

VIA HAND DELIVERY

April 4, 2011

Linda Roberts
Executive Director
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Docket F-2010

Dear Ms. Roberts:

The United Illuminating Company ("UI" or the "Company") appreciates the opportunity to participate in this docket and to provide its comments on the draft report issued by the Connecticut Siting Council (the "Council"). The Council has developed a thoughtful, comprehensive and analytically-sound report on the forecasted loads and resources in the State of Connecticut during the 10-year forecast period. UI has several comments and suggested technical corrections that are described below:

- Replace "As is to be expected, the utilities companies" with "As is to be expected, the utility companies" on page 1.
- The fourth paragraph on page 8 references Figure 1c. The figure does not appear in the draft report.
- The draft report describes UI's projected load reduction from 84.5 MW in 2010 to 53 MW in 2019. Draft Report, page 12. However, the "UI Conservation" data provided in Figure 3 provides only incremental load reductions instead of cumulative reductions as is done for the other companies.
- The reference to Cross Sound Cable as "450-kV DC" cable on page 25 should be "150-kV DC".
- Replace "New England news to add" with "New England needs to add" on page 29.
- In the paragraph concerning the Greater Springfield Reliability Project on page 32, replace "New 345-kv facilities" with "New 345-kV facilities".
- Replace "Naugatuck Valley 115 dV" with "Naugatuck Valley 115-kV" in Appendix B.

WIGGIN AND DANA

Counsellors at Law

Linda Roberts
April 4, 2011
Page 2

- Appendix C, "Planned Substations," includes several projects that have estimated in-service dates that have passed (e.g., the in-service date for the Stepstone Substation in Guilford is 2009).
- Replace "Modify the existing Union Avenue-Metro North 115 kV Substation in New Haven" with "Install new Union Avenue-Metro North 115-kV Substation in New Haven" in Appendix C.
- Appendix C indicates that modification of the existing 115-kV Pequonnock Substation in Bridgeport has an in-service date of 2013. The correct date is 2015. See UI's Report to the Connecticut Siting Council on Loads and Transmission Resources ("UI's Report") at 18.
- Please remove the following from Appendix C: "Rebuild existing 115/13.8 kV Baird Substation in Stratford" and "Rebuild existing 115/13.8-kV Sackett Substation in North Haven" to be consistent with UI's Report.

Please contact me if there are any questions with respect to this filing.

Very truly yours,



Bruce L. McDermott

cc: Service List