



DYNEGY

June 30, 2009

By UPS STANDARD OVERNIGHT

Mr. Michael E. Cassella
Chairman
Connecticut Energy Advisory Board
805 Brook Street, Building 4
Rocky Hill, CT 06067-3405

RE: **Docket F-2009 Connecticut Siting Council Review of Ten Year Forecast of Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources: Bridgeport Energy LLC**

Dear Mr. Cassella:

Bridgeport Energy LLC (Bridgeport) hereby submits the enclosed responses to Connecticut Energy Advisory Board (CEAB) Interrogatories CEAB 35-39 Generators.

Should you have any questions or require any further information, please contact me at (845) 220-3995.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Ziegler
Asset Manager
Dynergy Inc.

cc: Marty Daley
Sam Krueger
Tim Muller
John Klopp

CEAB 35. Please indicate which of your Company's existing and planned facilities cleared in the FCM 2 auction including the amount in MW that cleared for each unit.

Bridgeport participated in the FCM2 auction. The ISO-NE maintains a record of all auction participants and the volume of MW's cleared by each participant.

CEAB 36. Please provide your Company's estimate for planning purposes of the on-going annual revenue level required for continued operation of each facility. Please indicate whether your Company believes the ISO-NE's FCM auction will provide sufficient capacity revenues.

The ongoing annual revenue level required for continued operation of Bridgeport is confidential, non-public and commercially sensitive information that for a variety of reasons cannot be stated in a public document. Bridgeport believes the trend of declining capacity revenue from the first two FCM auctions is detrimental to maintaining and upgrading existing assets and may deter investors seeking to construct new generating assets. Such concerns on the part of generators have been expressed to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), most recently in FERC Docket No. ER09-1282 by the New England Power Generators Association, Inc.

CEAB 37. Please indicat for each of your existing facilities assuming that there will be no RMR agreements after 2010:

i. Anticipated retirement date, if applicable, in the 2009-2019 time period.

Currently, there is no anticipated retirement date for Bridgeport. However, such commercial decisions in this regard are subject to change, and Bridgeport cannot speculate – especially in a public document – as to what commercial factors and decisions might lead to retirement of the facility (although, as noted immediately below, one obvious factor is increased costs of operating the facility).

ii. The impact of increased emission control, specifically reducing by 20% and then a total of 50% of the allowable NOx emissions on a BTU basis, on equipment investment and economic retirement decisions.

The impact of increased emission control on equipment investment is potentially significant for Bridgeport, and, presumably, other similarly situated facilities. Increased emission control capital investment is one of many variables considered when deciding whether or not asset retirement is necessary.

:

CEAB 38. Please comment on CL&P's reference on pp. 3 (and 18) of their 2009 Filing regarding the "1,267 MW of oil-only fired generation that may likely retire" in Connecticut, as assumed in the 2009 IRP.

Bridgeport cannot comment on oil-only fired generation, as Bridgeport is a natural gas fired combined cycle facility. As noted, however, in response to CEAB 36, the trend of declining capacity revenue from the first two FCM auctions is detrimental to maintaining and upgrading existing assets and may deter investors seeking to construct new generating assets; and as noted in response to CEAB 37.ii. , the impact of increased emission control on equipment investment is potentially significant..

CEAB 39. Please provide the type of fuel used at each unit reported in Docket No. F-2009 as well as the amount of fuel consumed at each unit for the most recent year, and for the previous 4 years if available, corresponding to energy generation in MWh reported in Docket F-2009.

Bridgeport utilizes pipeline natural gas.

Fuel consumed, in mcf:

2008	14,229,480
2007	18,157,214
2006	17,133,831
2005	19,032,356
2004	22,428,420