

# Module 5 - Evaluation

## Train-the-Trainer Certificate Program



Connecticut Training & Development Network and Department of Administrative Services

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Module 5 Facilitators



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Agenda



- | Welcome/Overview
- | Why Do Evaluation?
- | Types of Evaluation
- | 4 Levels of Kirkpatrick Model
- | Summary
- | Evaluation

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Objectives

At the end of this module you will be able to:

- Identify the key components of a formative evaluation
- Describe all levels of Kirkpatrick's evaluation module used to assess training effectiveness
- Design a level 1 evaluation according to Kirkpatrick's guidelines

5

DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Objectives

At the end of this module you will be able to:

- Given learning objectives, design level 2 criterion test items
- Given learning objectives, design a level 3 evaluation
- Given a case study, describe how to evaluate learning using Kirkpatrick's level 4 criteria

6

DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

## Mager's Strategy of Instructional Development



DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
 No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.




---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

## ADDIE



DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
 No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Exercise 1

- 1 2 Groups
- 1 Group 1 discuss – Why should we do evaluations?
- 1 Group 2 discuss – Why don't we do evaluations?



DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
 No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tip #1

Only evaluate what you are going to act upon!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## 2 Types of Evaluations



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Formative

- Determine if curriculum meets learning objectives
- Provide data to revise curriculum
- Build support for program

“Evaluation designed to keep you from embarrassing yourself” – [McGarry](#)

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

- ## Formative Evaluation
- Look for:
- ▮ Objectives appropriate?
  - ▮ Material/exercises appropriate?
    - For learners
    - Tie to objectives
    - Proper amount
  - ▮ Pacing/time?
  - ▮ Topic Sequencing?
  - ▮ Details
    - Typos, colors, animations, etc.
- 14  
DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

"Evaluation designed to keep you employed" - [McGarry](#)

"Evaluation designed... to present conclusions... about the worth of the program..." *Dick & Carey*

15  
DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---




---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---




---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---




---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Exercise 2

- Develop how you are going to do formative evaluation of your project
- Share your plan at your table
- Report Out



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



**CONNECTICUT** Executive Development Program

**Practical Formative Evaluation**

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



**Summative Evaluation**

DAS Learning Center 21 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS. 

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Kirkpatrick's 4 Levels of Evaluation

From Wikipedia

Reaction	What they thought and felt about the training
Learning	The resulting increase in knowledge or capability
Behavior	Extent of behavior and capability improvement and implementation/application
Results	The effects on the business or environment resulting from the trainee's performance



---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

## How They Build on One Another

In Kirkpatrick's four-level model, each successive evaluation level is built on information provided by the lower level.

It is a progressive concept.  
**Usually.**



Comments formed and quotations from a detailed article from the Encyclopedia of educational technology: "Kirkpatrick's Four levels of evaluation"



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 1 Evaluation - Reactions

We ask the student, customer, attendee, etc. after they attend a program...

- Did they like it?
- Was it relevant?
- Etc....



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 1 Evaluation - Reactions



This is one of the most well known evaluation models in Staff Development, the **Smile Sheet**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 1 Evaluation - Reactions

Following Kirkpatrick's model; **every** program should be evaluated at least at level one

- Allows for feedback on the program and for improvements
- Positive comments do not necessarily assure good learning but negative ones reduce the probability and should be addressed

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tip #2

Nobody cares about positive smile sheet results but you!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tip #3

Pay attention to all negative feedback!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Exercise 3 - Level 1 Reaction

- Skim "Level One Evaluation: Reaction" handout
- Draft a Level 1 evaluation for your project. You may use the level 1 evaluation from your agency or any from the level 1 sample packet to design an evaluation for your project
- Be prepared to discuss with the class



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 2 Evaluation - Learning

- Second type in progression of evaluations
- Did the learner gain knowledge or improve skills?
  - There are several methods from the informal to the formal to garner information
  - Pretest and post test are examples of a way to measure
  - In-class activities and exercises are another



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 2 Learning

How do you know if participants are learning during your training?

