



Connecticut Siting Council  
 10 Franklin Square  
 New Britain, CT 06051  
 Phone: (860) 827-2935



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
 ENERGY FACILITIES SITING BOARD  
 One South Station  
 Boston, MA 02110  
 Phone: (617) 305-3525

**Connecticut Siting Council and  
 Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Board  
 Hearing Program**

CSC Docket No. 370/EFSB 08-2/D.P.U. 08-105/08-106  
 Tuesday, September 22, 2009 at 11:00 a.m.  
 Wednesday, September 23, 2009 at 10:00 a.m.

I. Opening by Council and Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Board

A. Statement read by Chair.

B. Motions (if any)

1. Objection of The Connecticut Light and Power Company to the Admission of Proposed Testimony of the Citizens Against Overhead Power Line Construction, dated September 17, 2009.
2. Objection of Western Massachusetts Electric Company to the Admission of Proposed Testimony of Citizens Against Overhead Power Line Construction, dated September 18, 2009.
3. Objection of ISO-New England Inc. to the Proposed Testimony of Citizens Against Overhead Power Line Construction, dated September 18, 2009.

II. Appearance by Applicant

Applicants: The Connecticut Light & Power Company (CL&P)  
 Western Massachusetts Electric Company (WMECO)

Representative: Anthony Fitzgerald, Esq.  
 Carmody and Torrance LLP  
  
 Edward Selgrade, Esq.  
 Law Offices of Edward L. Selgrade, Esq.

Catherine Keuthen, Esq.  
 Michael B. Meyer, Esq.  
 Meyer, Connolly, Simons & Keuthen, LLP

A. Administrative Notice.

1. Transcripts from the Hearing of July 21 (pp. 167-170); and Transcript of July 29, 2009 (pp. 69-74).

B. CL&P Exhibits for Identification.

1. Portions of the Application to the Connecticut Siting Council for Certificates of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Connecticut Portion of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project and for the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit

Springfield Reliability Project and for the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project by The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Volumes 1 through 11, dated October 20, 2008, specifically:

**SECTION - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

*ES.6.2 The Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for a 345-kV Line between WMECO's Agawam and Ludlow Substations..... ES-18*

*ES.9.2 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line..... ES-28*

*ES.10 Cost..... ES-31*

**SECTION E. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE GSRP AND MMP**

*E.2 Connecticut Portion of the GSRP and MMP..... E-5*

*E.2.1 GSRP Facilities..... E-5*

*E.3 Aerial Mapping..... E-11*

**SECTION H. ALTERNATIVE GSRP LINE-ROUTE ANALYSES**

*H.1 Routing Objectives and Criteria H-3*

*H.1.1 Routing Objectives H-4*

*H.1.2 Overhead Line-Route Analysis Criteria H-5*

*H.1.3 Underground Line Considerations and Route Analysis Criteria H-7*

*H.1.3.1 Technological Considerations for Underground Transmission Lines H-7*

*H.1.3.2 Underground Line-Route Analysis Criteria H-12*

*H.2 Route Analysis Process H-14*

*H.6 Connecticut Portions of the Massachusetts Southern Route alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line H-54*

*H.6.1 Background of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line H-54*

*H.6.2 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative Overhead Line Configuration H-56*

*H.6.3 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative Underground Line Route Variation H-57*

**SECTION I. TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED FACILITIES**

*I.3 Base Design of the Connecticut Portions of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative..... I-7*

*I.3.1 Conductor Sizes and Specifications..... I-7*

*I.3.2 Design and Appearance..... I-7*

*I.3.2.1 Segment 1 (CT/MA State Border – Connecticut River) – Cross-Section XS-S05 in Volume 10..... I-7*

*I.3.2.2 Segment 2 (Connecticut River - CT/MA State Border) – Cross-Section XS-S07 in Volume 10..... I-8*

*I.4 Line Configuration and Engineering Requirements..... I-9*

*I.4.2 Initial and Design Voltages and Capacities..... I-10*

*I.4.3 ROW and Access Way Requirements..... I-10*

**SECTION M. EXISTING ENVIRONMENT: UNDERGROUND LINE ROUTE VARIATIONS FOR CONNECTICUT PORTION OF THE NORTH BLOOMFIELD TO AGAWAM 345-KV LINE ROUTE AND CONNECTICUT PORTION OF SOUTHERN ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FOR THE AGAWAM TO LUDLOW 345-KV LINE ROUTE**

