

DATA TRACKING AND TECHNICAL FACT SHEET

PERMITTEE: Town of Wallingford

PERMIT, ADDRESS, AND FACILITY DATA

PERMIT #: CT0100617 APPLICATION #: 201709202 FACILITY ID. 148-001

<u>Mailing Address:</u> Street: 377 South Cherry Street City: Wallingford ST: CT Zip: 06492 Contact Name: Terry Smith Phone No.: 203-949-2677	<u>Location Address:</u> Street: 155 John Street City: Wallingford ST: CT Zip: 06492 Contact Name: Terry Smith Phone No.: 203-949-2677 DMR Contact email address: Terry.smith@wallingfordct.gov
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PERMIT INFORMATION

DURATION 5 YEAR X 10 YEAR ___ 30 YEAR ___

TYPE New ___ Reissuance X Modification ___

CATEGORIZATION POINT (X) NON-POINT () GIS #

NPDES (X) PRETREAT () GROUND WATER(UIC) () GROUND WATER (OTHER) ()

NPDES MAJOR(MA) X

NPDES SIGNIFICANT MINOR or PRETREAT SIU (SI) ___

NPDES or PRETREATMENT MINOR (MI) ___

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE YES X NO ___

POLLUTION PREVENTION X TREATMENT REQUIREMENT X

WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENT X OTHER ___

OWNERSHIP CODE

Private ___ Federal ___ State ___ Municipal (town only) X Other public ___

DEEP STAFF ENGINEER Catharine Chu

DATE DRAFTED: 10/23/2018

PERMIT FEES

Discharge Code	DSN Number	Annual Fee
111000d	001-1	\$2,682.50

FOR NPDES DISCHARGES

Drainage Basin Code: Water Quality Classification Goal: B

Segment: Quinnipiac River-02

NATURE OF BUSINESS GENERATING DISCHARGE

Municipal Sanitary Sewage Treatment

PROCESS AND TREATMENT DESCRIPTION (by DSN)

DSN 001-1: Primary Settling, Suspended growth anoxic zone, Rotating Biological Contactor Tanks, Secondary Settling, Denitrification, Anaerobic Digestion, UV Disinfection

RESOURCES USED TO DRAFT PERMIT

Federal Effluent Limitation Guideline 40CFR 133 *Secondary Treatment Category*

Performance Standards

Federal Development Document
name of category

Department File Information

Connecticut Water Quality Standards

Anti-degradation Policy

Coastal Management Consistency Review Form

Other - Explain

BASIS FOR LIMITATIONS, STANDARDS OR CONDITIONS

Secondary Treatment (Section 22a-430-4(r) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies)

Case-by-Case Determination (See Other Comments)

In order to meet in-stream water quality (See General Comments)

Anti-degradation policy

GENERAL COMMENTS

The Town of Wallingford (“Wallingford”) operates a municipal water pollution control facility (“the facility”) located at 155 John Steet Wallingford. The facility is designed to treat and discharge up to 8.0 million gallons a day of effluent into Quinnipiac River. The facility currently uses advanced treatment with UV disinfection to treat effluent before being discharged. Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. § 22a-430, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection has issued Wallingford a permit for the discharge from this facility. Wallingford has submitted an application to renew its permit. The Department has made a tentative determination to approve Wallingford’s application and has prepared a draft permit consistent with that determination.

Aluminum monitoring to be consistent with the most recent CT Water Quality Standards and Iron monitoring to be consistent with EPA’s National Recommended Water Quality Criteria have been continued in this permit. Water quality based loading limits (monthly average and maximum daily) for aluminum have been included in the permit.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE PUBLIC NOTICE PERIOD AND THE DEPARTMENT’S RESPONSES

The Department has received no written comments on the proposed action. (REVIEW BY MANAGEMENT ONLY)

Staff has reviewed the written comments and responded to the comments, no significant permit changes have been made. (REVIEW BY SUPERVISOR AND MANAGEMENT ONLY)

The Department has received and Staff has reviewed written comments on the proposed action and made significant changes as follows: (ADD COMMENTS, RESPONSES AND PERMIT CHANGES) (REVIEW BY PERMIT STAFF, SUPERVISOR AND MANAGEMENT)

SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS OR REVISIONS

The Department reviewed the application for consistency with Connecticut’s Water Quality Standards and determined that with the limits in the draft permit, including those discussed below, that the draft permit is consistent with maintenance and protection of water quality in accordance with the Tier I Anti-degradation Evaluation and Implementation Review provisions of such Standards.