From *Evaluating Training Programs* by Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick

31

DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 2 Learning

### Pre/post test

- Short evaluation with a few questions
- Evaluation questions based on learning objectives
- Same evaluation distributed at the beginning (pre) and end of class (post)
- Compare pre-test results to post-test results.
  - How many people scored higher on the post-tests?
  - Post-tests results should indicate that more people scored higher than on the pre-test.
  - Higher scores on the post-test are a good indication that learning took place in the classroom

32

DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Pre/Post Test

- Use a pencil-and-paper test to measure knowledge
- Use a performance test to measure skills
- Criterion-Referenced (Standard)

33

DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Relevance of Level 2 Evaluation

- Ensures participants learned what you intended to teach them (did participants meet the learning objectives?)
  - The action stated in the learning objective is what you want your participant to learn and what you are checking for when you create classroom activities!
- Informs you about areas of the training that needs improvement or if the learning objectives need adjusting
- Pre-test results indicate how much your participants already know prior to training. Eliminate areas of training that are not necessary

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Level 2 Learning



Can't measure changes in behavior (level 3) unless learning took place in the training session.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tip #4

If your learners aren't learning,  
your program needs work!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tip #5

If your learners aren't applying what they learned, your program needs defending!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## The Sequel

### Objectives

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Objectives – The Sequel

### Refresher

- Each objective will describe the (a) performance, (b) conditions, (c) standard:
  - a. What someone should be able to do
  - b. The conditions under which the doing will occur
  - c. The criteria by which the performance will be judged



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Objectives – The Sequel

### Hard Objectives

- Build a roadway
- Create a spreadsheet in Excel
- Identify a fraudulent document

### Soft (Fuzzy) Objectives

- Friendly customer service
- More tolerant of diversity
- Good understanding and application of ethics



40 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Objectives – The Sequel

- Friendly Customer Service



41 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2010-2011

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Exercise 4 – Project Objectives

- Groups of 4
- Review your project objectives
  - Performance, Condition, Standard?
  - Revise as needed
- Discuss evaluating those objectives
- Develop at least 1 evaluation question for a pre-post test or describe one in-class activity to use for your project
- Report out (Evaluating the objectives only)



42 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012

No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS.



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Things to Remember Level 2 Evaluation Items

1. The evaluation item must match the learning objective
2. Make sure you match conditions in the items to reflect those in the objective
  - If the learning objective says, with the use of a desk-aid, then the criterion-referenced item should include use of the desk-aid.
3. Harden fuzzy objectives



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Things to Remember Level 2 Evaluation Items

4. The number of items that are necessary for each objective depends on you how many items are necessary for you to say that the learning took place
5. If the learning objective lists something for the learner to be able to do, then the criterion-referenced item needs to ask them to do it
  - If you want an individual to be able to do a parallel turn while snow skiing, don't ask them to tell you the steps, ask them to do it
6. Keep level 2 evaluations as anonymous as possible



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Roadblocks to Transfer

- ▮ Break into groups
- ▮ Identify the roadblocks to transfer learning from the classroom to the workplace
- ▮ Report out to the class



---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

## Level 3 Evaluation - Transfer

- Third type in progression of evaluations
- Is the learner applying their new skills, knowledge back in their work environment?
  - Learning objectives define the outcomes to be measured



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Relevance of Level 3 Evaluation

- Documents that knowledge of skills learned in the classroom are applied in the workplace.
- Can't evaluate anything beyond a level 1 without having well-written learning objectives

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Guidelines for Evaluating Transfer

- There are several methods that can be used to obtain this data.
  - Surveys or questionnaires
  - Interviews
  - Focus groups
  - Work review
  - Structured observation

*A well-done needs assessment can be used as a level 3!*

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Evaluating Transfer of Learning

- Whatever method you chose, you must go back to the original course objectives from the course design to figure out what you should be evaluating and measuring
- There should be a direct correlation
- In good course development, the objectives are expressed in terms that assist you with the level 3 transfer evaluation.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

We are working on incorporating the suggestions you brought forward in the focus group. Now that a few months have passed, we are looking for any additional feedback you may have on the applicability of the skills provided in training.