*M.5 Connecticut Portion of Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line Route..... M-34*

<i>M.5.1</i>	<i>Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative</i> .....	<i>M-34</i>
<i>M.5.1.1</i>	<i>Topography, Geology and Soils</i> .....	<i>M-34</i>
<i>M.5.1.2</i>	<i>Water Resources</i> .....	<i>M-36</i>
<i>M.5.1.3</i>	<i>Flood Zones</i> .....	<i>M-39</i>
<i>M.5.1.4</i>	<i>Biological Resources</i> .....	<i>M-39</i>
<i>M.5.1.5</i>	<i>Existing Land Use</i> .....	<i>M-42</i>
<i>M.5.1.6</i>	<i>Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings</i> .....	<i>M-44</i>
<i>M.5.1.7</i>	<i>Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources</i> .....	<i>M-44</i>
<i>M.5.1.8</i>	<i>Air Quality</i> .....	<i>M-45</i>
<i>M.5.1.9</i>	<i>Noise</i> .....	<i>M-45</i>
<i>M.5.2</i>	<i>Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation</i> .....	<i>M-45</i>
<i>M.5.2.1</i>	<i>Topography, Geology, and Soils</i> .....	<i>M-46</i>
<i>M.5.2.2</i>	<i>Water Resources</i> .....	<i>M-46</i>
<i>M.5.2.3</i>	<i>Biological Resources</i> .....	<i>M-48</i>
<i>M.5.2.4</i>	<i>Existing Land Use</i> .....	<i>M-49</i>
<i>M.5.2.5</i>	<i>Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings</i> .....	<i>M-50</i>
<i>M.5.2.6</i>	<i>Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources</i> .....	<i>M-51</i>
<i>M.5.2.7</i>	<i>Air Quality</i> .....	<i>M-52</i>
<i>M.5.2.8</i>	<i>Noise</i> .....	<i>M-52</i>

**SECTION N. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

<i>N.3</i>	<i>Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative from Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line Route</i> .....	<i>N-70</i>
<i>N.3.1</i>	<i>Overhead Line Route</i> .....	<i>N-71</i>
<i>N.3.1.1</i>	<i>Topography, Geology, and Soils</i> .....	<i>N-71</i>
<i>N.3.1.2</i>	<i>Water Resources</i> .....	<i>N-72</i>
<i>N.3.1.3</i>	<i>Groundwater and Public Water Supplies</i> .....	<i>N-72</i>
<i>N.3.1.4</i>	<i>Biological Resources</i> .....	<i>N-73</i>
<i>N.3.1.5</i>	<i>Land Use, Statutory Facilities, Recreational Resources, and Scenic Resources</i> .....	<i>N-74</i>
<i>N.3.1.6</i>	<i>Transportation and Access</i> .....	<i>N-74</i>
<i>N.3.1.7</i>	<i>Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources</i> .....	<i>N-75</i>
<i>N.3.1.8</i>	<i>Air Quality</i> .....	<i>N-75</i>
<i>N.3.1.9</i>	<i>Noise</i> .....	<i>N-75</i>
<i>N.3.2</i>	<i>Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation</i> .....	<i>N-75</i>
<i>N.3.2.1</i>	<i>Transition Stations</i> .....	<i>N-76</i>

**SECTION O. ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF)**

<i>O.4</i>	<i>Magnetic Field Measurements and Calculations</i>	
<i>O.4.2</i>	<i>The Potential Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line</i> .....	<i>O-38</i>
<i>O.4.2.1</i>	<i>Connecticut Border (Suffield) to Massachusetts Border (Enfield)</i> .....	<i>O-38</i>
<i>O.4.2.2</i>	<i>State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation</i> .....	<i>O-46</i>
<i>Appendix O-4. Tabular summaries of magnetic fields at AAL, APL and PDAL loadings and electric fields for the Southern Alternative Route for the GSRP</i>		

**LIST OF FIGURES SECTION**

Figure ES-8 Map Comparison of Corridors for the Preferred Northern Route and the  
 Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative ..... ES-19  
 Figure G-6: Potential Routes - Option A ..... G-24  
 Figure H-10: Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative ..... H-56  
 Figure H-11: Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation..... H-59

