

February 20, 2014

Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, Ct 06051

RE: UI Petition # 1120

Dear members of the Siting Council,

We are owners of 192 Hawthorne Drive, Fairfield, an abutting property to the United Illuminating Substation, 180 Hawthorne Drive.

We were made aware of the UI Petition #1120 through the word of mouth by neighbors, who were notified of the Petition in December, 2014. According to the land records submitted yesterday by Town Attorney Stanton Lesser, as abutters to 180 Hawthorne Drive and according to Section 16-50j-40 of the Regulations of The Connecticut State Agencies, we should have received formal notification of this petition. We did not. None other than two of our neighbors were notified of the formal site visit on December 1, 2014.

We were also notified, via word of mouth, of a "Question and Answer Session" which followed a site inspection of the property on February 4, 2014. Although this Q&A was requested of UI by the Town of Fairfield, there was a generic power point presentation which included numerous 16x20 street view photos of the abutting properties of which ours was included. This indicates to us that we are recognized by United Illuminating as an abutting property, and cannot understand why we, to this date, still have not been notified of the petition.

It is quite concerning that UI continues to evade their responsibility to follow proper procedure as mandated in the Statues. We question whether or not United Illuminating is being forthright with the submission of this petition and therefore request that the CSC follow up with UI and demand explanation.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter. We look forward to hearing back from you with United Illuminating's explanation for their blatant disregard.

Sincerely,



Gary & Jackie Azarian
192 Hawthorne Drive
Fairfield, CT 06825
jazarian27@optonline.net

cc: Senator Tony Hwang
Rep. Laura Devlin
First Selectman Michael Tetreau
Stanton Lesser
Tom Dubrosk
Art Tournas
Vincent Giandurco

Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain Ct 06051

February 19, 2014

RE: UI Petition # 1120

Dear members of the Siting Council,

It was brought to my attention there is a petition issue by UI to modify the Hawthorne Sub Station in Fairfield.

I am an owner of a property abutting that property being 186 Schiller Rd , Fairfield Ct. Please see attached Quit Claim Deed and the land records recorded with the Town of Fairfield.

I was never properly notified of this Petition.

According to section 16-50j-40 of the Regulations of The Connecticut State Agencies, I should have had formal notification of this petition.

Please refer to letter dated November 6, 2014 (attached) stating "**each person appearing as record as an owner of the property**" ect.

I do not live on the property however I am an owner, as I have shown with the attachments enclosed.

This matter concerns me that UI did not follow the appropriate procedure when issuing this Petition.

If I may ask The Siting council to please follow up with UI and ask why I was left off the abutting property list and not receive proper notification.

Also I understand there was a Site visit of the UI Station with the abutters, on Dec 1st, 2014, and again I was not notified of this meeting.

I will wait to hear back from you regarding UI's Explanation on this matter.

Yours truly



Stacy Tournas
106 Woolsley Ave
Trumbull Ct 06611

QUIT CLAIM DEED/SURVIVORSHIP

QUIT-CLAIM DEED

ARTHUR P. TOURNAS, of Trumbull, Connecticut, for ONE DOLLAR AND OTHER VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, grants to his children, JASON TOURNAS, of Fairfield, Ct., and STACY TOURNAS, of Trumbull, Ct., and their heirs, successors and assigns forever, IN SURVIVORSHIP, with QUIT CLAIM COVENANTS:

ALL THAT CERTAIN piece, parcel or tract of land, with the buildings and improvements located thereon standing situated in the Town of Trumbull, County of Fairfield, and State of Connecticut, and being bounded and described in Schedule A attached hereto and made part hereof. Said premises are more commonly known 186 Schiller Rd., Fairfield, Ct.

THIS IS A QUIT CLAIM DEED WHICH IS BEING DONE TO CONVEY THE ABOVE REAL ESTATE FROM A FATHER TO HIS CHILDREN FOR WHICH THERE IS NO CONSIDERATION OTHER THAN LOVE AND AFFECTION.

SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) Any and all provisions of any laws, ordinances, governmental regulations including municipal regulations, public or private laws, and buildings and zoning regulations affecting the subject premises;
- 2) Any assessments or pending assessment for which a lien or liens have not yet been filed;
- 3) Real Estate Taxes due to the Town of Fairfield on the Grand List of October 1, 2012, paid in full. The Buyers specifically assume the real estate taxes due to the Town of Fairfield for the Grand List of 2013, as well as such taxes for all subsequent years, as part consideration for this deed,
- 4) Water and Sewer Use charges due to the Town of Fairfield, if any, which are current as of this date. The buyers specifically assume the payment of such sewer charges for all subsequent payments as part consideration for this deed;
- 5) Riparian or littoral rights of others in and to any streams, brooks or waterways passing in, through or under the subject premises;
- 6) Unrecorded public improvements or private association assessments and any unpaid installments thereof;
- 7) Such a state of facts as an accurate closed ground survey or physical inspection of the premises might reveal or disclose;

"No Conveyance Tax collected



Town Clerk of Fairfield"

ATTACHMENT 1: Tournas Quit Claim Deed

Search Results for Fairfield

Result For: [tournas arthur]

