


107 Selden Street, Berlin, CT 06037
Select Energy
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141-0270
Phone: (860) 665-2926
Fax: (860) 665-2330

Frederic Lee Klein
Assistant General Counsel

June 17, 2005

Mr. S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051



Re: Docket No. F-2005 – Connecticut Siting Council Review of the Ten-Year
Forecast of Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources

Dear Mr. Phelps:

Northeast Generation Company (NGC) hereby submits an original and twenty (20) copies of its responses to the data requests from the Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc. dated June 7, 2005 in the above-referenced docket.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Frederic Lee Klein

cc: Joel Rinebold
Service List

NGC Response to Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc.

1. Q: Does NGC have any plans and/or conceptual schedules to upgrade its existing hydropower facilities in order to increase their capacity and/or capacity factor?
A: No.
2. Q: (i) Could any of NGC's existing hydropower facilities be operated in a manner that would qualify the units as a Class I renewable facility? (ii) If so, which units could be reclassified as a Class I renewable facility? (iii) What would be the effect on capacity and/or capacity factor if the existing hydropower were operated and reclassified as a Class I renewable facility?
A: (i) Yes
(ii) Scotland 2 MW
Taftville 2 MW
Tunnel 2 MW
Bantam .3 MW
Robertsville .6 MW
(iii) Effect on capacity and/or capacity factor is insignificant.
3. Q: Have the generators and/or utilities come to any agreement over the identification of the most appropriate technical mix of resources for conservation, demand response, generation, distributed generation, and transmission, or is there support for this mix to be driven entirely by the market?
A: NGC has not been involved in any such discussions.
4. Q: Have the generators and/or the utilities come to any agreement over the identification of the most appropriate public and/or ratepayer funding levels for conservation, demand response, and renewable/clean generation?
A: NGC has not been involved in any such discussions.