The need for inclusion of water quality based discharge limitations in this permit was evaluated consistent with Connecticut Water Quality Standards and criteria, pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(d). Discharge monitoring data was evaluated for consistency with the available aquatic life criteria (acute and chronic) and human health (fish consumption only) criteria, considering the zone of influence allocated to the facility where appropriate. In addition to this review, the statistical procedures outlined in the EPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (EPA/505/2-90-001) were employed to calculate the need for such limits. Comparison of the attached monitoring data and its inherent variability with the calculated water quality based limits indicates a statistical probability of exceeding such limits for Aluminum. Therefore, water quality based limits for aluminum were included in the permit at this time.

A compliance schedule is included for the reduction of phosphorus in the effluent:

Phosphorus Permitting Approach

Phosphorus is a naturally occurring element that is essential to support plant growth. When present in excessive amounts, phosphorus can impair both aquatic life and recreational use of Connecticut's water resources. Excess nutrient enrichment is a serious threat to water quality in Connecticut. Excessive loading of phosphorus to surface waters as a result of discharges from wastewater treatment plants or non point sources such as runoff from urban and agricultural lands, can lead to algal blooms, including blooms of noxious blue green algae, reduction in water clarity, and in extreme cases depletion of oxygen, fish kills, and other impairments to aquatic life. Currently, 21 water body segments have been identified on Connecticut's List of Waters Not Meeting Water Quality Standards where nutrient enrichment is a contributing cause of the impairment.

The Connecticut Water Quality Standards (WQS) do not include numeric criteria for nutrients but rather incorporate narrative standards and criteria for nutrients. These narrative policy statements direct the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection to impose discharge limitations or other reasonable controls on point and non point sources to support maintenance or attainment of designated uses. In the absence of numeric criteria for phosphorus, the Department has developed an interim nutrient management strategy for freshwater non-tidal streams based on the narrative policy statements in the WQS to meet the pressing need to issue NPDES permits and be protective of the environment. The strategy includes methods that focus on phosphorus because it is the primary limiting nutrient in freshwater systems. These methods were approved by the United States Environmental Protection (EPA) in their letter dated October 26, 2010 as an interim strategy to establish water quality based phosphorus limits in non-tidal freshwater for industrial and municipal water pollution control facilities (WPCFs) national pollutant discharge elimination system (NPDES) permits.

The method in the interim strategy uses best available science to identify phosphorus enrichment levels in waste receiving rivers and streams that adequately support aquatic life uses. The methodology focuses on algal communities as the key aquatic life nutrient response variable and phosphorus enrichment factors that represent significant changes in communities based on data collected statewide. Ongoing work is currently being conducted to refine the approach through additional data collection and by expanding the methodology to include non-waste receiving streams. It is expected that the ongoing work will lead to numeric nutrient criteria for all freshwater rivers and streams in the next WQS review cycle. The current approach provides for a major statewide advancement in the level of phosphorus control that is expected to meet all freshwater designated uses. The adaptive nature of Connecticut's strategy allows for revisions to permit limits in future permit cycles without delaying action that we know needs to be taken today.

The current approach follows a watershed based framework incorporating many of the elements from the U.S. EPA Watershed-Based National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permitting Technical Guidance (2007). Consistent with the 2007 Guidance, the approach "explicitly considers the impact of multiple pollutant sources and stressors, including nonpoint source contributions, when developing point source permits". Expected current conditions are based on the probability of excess phosphorus export from land cover and municipal and industrial facilities in the upstream drainage basin. Connecticut's policy for phosphorus management is translated into a numeric expression through geo-spatial and statistical analyses that determines the maximum acceptable seasonal phosphorus mass load per unit area of watershed contributing flow to the point of assessment.

The goal of the interim strategy is to achieve or maintain an enrichment factor (EF) of 8.4 or below throughout a

watershed. An EF is representative of the amount of anthropogenic phosphorus loading to river and streams. It is calculated by dividing the current total seasonal phosphorus load by a modeled total phosphorus load under complete forested conditions at a particular point along the river. An enrichment factor is representative of the amount of anthropogenic phosphorus loading to rivers and streams. The goal of an 8.4 enrichment factor represents a threshold at which a significant change is seen in the algal communities indicating highly enriched conditions and impacts to aquatic life uses.