2. How compelling the Applied Learning program, have you had opportunities to apply new skills, or do you feel that your skill has improved in any of these areas, due to the training? Select as many as apply.

- Application of Myers Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) assessment to interactions with staff or customers
- Application of Situational Leadership assessment to interactions with staff or customers
- Recruiting staff
- Improved staff awareness
- Leading during change, impersonally, one-on-one or with groups
- Managing a project
- Giving a presentation involving data
- Creating plans for a program or project
- Analyzing a work process
- Improving efficiency of systems or processes
- Improved relationships with peers, staff, leadership
- Improved confidence in leadership situations
- Setting goals and planning
- None of the above
- Other skills:

3. How would you rate the overall success and evaluations of the program for your situation?

- Excellent - exceeded my needs, using skills regularly
- Good - met my needs, applying skills when the situation arises
- Average - interesting material but not applicable for me
- Fair - program needs improvement, did not meet my needs

Comments (please include suggestions if you rated the program average or fair)

50

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Exercise 5



- Take 10 minutes to determine what method to use to do a Level 3 evaluation on your project and write at least one evaluation point (i.e.-observation, questionnaire, survey)
- In your table groups discuss and critique each other's evaluation method and question(s)



---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

## Level 4 Results

- Final type in progression of evaluations
- What impact did the program have on the agency (business)?
  - i.e. improved quality, customer satisfaction, reduced accidents, etc...



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Relevance

- Establishes worth of training
  - Quantifies improvements in quality or quantity as a result of the training
  - Establishes tangible benefits received for monies spent on training

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tip #6

Know the reasons why your client (agency management, etc.) really wanted a training program!

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



55  
 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
 No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS. 

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Guidelines for Evaluating Level 4 Results

- Use a control group if practical
- Allow time for results to be achieved
- Measure both before and after the program if practical
- Repeat the measurement at appropriate times
- Consider cost versus benefits
- Be satisfied with evidence if proof is not possible
- Training might give you short term results which improve or decline over time

56  
 From *Evaluating Training Programs* by Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick  
 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
 No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS. 

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Practice



- In your table groups read the **Case Study – Level 4 Results** and be prepared to answer the questions and discuss how you arrived at your answers.
- Be sure to record your responses on flipchart paper.
- Select a spokesperson from your table to present to the class.



57  
 From *Measuring Instruction Results* by Mager  
 DAS Learning Center & Connecticut Training & Development Network • 2012  
 No portion of this curriculum can be reproduced without the expressed permission of the CTDN and CT DAS. 

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Summary – Kirkpatrick’s 4 Levels

- The four evaluation levels types build on each other
- Levels 1 and 2 are the most used as standard training evaluation tools
- Level 3 is critical but underused
- Reserve level 4 to use as circumstances warrant

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

## Evaluation - Putting it all together



---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

## Evaluation - Putting it all together



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Practical Tips

1. Only evaluate what you are going to act upon.
2. Nobody cares about positive smile sheet results but you.
3. Pay attention to all negative feedback.
4. If your learners aren't learning, your program needs work.
5. If your learners aren't applying what they learned, your program needs defending.
6. Know the reasons why your client really wanted a training program.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Thank You



*Thank you for attending this training and sharing your thoughts, ideas and insights with us today.*

We were all teachers and learners.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Bibliography

Dick, Walter & Carey, Lou *The Systematic Design of Instruction*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Harper Collins

Kirkpatrick, Donald L., Kirkpatrick James D. *Evaluating Training Programs*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc., 2006.

Kirkpatrick, Donald L., Kirkpatrick James D. *Implementing the Four Levels*. San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc., 2007.

Mager, Robert F. *Measuring Instructional Results*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Atlanta, GA: The Center for Effective Performance, Inc. 1997.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---