



UIL HOLDINGS CORPORATION

157 Church Street, New Haven CT 06510-2100
203-499-2000

Via Hand Delivery and Electronic Mail

March 23, 2015

Robert Stein
Chairman
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Petition No. 1120 – The United Illuminating Company Petition for a Declaratory Ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is Required for the Proposed Modifications to the Hawthorne Substation at 180 Hawthorne Drive, Fairfield, Connecticut

Dear Chairman Stein:

Please find enclosed the original and fifteen (15) copies of The United Illuminating Company's ("UI") responses to Intervenor Arthur Tournas' First Set of Interrogatories, dated March 11, 2015 in connection with the above-referenced Petition. Additionally, UI will electronically file all responses and attachments via siting.council@ct.gov.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (203) 499-2422 if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'B. McDermott', written over a horizontal line.

Bruce L. McDermott
Managing Counsel – Operations
UIL Holdings Corporation
As Agent for The United Illuminating Company

cc: Service List

Enclosures

Interrogatory TOU-1

The United Illuminating Company

Witness: Matthew Cloud
Ron Rossetti

Petition 1120

Page 1 of 1

Q-TOU-1: In UI's pre-filed Testimony dated 02/27/15 they say that "There will be minimal visual impacts to abutters."

Will UI please elaborate in more detail regarding the above and referencing the Visibility Analysis sent in as Pre-Filed Testimony from Arthur Tournas, Intervener, dated 03/04/15.

A-TOU-1: The referenced Pre-Filed Testimony (Frequently Asked Questions) was submitted to the Council for the record and was originally presented at the town hall meeting on February 4, 2015. See UI's response to Council Interrogatory CSC-006. The Company has no additional details to provide at this time.

Interrogatory TOU-2

The United Illuminating Company

Witness: Matthew Cloud
Ron Rossetti

Petition 1120

Page 1 of 1

Q-TOU-2: UI also answered to the question:
Will UI be providing screening of the new addition?
Their response "No vegetative screening has been included in the design as UI has an obligation to provide the lowest cost alternative.

Will UI please elaborate in more detail regarding the above.

A-TOU-2: The proposed fence line will utilize opaque slats to provide screening and enhanced physical security of new and existing equipment. For more information, see UI's response to Interrogatory TOU-1.