

From: [Maureen Boylan](#)
To: [Collette, Kenneth](#); [Bellantuono, Kristen](#); JFreeman@harborpt.com; drortelli@hotmail.com; jjosel@hotmail.com; [Golembiewski, Brian](#)
Subject: Additional Concerns
Date: Thursday, February 21, 2013 3:56:29 PM

All,

Yesterday's hearing is of great concern to our group as well as additional information regarding exhibit #17 being considered which we are adamantly against. The application should be considered on what was already submitted and not a an 11th hour submittal because this application is so incomplete and in-accurate. This project is being is being rushed through at a rapid pace in a five week time frame because our Governor wants this project rushed through, as well as Esty and McCleary do to.

Besides all the information that has been already submitted from our supporters, please see additional concerns we have listed below.

1. Drawings and charts submitted should be properly prepared and show the stamped seal of the engineer or surveyor who provided the information. These need to be checked and confirmed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This again is an incomplete and an in-accurate application if the engineers stamp and signature is not on the original maps.
2. No final decision should be made until safety concerns of the S.H.C., U.S.A.C.E., and commercial tug boat operators are satisfied.
3. Concerns about legal possession of the property and littoral rights along with the public walkway must be satisfied. Nothing has been settled with the City of Stamford despite JF remarks. This HAS NOT come in front of our city boards for approval and its is still "A PARK" and several people at the DEEP know this. Our boards have to approve transfer of property rights which has not taken place yet. The Mayor does NOT have sole control of transferring rights. The current legal brief written by City's Corporate Counsel from Joe Capalbo and Jim Minor to the Zoning Board regarding the Letter of Intent are being challenged as we are seeking legal action against these briefs.
4. Details and information need to be forthcoming as to how this proposal will benefit the local ecology and enhance "boating safety". Where are the studies? BLT has not provided any of these reports.

And to now add fuel to the dock is going to make the channel even more difficult to maneuver for tug boats to pass as you will have a line up of boats waiting to fuel, boats waiting to be pulled out of he racks, boats waiting to be serviced, the staging area will be more than the boat operator can handle let alone will boats will be extended out into federal waters.

This application should be denied based on ALL the information we have

provided.

Regards,

Maureen Boylan

Save Our Boatyard