



Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

May 30, 2008

Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq.
Robinson & Cole LLP
280 Trumbull Street
Hartford, CT 06103

RE: **DOCKET NO. 360** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 188 Route 7 South, Falls Village (Canaan), Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Baldwin:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than June 16, 2008. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office and a .pdf file on a compact disc. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

c: Council Members
Parties and Intervenors
Sandy Carter, Verizon



Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES
DOCKET NO. 360 – CANAAN (FALLS VILLAGE)
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS
MAY 30, 2008

1. Did the Applicants receive return receipts for all adjacent landowners listed behind Tab 5 of the application? If not, was any additional effort made to make sure that notice was received by these property owners?
2. Who is the owner of the property on Map 15 Lot 20? The Abutters Map behind Tab 1 of the application shows Penny Fisher owning the property, while the list of adjacent property owners behind Tab 5 of the application shows John W. Wandell owning the property.
3. How many antennas would the town and Falls Village Fire Department install on the proposed tower? To what height would the top of the antennas extend?
4. Has Cellco calculated the predicted power density with the addition of the potential town's antennas?
5. Discuss the Wireless Communications and Public Safety Act of 1999 (the 911 Act) and the Enhanced 911 Act. How does the proposed site comply with these Acts?
6. Please provide more detail on the reason for rejecting the use of any of the CL&P transmission line poles off of Beebe Hill Road.
7. What is the height of the CL&P transmission structures near the proposed site?
8. Would installation of Cellco antennas at the top of, or increasing the height of, any nearby transmission line structures eliminate the need for the construction of the proposed site?
9. Has Cellco investigated the potential use of microcells, repeaters or distributed antenna systems to provide coverage to the existing gaps in Falls Village? Please describe the reason each of these technologies were rejected.
10. What are the dominate vegetation types at the host property? What is the dominate vegetation types surrounding the host property?
11. Would Cellco design the proposed tower in accordance with the Electronic Industries Association Standard EIA/TIA-222-F?
12. How much grading and filling would be required for the construction of the proposed site?
13. Is blasting expected to be necessary for the construction of the proposed facility?
14. What is the distance of the nearest point of the proposed compound to the nearest point of the future FVFD building?
15. Would a yield point be designed into the proposed tower to keep the tower from falling on the future FVFD building? If so, at what height above ground level would the yield point be designed?

16. Provide the owner's name and the address of the property that contains the nearest residence.
17. What is the name, distance and direction of the closest public airfield from the proposed site?
18. Please estimate the height of the proposed tower visible above the tree line for each of the photo simulations behind Tab 10 of the application.
19. What land use types would have year-round views of the proposed facility?
20. From what streets would the proposed tower be seasonally visible?
21. Would Cellco need an additional site to provide coverage north of the proposed site?
22. What is the existing signal level in the area of the proposed sites?
23. Provide the structure types, antenna heights, addresses, direction and distances of all Cellco facilities that would directly interact with the proposed site?
24. Would Cellco be willing to use a fuel cell at the proposed site?
25. Does Cellco have any plans to install fuel cells at any existing or future sites in Connecticut?
26. Provide a multi-signal level propagation plot (including the signal levels Cellco designs for), at a scale of 1:30,000, depicting coverage from the following:
 - a) existing sites and proposed site at an antenna height of 140 feet above ground level,
 - b) existing sites and proposed site at an antenna height of 130 feet above ground level.