**LIST OF TABLES SECTION**

Table ES-3 Summary of Project Characteristics Connecticut Portion of Massachusetts Southern  
 Route Alternative..... ES-29  
 Table ES-4 Summary of Environmental Features and Potential Effects Connecticut Portion of  
 Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative from Agawam to Ludlow..... ES-30  
 Table F-1: Greater Springfield Area Generation..... F-26  
 Table H-5 Comparative Summary of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative 345-kV  
 Overhead Line and Enfield Underground Variation, Enfield..... H-60  
 Table I-1 Key to Towns Along or Near the Proposed Projects, Aerial Alignment Map Sheets ... I-9  
 Table I-2 Summary of Existing and Proposed ROW Configurations: GSRP and MMP..... I-11  
 Table M-11 General Characteristics of Soil Associations Along the Massachusetts Southern Route  
 Alternative and Underground Variation ..... M-35  
 Table M-12 Streams Crossed Along the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative ..... M-37  
 Table M-13 List of Wetlands Along The Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative ..... M-38  
 Table M-14 Vernal Pool Habitat Associated with the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative M-41  
 Table M-15 Road Crossings – Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative (Connecticut Only).. M-44  
 Table M-16 Streams Along the Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route  
 Variation ..... M-47  
 Table M-17 List of Wetlands Along The Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line  
 Route Variation ..... M-48  
 Table M-18 Road Crossings – Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route  
 Variation ..... M-51  
 Table O-8: Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields at ROW edge of Massachusetts Southern Route  
 Alternative in the vicinity of “focus areas” and ‘statutory’ facilities ..... O-44  
 Table O-9: Summary of Pre-NEEWS (2012) and Post- NEEWS (2017) EMF Levels at the edge of  
 the Right-of-Way at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Southern Alternative Route for  
 Agawam to Ludlow Line..... O-49

**APPENDICES SECTION**

**VOLUME 2: ENVIRONMENTAL-WETLANDS**

EX. 1: “Inventory and Delineation of Wetlands and Watercourses Along the Connecticut  
 Portion of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project” by ENSR

**VOLUME 3: ENVIRONMENTAL-CULTURAL RESOURCES**

EX. 1: Historical and Archaeological Assessment of Connecticut Sections of the Connecticut  
 Light & Power Company Greater Springfield Reliability Project

**VOLUME 4: ENVIRONMENTAL**

EX. 1: “Inventory of Potential Breeding Bird Species and Habitats Along the Connecticut  
 Portions of Greater Springfield Reliability Project” by ENSR  
 EX. 2: “Inventory of Vernal Pools and Amphibian Breeding Habitats Along the Connecticut  
 Portion of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project” by ENSR

**VOLUME 9: ROUTE MAPS**

EX. 1: Overview of Route on USGS Map  
 EX. 2: Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale

**VOLUME 10: ROUTE ILLUSTRATION**

EX. 1: Typical Cross Sections and Photo Simulations

**VOLUME 11: ROUTE PLANS**

EX. 1: Aerial Photographs – 100 Scale

2. Responses to CSC, specifically:

Responses to CSC Interrogatories (47 and 49) and Responses to OCC Interrogatories (1 and 2), dated April 17, 2009. (**Applicant Exhibit 5 in CSC proceeding**)

- a. (Bulk File-question 49) Supplement to CL&P's Field Management Design Plan specific to the CT portion of the MA Southern Route Alternative, dated March 20, 2009

3. Portions of Pre-filed Testimony, dated July 7, 2009, specifically:

- a. Robert E. Carberry and Scott E. Newland (**Applicant Exhibit 16b in CSC proceeding**)

<i>SECTION 3.0</i>	<i>The Connecticut Portion of the "Southern" Alternate to the Agawam to Ludlow Northern</i>	
<i>SECTION 5.0</i>	<i>Electric &amp; Magnetic Fields</i>	
	<i>B. Field Management Design Plan</i>	
	<i>(ii) FDMP for Connecticut Portion of Southern Route Alternative.....</i>	<i>41</i>
	<i>C. Analysis of Rebuttable Presumption for Statutory Facilities .....</i>	<i>44</i>
	<i>(ii) Connecticut Portion of Southern Route Alternative .....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>SECTION 7.0</i>	<i>Compliance with Statutory and BMP .....</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>SECTION 9.0</i>	<i>Municipal Consultations .....</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>SECTION 10.0</i>	<i>Public Notices, Outreach and Comments.....</i>	<i>64</i>

