

Robert G. Grassi
Senior Counsel

PPL
Two North Ninth Street
Allentown, PA 18101-1179
Tel. 610.774.2907 Fax 610.774.6726
rggrassi@pplweb.com



July 10, 2009

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Michael E. Cassella, Chairman
Connecticut Energy Advisory Board
805 Brook Street, Building 4
Rocky Hill, CT 06067-3405

**RE: Docket F-2009 – Connecticut Siting Council Review of the
Ten Year Forecast of Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources**

Dear Mr. Cassella:

In response to your June 11, 2009 letter, enclosed please find PPL Wallingford Energy LLC's Responses to Connecticut Energy Advisory Board Interrogatories thirty-five through thirty-nine.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

Robert G. Grassi

Enclosure

PPL Wallingford Energy, LLC (“PPL Wallingford”) provides the following responses to the Connecticut Energy Advisory Board’s (“CEAB”) interrogatories propounded upon PPL Wallingford by letter dated June 11, 2009. PPL Wallingford does not waive any of its rights by voluntarily answering these questions and reserves all of its rights.

CEAB 35: Please indicate which of your Company’s existing and planned facilities cleared in the FCM 2 auction including the amount in MW that cleared for each unit.

Response:

Wallingford Unit 1 = 43.5
Wallingford Unit 2 = 41.367
Wallingford Unit 3 = 43.531
Wallingford Unit 4 = 43.353
Wallingford Unit 5 = 42.571

CEAB 36: Please provide your company’s estimate for planning purposes of the on-going annual revenue level required for continued operation of each facility. Please indicate whatever your Company believes the ISO-NE’s FCM auctions will provide sufficient capacity revenues.

Response: (A) In January 2003, PPL Wallingford and PPL EnergyPlus, LLC (collectively, “PPL”) submitted a reliability Must Run, Cost-of-Service Agreement (“RMR Agreement”) filing to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) for four of the five PPL Wallingford units. (FERC Docket No. ER03-421.) That filing, which is available at <http://elibrary.ferc.gov>, included fixed and variable cost-of-service information for the Wallingford units estimated at that time. On May 31, 2007, the RMR Agreement, as modified by a FERC-approved settlement (in Docket No. ER03-421), terminated by its own terms. The information filed by PPL Wallingford in the proceeding before FERC provides some previous historical information about PPL Wallingford’s revenue requirements. PPL Wallingford cannot predict the future revenues that will be required to justify the continued operation of the PPL Wallingford units, in light of the variable cost of fuel, labor and other inputs to production, and changes in environmental and other regulations.

(B) Based on information known at this time and experience with the first two Forward Capacity Market auctions PPL Wallingford does not believe that the ISO-NE’s Forward Capacity Market auctions will provide sufficient capacity revenues.

CEAB 37: Please indicate for each of your existing facilities assuming that there will be no RMR agreements after 2010:

- i. Anticipated retirement date, if applicable, in the 2009-2018 time period.

Response: Unknown at the present time, no retirement is anticipated during the period 2009-2018.

- ii. The impact of increased emission control, specifically reducing by 20% and then a total of 50% of the allowable NOx emissions on a BTU basis, on equipment investment and economic retirement decisions.

Response: The PPL Wallingford units are relatively new units that are already equipped with state-of-the-art NOx emission reduction controls and meeting restrictive permit limitations. Achieving the further reductions in NOx emissions identified in the questions may not be economically or technically feasible based on current technology.

CEAB 38: Please comment on CL & P's reference on pp.3 (and 18) of their 2009 Filing regarding the "1, 267 MW of oil-only fired generation that may likely retire" in Connecticut, as assumed in the 2009 IRP.

Response: PPL Wallingford has no comment on CL & P's statement.

CEAB 39: Please provide the type of fuel used at each unit reported in Docket F-2009 as well as the amount of fuel consumed at each unit for the most recent year, and for the previous four (4) years if available, corresponding to energy generation in MWh reported in Docket F-2009.

Response: The information requested includes commercially sensitive information. PPL Wallingford would be willing to provide such information under an appropriate confidentiality agreement.