



Daniel F. Caruso  
Chairman

STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

Internet: [ct.gov/csc](http://ct.gov/csc)

November 9, 2007

Joey Lee Miranda, Esq.  
Robinson & Cole LLP  
280 Trumbull Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-3597

RE: **PETITION NO. 831** – Waterbury Generation LLC petition for a declaratory ruling no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the construction of an electric generating facility and associated transmission line tap located at 725 Bank Street, Waterbury, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Miranda:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than November 26, 2007. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators.

Very truly yours,

S. Derek Phelps  
Executive Director

Enclosure

c: Council Members  
Parties and Intervenors  
Donna Poresky, Esq.



CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL  
Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

**PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES**  
**PETITION NO. 831 – WATERBURY**  
**WATERBURY GENERATION, LLC**  
**NOVEMBER 9, 2007**

1. Page 6 states there is a residential parcel approximately 1,000 feet southwest of the site. Is this parcel part of a developed residential neighborhood, sparsely developed neighborhood, or isolated among other land uses?
2. Page 6 states the City of Waterbury owns the abutting parcel to the west. What is the land use of this parcel?
3. Does the facility need to meet any DPUC requirement for on-site fuel storage? If not, please explain. If so, please provide documentation of the DPUC's requirement and WatGen's response.
4. Please describe the outcome of the October 21, 2007 meeting between WatGen and the local neighborhood group? What were the group's concerns? How were these concerns addressed?
5. Has the City of Waterbury submitted any written correspondence that reflects the City's views about the project? If so, please provide.
6. Page 2 of Tab 1 contains a table that lists Ansonia as the location of the WatGen project. Clarify this discrepancy.
7. Where is the existing natural gas source? Has WatGen determined the route of the natural gas line? If so, provide. If not, why not?
8. Are there any tower or pole type structures in the Metro-North ROW? If so, describe.
9. Describe the electric transmission structures in the existing CL&P ROW that runs east of the railway to the Baldwin Street Substation.
10. Has WatGen determined the route of the transmission line tap from the Metro-North ROW to the Baldwin Street Substation? If so, provide details.
11. What is the status of the wetland survey described on page 20 of the Petition?
12. Page 10 describes the figure in Tab 8 as depicting the Transmission Line Route. What does the figure actually depict? The route, project site, and substation are not identified.
13. Resubmit Visibility Analysis Figure 3 using a more detailed scale. Areas beyond two miles from the site can be excluded. Depict the stack location and major roads.
14. Provide an aerial photograph depicting visibility from locations within a ¼ mile radius of the exhaust stack. Estimate the number of residential parcels with site visibility within this radius.