4. Portions of Pre-filed Testimony, dated July 7, 2009, specifically:

- a. Louise Mango (**Applicant Exhibit 16c in CSC proceeding**)

*THE INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY SECTION*

<i>SECTION 7.</i>	<i>The Connecticut Portion of the "Southern Route Alternative" .....</i>	<i>43</i>
-------------------	--	-----------

C. WMECO Exhibits for Identification.

- 1. Portions of the EFSB Petition, specifically (CSC Bulk Filed): (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-1**)

**SECTION 1.0 PROJECT OVERVIEW**

<i>1.6</i>	<i>Route and Ancillary Facility Selection .....</i>	<i>1-16</i>
<i>1.6.1</i>	<i>WMECO's Review of Configuration and Siting Alternatives.....</i>	<i>1-16</i>
<i>1.6.2</i>	<i>WMECO's Route Selection Methodology.....</i>	<i>1-17</i>
<i>1.6.3</i>	<i>North Bloomfield Substation to Ludlow Substation Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes.....</i>	<i>1-17</i>
<i>1.7</i>	<i>Comparison of Preferred and Noticed-Alternative 345-kV Line Routes.....</i>	<i>1-22</i>

**SECTION 1 EXHIBITS**

<i>EX. 1.2:</i>	<i>Overview of Route on USGS Maps</i>
-----------------	---------------------------------------

**SECTION 3.0 PROJECT APPROACHES TO MEET NEED**

3.4 *Comparison of the Four Finalist Transmission Alternatives on the Basis of Costs, Impacts and Reliability: Variation 6a or 6b on the Northern and the Southern Route*..... 3-49

3.4.2 *Northern Route Versus Southern Route: Select North Principally on Basis of Costs and Impacts*..... 3-56

3.5 *Final Cost Comparisons for Options 6a North (with and without cables), 6a South (with and without cables), 6b South (with and without cables) and 7a South* ..... 3-68

**SECTION 4.0 ROUTE AND ANCILLARY FACILITY SITE SELECTION FOR 345-kV TRANSMISSION LINES**

4.1 *Standard of Review: "Other Site Locations"* ..... 4-1

4.2 *Overview of Siting Methodology*..... 4-2

4.2.1 *The Project*..... 4-2

4.2.2 *Summary of Route and Ancillary Facility Selection Process* ..... 4-5

4.3 *Study Area and 345-kV Route Options* ..... 4-6

4.4 *Route Selection Objectives*..... 4-8

4.5 *Route Selection Options*..... 4-11

4.6 *Criteria Analysis of the Feasible Route Options* ..... 4-12

4.6.1 *Route Evaluation Criteria and Scoring* ..... 4-13

4.6.2 *Description of Potential Routes*..... 4-17

4.6.3 *Analysis and Criteria Scoring of Route Options* ..... 4-24

4.6.4 *Cost Estimates*..... 4-32

4.6.5 *Reliability*..... 4-33

4.6.6 *Impact, Cost, and Reliability Conclusions on 345-kV Line-Route Selection*..... 4-34

4.6.7 *Description and Selection of Potential 345-kV Underground Line Route for the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route – South Agawam Switching Station to Agawam Substation (Section 2)* ..... 4-36

**SECTION 4 EXHIBITS**

EX. 4.1: *Photographs along the Preferred Northern Route and Noticed-Alternative Southern Route*

**SECTION 5.0 COMPARISON OF PROPOSED 345-kV FACILITIES ALONG PREFERRED NORTHERN AND NOTICED-ALTERNATIVE SOUTHERN ROUTES**

5.1 *Introduction* ..... 5-1

5.2 *Standard of Review* ..... 5-3

5.3 *Environmental Comparison of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes* ..... 5-4

5.3.1 *Traffic/Transportation Infrastructure*..... 5-4

5.3.2 *Land Use* ..... 5-10

5.3.3 *Noise* ..... 5-21

5.3.4 *Visual and Aesthetics*..... 5-25

5.3.5 *Wetlands and Vernal Pools*..... 5-41

5.3.6 *Protected Species and Habitats* ..... 5-55

5.3.7 *Surface Waters*..... 5-61

5.3.8 *Drinking Water Supplies*..... 5-69

5.3.9 *Environmental Screening - Potential Sites of Environmental Concern (OHM)* ..... 5-73

