



Daniel F. Caruso  
Chairman

# STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

Internet: [ct.gov/csc](http://ct.gov/csc)

November 6, 2007

Brad Gannon  
MCF Communications bg, Inc.  
733 Turnpike Street, Suite 105  
North Andover, MA 01845

Sandy Carter  
Regulatory Manager  
Verizon Wireless  
99 East River Drive  
East Hartford, CT 06108

RE: **DOCKET NO. 345** - MCF Communications bg, Inc. and Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located off Exeter Drive in Sterling, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Gannon and Ms. Carter:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than November 28, 2007. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 20 copies to this office. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. A list of parties and intervenors is enclosed. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

S. Derek Phelps  
Executive Director

SDP/MP

c: Council Members  
Parties and Intervenors  
Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq, Robinson & Cole LLP

**Docket 345: MCF  
Sterling, Connecticut  
Pre-Hearing Interrogatories, Set Two**

1. If requested by the Connecticut Siting Council (Council), could the proposed tower be designed with a yield point to ensure that the tower setback radius (i.e. fall zone) would remain within the boundaries of the subject property in the event of a tower failure? If yes, at what height on the tower (above ground level) would the yield point have to be located at?
2. Is Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless) proposing a platform-mount for its antennas? If yes, alternatively, could Verizon Wireless use T-arms or flush-mounting for its proposed antennas? How would coverage and capacity be affected by T-arms or the flush-mounted configuration?
3. Which airport is closest to the proposed facility? Provide the distance and direction to the airport from the proposed facility.
4. Would the applicant be amenable to providing backup power to municipal services that might share in the use of this proposed facility?