The analysis was conducted using benthic algae collected in rivers and streams throughout CT under varying enrichment conditions. The approach targets the critical 'growing' season (April through October) when phosphorus is more likely to be taken up by sediment and biomass because of low flow and warmer conditions. During winter months aquatic plants are dormant and flows are higher providing constant flushing of phosphorus through aquatic systems with a less likely chance that it will settle out into the sediment. Limiting the phosphorus export from industrial and municipal facilities offers a targeted management strategy for achieving aquatic life designated uses within a waterbody. The export of some phosphorus from facilities and other land sources is considered normal use of the land recognizing that humans are part of the environment.

A seasonal load was established by the Department for each facility discharging to non-tidal waters based on the current degree of enrichment of the receiving water body at the point of discharge and the facilities contribution to the total watershed enrichment at the point of discharge.

Wallingford WPCF Permit Requirements

A nutrient watershed analysis was conducted for the Quinnipiac River watershed below facilities discharging phosphorus into the river. The facilities discharging to the river include the Southington WPCF, Cheshire WPCF, Meriden WPCF and Wallingford WPCF. The seasonal (April 1st through October 31st) nutrient loading from each facility discharging to the watershed was reduced to achieve an enrichment factor of 8.4 or lower throughout the river.

The current enrichment factor at the Wallingford WPCF discharge is 66.2. The final proposed seasonal load allocation for Wallingford WPCF is 8.95 lbs/day. This load equates to a proposed treatment performance level of 0.2 mg/L multiplied by the average seasonal flow of 5.36 MGD. When this strategy is fully implemented by combining reductions at all facilities located in the same watershed, the NPDES load in the Quinnipiac River will be reduced by 93.4%.

Federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d) indicate that permit issuers are required to determine whether a given point source discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an in-stream excursion above a narrative or numeric criteria within a State water quality standard after consideration of existing controls on point and non-point sources of pollution. If a discharge is found to cause an excursion of a numeric or narrative state water quality criterion, NPDES regulations implementing section 301(b)(1)(C) of the Clean Water Act provide that a permit must contain effluent limits as necessary to achieve state water quality standards. The limit in the permit and the strategy are consistent with the narrative policy statements in the CT WQS and are expected to result in the attainment and maintenance of all designated uses for the water body when the strategy is fully implemented. If the Department develops numeric criteria in the future, or it is found that the current limit under the strategy is not sufficient to achieve designated uses, the goal will be modified and the WPCF will be expected to meet the more stringent water quality goal.

Translating the average performance level of 8.95 lbs/day into enforceable permit limits requires consideration of effluent variability and frequency of monitoring in order to comply with federal permitting regulations. The procedure used is as follows:

1. Consider the proposed treatment performance level (0.2 mg/L) to be equivalent to the Long Term Average (LTA)
2. Calculate the Maximum Daily Limit by multiplying the LTA by the 99th percentile LTA Multiplier appearing in Table 5-2 of the Technical Support Document (page 103 of EPA/505/2-90-001) corresponding to a CV of 0.6% to account for effluent variability:

Maximum Daily Limit: $0.2 \text{ mg/L} * 3.11 = 0.622 \text{ mg/L}$

3. Calculate the Average Monthly Limit by multiplying the LTA by the 95th percentile LTA Multiplier appearing in Table 5-2 of the Technical Support Document corresponding to a CV of 0.6% to account for effluent variability and either $n=4$ samples/month or $n=10$ samples/month as appropriate for the facility to account for the precision of estimating the true

monthly average based on an average for the days the effluent was sampled:

$$\text{Average Monthly Limit} = 0.2 \text{ mg/l} \times 1.55 = 0.31 \text{ mg/l}$$

With respect to the foregoing summary of limits, it should be noted that compliance with the Maximum Daily Limit or the Average Monthly Limit during the time the seasonal load limit is calculated will not ensure compliance with the Total Seasonal Load limit. For example, if the Permittee discharged phosphorus at the maximum permitted by either the Maximum Daily Limit or the Average Monthly Limit throughout the time that the seasonal load is calculated, the Permittee would exceed the Total Seasonal Load limit. For this reason, the Permittee must monitor compliance with the Total Seasonal Load limit independent of its compliance with the Maximum Daily Limit and the Average Monthly Limit.

WATER QUALITY LIMIT CALCULATIONS

See attached

APPLICATION FEE: Paid on 10/23/2017

PROCESSING FEE: Paid on 12/21/2017

ANNUAL FEE: Paid on 7/16/2018 (next one due on 8/1/2019)

PUBLIC NOTICE

Date of Public Notice: _____

Date Permit Cleared Public Notice: _____

Date Public Notice Fees Paid: _____