5.3.10 *Electric and Magnetic Fields*..... 5-83

5.3.11	<i>Tree Clearing</i> .....	5-92
5.3.12	<i>Historic and Archaeological Resources</i> .....	5-96
5.4	<i>Substations</i> .....	5-100
5.4.1	<i>Ludlow Substation</i> .....	5-101
5.4.2	<i>Agawam Substation</i> .....	5-103
5.5	<i>Overhead Construction Methods and Schedule</i> .....	5-103
5.5.1	<i>Construction Methods</i> .....	5-104
5.6	<i>Noticed-Alternative Southern Route - Summary Comparison of Underground and Overhead 345-kV Variation</i> .....	5-106
5.6.1	<i>345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Reliability Comparison to Overhead Alternative</i> .....	5-107
5.6.2	<i>345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Cost Comparison to Overhead Alternative</i> .....	5-107
5.6.3	<i>345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Impacts Comparison to Overhead Alternative</i> .....	5-108
5.7	<i>Cost of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes and Substations</i> .....	5-109
5.8	<i>Reliability of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes</i> ..	5-111
5.9	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	5-111

**SECTION 5 EXHIBITS**

*Exhibit 5.1: Typical Cross-Sections and Photo Simulations*

*Exhibit 5.2: Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale*

*Exhibit 5.3: EMF and Health: Review and Update of the Scientific Research December 2007 – June 2008*

*Exhibit 5.4: Electric and Magnetic Fields: Level Summary Tables*

*Exhibit 5.5: Electric and Magnetic Fields: Profiles*

*Exhibit 5.6: Environmental Sound Assessment Study*

*Exhibit 5.7: Ludlow Substation Drawing*

*Exhibit 5.8: Agawam Substation Drawing*

*Exhibit 5.9 Representative Construction Photos*

2. Portion of Pre-filed Direct Testimony filed with EFSB of Timothy B. Barton (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-TBB-1**); John C. Case (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-JCC-1**) Robert Carberry (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-** ); David Cameron (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-** ), William Bailey (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-** ); and Scott Newland (**EFSB Exhibit WMECO-** ), specifically:

*(Barton, p. 4, describing updated tables from sections 4 and 5 of the Petition; Case pp. 3-10, describing updates to scope/engineering affecting Northern Route and 115-kV upgrades for Southern Route)*

3. Responses to EFSB interrogatories, specifically:

*EFSB-A-14 through EFSB-A-17; EFSB-A-28; EFSB-A-33;EFSB-A-45; EFSB-RS-1 through EFSB-RS-11; EFSB-LU-1 through EFSB-LU-7; EFSB-LU-12; EFSB-LU-19; EFSB-LU-26; EFSB-LU-30 through EFSB-LU-32; EFSB-U-48; EFSB-V-1through EFSB-V-10; EFSB-V-51 through EFSB-V-53; EFSB-V-61; EFSB-NO-1 through EFSB-NO-5;*

*EFSB-NO-11; EFSB-NO-12 (These items are listed as their respective exhibit number with EFSB.)*

4. Sections of Draft Environmental Impact Report filed with EFSB, specifically: **(EFSB Exhibit EFSB-4)**

*SECTION 2.0 Project Description*

<i>2.1.2. The Preferred Northern Route (345-kV Loop) – Springfield to North Bloomfield</i>	<i>2-2</i>
<i>2.1.3. Re-Building 115-kV Lines and Distribution Lines</i>	<i>2-4</i>
<i>2.1.4. Switching Stations and Substations</i>	<i>2-7</i>

*SECTION 3.0 Project Alternative*

<i>3.3 Consideration of the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route</i>	<i>3-4</i>
<i>3.3.1 Description of the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route</i>	<i>3-4</i>
<i>3.3.2 Comparison to the Preferred Northern Route</i>	<i>3-7</i>

C. Joint CL&P and WMECO Exhibits for Identification

- J-CL&P-1. State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, dated July 15, 2009

