75 percent imperviousness or sites with unusually high sediment loads.

- Pretreatment generally consists of a dry or wet sedimentation chamber or sediment forebay. A length-to-width ratio of between 1.5:1 and 3:1 is recommended for the pretreatment area.

- The required surface area of the sedimentation chamber or forebay for full sedimentation design can be determined using the following equation (Camp-Hazen):

\[ A_s = \frac{Q}{W} \ln (1-E) \]

where:
- \( A_s \) = sedimentation surface area (ft²)
- \( Q \) = discharge rate from drainage area (ft³/s) = \( \frac{WQV}{24} \) hr*
- \( W \) = particle settling velocity (0.0004 ft/s recommended for silt)
- \( E \) = sediment removal efficiency (assume 0.9 or 90%)

*(between 25 and 100 percent of the water quality volume can be used for partial sedimentation design)

Design Volume

- Surface sand filters should provide at least 75 percent of the water quality volume in the practice (including above the filter, in the filter media voids, and in the pretreatment chamber) and be designed to completely drain in 24 hours or less.

Filter Bed

- The filter media for a surface sand filter should consist of medium sand (ASTM C-33 concrete sand). Grain size analysis provided by the supplier is recommended to confirm the sand specification. However, if other media are desired to address specific pollutants, pilot testing is recommended to determine actual hydraulic conductivity.

- The required filter bed area should be calculated using the principles of Darcy's Law, which relates the velocity of porous media flow to the hydraulic head and hydraulic conductivity of the filter medium:

\[ A_f = \frac{(WQV)(d)}{(k)(t)(h+d)} \]

where:
- \( A_f \) = filter bed surface area (ft²)
- \( WQV \) = water quality volume (ft³)
- \( d \) = filter bed depth (ft)
- \( k \) = hydraulic conductivity of filter media (ft/day)
- \( t \) = time for the water quality volume to drain from the system (24 hours)
- \( h \) = average height of water above filter bed during water quality design storm

Underdrain System

- A typical hydraulic conductivity value for medium sand is 20 feet per day. Laboratory analysis is recommended to determine the hydraulic conductivity of the actual filter media.

- The recommended minimum filter bed depth is 18 inches. Consolidation of the filter media should be taken into account when measuring final bed depth. The surface of the filter bed should be level to ensure equal distribution of flow in the bed.

- Mosquito entry points to underground filter systems should be sealed (adult female mosquitoes can use openings as small as 1/16 inch to access water for egg laying).
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necessary, see below) should also be placed below the stone or gravel layer.

- Cleanouts should be provided at both ends of the main collector pipe and extend to the surface of the filter.

### Impermeable Liner

- An impermeable liner (clay, geomembrane, or concrete) should be used for excavated surface sand filters when infiltration below the filter or pretreatment area could result in groundwater contamination, such as in aquifer protection areas or in areas with the potential for high pollutant loads (e.g., soluble metals and organics). Table 11-P4-1 lists recommended specifications for clay and geomembrane liners.

### Conveyance

- A flow diversion structure should be provided to divert the water quality volume to the filtering practice and allow larger flows to bypass the system.
- An overflow should be provided within the filtering practice to pass the 10-year design storm to the storm drainage system or stabilized channel.
- Inlet structures should be designed to minimize turbulence and spread flow uniformly across the surface of the filter.
- Stone riprap or other velocity dissipation methods should be used at the inlet to the filter bed to prevent scour of the filter media.

### Landscaping/Vegetation

- Planting of surface filters with a grass cover is not recommended since grass clippings can result in reduced permeability or clogging of the filter surface. Grass cover can also conceal the treatment structure or cause it to blend in with surrounding vegetation, thereby potentially resulting in decreased maintenance (i.e., out-of-sight, out-of-mind).
- Bioretention facilities generally consist of the following hydric zones:
  - **Lowest Zone:** The lowest zone supports plant species adapted to standing and fluctuating water levels and corresponds to hydrologic zones 2 and 3 in Table A-1 of Appendix A.
  - **Middle Zone:** The middle zone supports a slightly drier group of plants, but still tolerates fluctuating water levels. This zone corresponds to hydrologic zones 3 and 4 in Table A-1 of Appendix A.
  - **Outer Zone:** The outer or highest zone generally supports plants adapted to drier conditions. This zone corresponds to hydrologic zones 5 and 6 in Table A-1 of Appendix A.