Number	File Date	Type Desc	Inst. Date	# Pages	Vol/Page	Town	Consideration
6570	05/15/2014 15:25:00	QUIT CLAIM DEED	01/01/1900	3	05180/348		

Volume	Page	Lot	Block	Addition
05180	348			L20 M2548 186 SCHILLER RD

Grantor	Grantee
TOURNAS ARTHUR E	TOURNAS JASON
	TOURNAS STACY

- Quick Document Viewer - (This option is not recommended for printing.)
- New 300i Printable Document - (Requires TIF Plugin) [Click Here for Printing/TIFF Plugin Instructions.](#)
- Download the Document Data - (Requires TIFF Viewer) [Click Here for Instructions.](#)

SOURCE: Connecticut Land Records, <https://www.uslandrecords.com> (select "Connecticut" from drop-down menu; then select "Fairfield" from drop-down; search for "Business/Last Name" for "Tournas and search "First Name" for "Arthur"; then follow "Tournas Arthur P" hyperlink.

Connecticut Siting Council

10 Franklin Square

New Britain Ct 07901

RE: **PETITION NO. 1120** – The United Illuminating Company petition for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the proposed modifications to the Hawthorne Substation located at 180 Hawthorne Drive, Fairfield, Connecticut.

Dear Connecticut Siting Council Members

The Concerned Citizens of North Stratfield, further know as The CCNF, are submitting the following facts in reference to the above petition dated Oct 30,2014 by the United Illuminating Company, further know as UI.

The Connecticut Siting Council, further know as "CSC" sent a letter to Richard Reed, UI's Vice president of Engineering & Project Excellence ,Nov 6,2014 stating that the above name petition was incomplete due to not submitting Proof of Service to the Town of Fairfield and Abutters to the property of 180 Hawthorne Dr, Fairfield Ct.(further known as the property). The csc specified in the letter that the Proof of Service must be submitted by Nov 15, 2014.

UI then forwarded Proof of service notice to CSC which showed four abutters & the town of Fairfield were noticed.

On Nov 25th a notice was sent out that a field inspection of the site/property would be conducted on Dec 1st, 2014.Only two abutters were notified of this meeting.

On Dec 2nd, 2014 sent to UI a Proof of service notice showing five addition abutters. Fact is this was after the date the CSC stated in their letter, dated November 6, 2014, that submission of proof of service must be by Nov 15, 2014.

The 5 addition abutters were notified of the petition only after the CSC at the Field Inspection on Dec 1, 2014, advised UI that obviously there are more than the four abutters than were originally sent the petition.

Ui still did not schedule another Field Inspection with the abutters which were not notified of the Dec 1, 2014 Field Inspection.

Without any further notice of a Field inspection or Neighborhood meeting by UI, the Town of Fairfield contacted UI and asked to schedule a meeting with the abutters and neighbors.

Only one member of the CCNS was notified of this meeting on Jan 23, 2014 and was asked to contact the other neighbors to advise them of the meeting which will be held on Feb 4, 2014 at Independence Hall in Fairfield.

Some of the neighborhood and abutters attended the meeting but because of the short notice given, many could not attend.

When UI was asked at the meeting why all abutters were not sent the petition they answered it was an oversight. Also asked to UI , why only 2 of the abutters were notified of the Field Inspection. A UI representative, said that is

not true. After a member of the CCNF presented a copy of the notice to the UI representative she then advised the other members of UI which were at the meeting, that it was correct only two abutters were notified. Again just an over site.

Again another excuse, by UI of not following proper CSC procedure and lack of UI to conduct Due Diligence prior to submitting the petition.

The obvious is that anyone who has conducted any type of site inspection, which we would concede that many UI top employees have, would have noticed that there are more than four abutting properties.

Since there have been some site clearing already done in the past few months the houses abutting are very noticeable from the sub station property . Clearly, three abutters on Schiller Rd which not only abut the property but the station as well and 3 others on Hawthorne, Drive which also abut the station.

In conclusion the CCNF feel that UI did not follow the proper procedures of the CSC and Section 16-50j-39a and section 16-50j-40 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agency.

Further during the Fairfield Meeting the neighbors advised UI that 2 abutters still have not been notified. As of this date these abutters still have not been notified properly of the petition by UI.

CCNF feels this shows lack of Concern of UI to our neighborhood and it is our opinion that UI cut corners at the expense of our neighborhood to push this project thru without giving the neighbors and the citizens of Fairfield clear, accurate and complete information of this proposal to Modify and increase the size of the Hawthorne sub Station.

In our closing remarks the CCNF feels the petition should be denied as submitted, due to the fact that the filing of this petition did not meet the Connecticut State Agencies section # 16-50j-40.

The above mentioned facts show the lack of concern by UI to their neighbors, who are the abutters, the north Strafield section of Fairfield and the community of Fairfield as a whole.

Bottom line is that UI was negligence on follow proper State procedure and we feel it was done to try to proceed with this project without the neighborhoods full knowledge.

The CCNF have other concerns in regards to the petition and the answers that were submitted to the Interrogatories proposed to them by the siting council. CCNF will be addressing these concerns as interrogatories thru the siting Council.

We the CCNF submit these facts to CSC for their review to consider the UI petition #1120 as incomplete as submitted.

Yours Truly

The Concerned Citizens of North Fairfield