D. Swear Witnesses

1. Robert E. Carberry, Manager – Project Manager, NEEWS Siting and Permitting, Northeast Utilities Service Company
2. Scott E. Newland, P.E., Project Manager – Transmission & Distribution Division, Northeast Utilities Service Company
3. Louise F. Mango, Environmental Consultant, Phenix Environmental, Inc.
4. William H. Bailey, Ph.D., Principal Scientist, Director, Exponent, Inc.
5. John C. Case, Project Manager – NEEWS Engineering, Northeast Utilities Service Company
6. Timothy Barton, Burns & McDonnell
7. David Cameron, AECOM Environment

E. Full Exhibits - Verification of exhibits by witnesses and admission by the Council.

F. Cross Examination.

1. Competing Applicant: NRG Energy, Inc. (Atty. Lord/Atty. Kleefeld)
2. Party: CT Attorney General (Atty. Wertheimer)
3. Party: Town of East Granby (Atty. Holtman)
4. Party: Town of Suffield (Atty. McAnaney)
5. Intervenor (CT/MA): ISO New England Inc. (Atty. Macleod, Kevin Flynn, and Atty. Krathwohl)
6. Party: Office of Consumer Counsel (Atty. Johnson/Atty. Hackett)
7. Intervenor: Ice Energy, Inc. (Atty. Humes)
8. Party: Town of Enfield (Atty. Deneen)
9. Party: City of Meriden (Atty. Moore)
10. Party: The United Illuminating Company (Atty. McDermott)

11. Intervenor: CEAB (Atty. Riverso)
12. Party: Department of Transportation (Atty. Meskill)
13. Intervenor: Farmington River Watershed Association (Ms. Fielding)
14. Party: Citizens Against Overhead Power Line Construction  
(Mr. Legere/Atty. McGrath)
15. Intervenor (CT/MA): Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company (Bruce  
Anderson and Atty. Scobbo)
16. Party (MA): Ashley Jones
17. Participant (MA): David Sterling
18. Participant (MA): Westover Air Reserve Base (Evelyn R. Nackman, Maj. USAF)
19. Participant (MA): MA Attorney General (Attorney Jed Nosal)
20. Participant (MA): Richard Werbiskis, West Springfield Planning Dept.
21. Participant (MA): Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant (Atty. John Welch)
22. Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Board (EFSB)
23. Council.

---

III. Appearance by Party: Citizens Against Overhead Power Line Construction  
(CAOPLC)

Representatives: Richard Legere  
Attorney Matthew C. McGrath

A. Administrative Notice.

- 1.
- 2.

B. Exhibits for Identification.

1. Testimony of Richard Legere, dated September 15, 2009
- 2.
- 3.

C. Swear Witnesses

1. Richard Legere

D. Full Exhibits - Verification of exhibits by witnesses and admission by the Council.

E. Cross Examination.

1. Co-Applicants: The Connecticut Light and Power Company (Atty. Fitzgerald)  
and the Western Massachusetts Electric Company (Atty. Edward  
Selgrade, Atty. Keuthen and Atty. Meyer)
2. Competing Applicant: NRG Energy, Inc. (Atty. Lord/Atty. Kleefeld)
3. Party: CT Attorney General (Atty. Wertheimer)
4. Party: Town of East Granby (Atty. Holtman)

5. Party: Town of Suffield (Atty. McAnaney)
6. Intervenor (CT/MA): ISO New England Inc. (Atty. Macleod, Kevin Flynn,  
and Atty. Krathwohl)
7. Party: Office of Consumer Counsel (Atty. Johnson/Atty. Hackett)
8. Intervenor: Ice Energy, Inc. (Atty. Humes)
9. Party: Town of Enfield (Atty. Deneen)
10. Party: City of Meriden (Atty. Moore)
11. Party: The United Illuminating Company (Atty. McDermott)
12. Intervenor: CEAB (Atty. Riverso)
13. Party: Department of Transportation (Atty. Meskill)
14. Intervenor: Farmington River Watershed Association (Ms. Fielding)
15. Intervenor (CT/MA): Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company (Bruce  
Anderson and Atty. Scobbo)
16. Party (MA): Ashley Jones
17. Participant (MA): David Sterling
18. Participant (MA): Westover Air Reserve Base (Evelyn R. Nackman, Maj. USAF)
19. Participant (MA): MA Attorney General (Attorney Jed Nosal)
20. Participant (MA): Richard Werbiskis, West Springfield Planning Dept.
21. Participant (MA): Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant (Atty. John Welch)
22. Massachusetts Energy Facilities Siting Board (EFSB)
23. Council.