(Claytor and Schueler, 1996). Plants should be selected to simulate a terrestrial forested community of native species. The following planting plan design considerations should be followed for bioretention areas:

### Table 11-P4-1 Liner Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liner Material</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Recommended Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>Minimum Thickness</td>
<td>6 to 12 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/sec$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particle Size</td>
<td>Minimum 15% passing #200 sieve$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geomembrane</td>
<td>Minimum Thickness</td>
<td>30 mils (0.03 inches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Ultraviolet resistant, impermeable poly-liner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: $^1$NYDEC, 2001; other listed specifications from City of Austin in Washington, 2000 (in Metropolitan Council, 2001).
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Use native plant species
Select vegetation based on hydric zones
Plant layout should be random and natural
Establish canopy with an understory of shrubs and herbaceous plants
Do not use woody vegetation near inflow locations
Plant trees along the perimeter of the bioretention area
Do not specify noxious weeds
Wind, sun, exposure, insects, disease, aesthetics, existing utilities, traffic, and safety issues should be considered for plant selection and location.

Winter Operation
- Surface sand filters and perimeter filters can be ineffective during the winter months due to freezing of the filter bed.
- Where possible, the filter bed should be below the frost line.
- A larger underdrain system (i.e., larger diameter and more frequently spaced underdrain pipes and stone or gravel) may encourage faster draining and reduce the potential for freezing during winter months.
- Filters that receive significant road sand should be equipped with a larger pretreatment sediment chamber or forebay.

Inspection and Maintenance
- Maintenance is critical for the proper operation of filtering systems.
- Plans for filtering practices should identify detailed inspection and maintenance requirements, inspection and maintenance schedules, and those parties responsible for maintenance.
- Filtering practices should be inspected after every major storm in the first few months following construction. The filter should be inspected at least every 6 months thereafter. Inspections should focus on:
  - Checking the filter surface for standing water or other evidence of clogging, such as discolored or accumulated sediments.
  - Checking the sedimentation chamber or forebay for sediment accumulation, trash, and debris.
  - Checking inlets, outlets, and overflow spillway for blockage, structural integrity, and evidence of erosion.
- Sediment should be removed from the sedimentation chamber or forebay when it accumulates to a depth of more than 12 inches or 10 percent of the pretreatment volume. The sedimentation chamber or forebay outlet devices should be cleaned when drawdown times exceed 36 hours.
- Sediment should be removed from the filter bed when the accumulation exceeds one inch or when there is evidence that the infiltration
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Figure 11-P4-6 Alexandria Underground Sand Filter

Source: Adapted from FHWA, 1996.
capacity of the filter bed has been significantly reduced (i.e., observed water level above the filter exceeds the design level or drawdown time exceeds 36 to 48 hours). As a rule-of-thumb, the top several inches of the filter bed (typically discolored material) should be removed and replaced annually, or more frequently if necessary. The material should be removed with rakes where possible rather than heavy construction equipment to avoid compaction of the filter bed. Heavy equipment could be used if the system is designed with dimensions that allow equipment to be located outside the filter, while a backhoe shovel reaches inside the filter to remove sediment. Removed sediments should be dewatered (if necessary) and disposed of in an acceptable manner.

- Bioretention areas require seasonal landscaping maintenance, including:
  - Watering plants as necessary during first growing season
  - Watering as necessary during dry periods
  - Re-mulching void areas as necessary
  - Treating diseased trees and shrubs as necessary
  - Monthly inspection of soil and repairing eroded areas
  - Monthly removal of litter and debris
  - Adding mulch annually

(Center for Watershed Protection, 2001).
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