



111 Founders Plaza Suite 1002
East Hartford, CT 06108
Phone (860) 291-8832
Fax (860) 291-8874

Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc.

June 7, 2005

Maurice Scully
Executive Director
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative
30 Stott Avenue
Norwich, CT 06360

RE: Docket No. F-2005: Connecticut Siting Council Review of the Ten-Year Forecast
of Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources

Dear Mr. Scully:

The Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc. (CCAT) requests that the Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative respond to the enclosed interrogatories in the above captioned matter.

Pursuant to the revised docket schedule issued by the Connecticut Siting Council on May 19, 2005, responses to the interrogatories are due on June 17, 2005. To expedite review, please file responses as they are available.

If you have questions on any of the interrogatories, please contact me at 860-291-8832.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Joel M. Rinebold', written in a cursive style.

Joel M. Rinebold

c: Service List
Ms. Pamela Katz, Chair, Connecticut Siting Council

cah

Enclosure

G:\Energy\policy\Siting Council\Maurice Scully.doc

Connecticut Center for Advanced Technology, Inc.

Docket No. F-2005 – Pre-Hearing Interrogatories
Connecticut Siting Council Review of the Ten-Year Forecast of Connecticut Electric
Loads and Resources

What is the current status of the temporary 22.8 MW peaking project off of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard in South Norwalk, Connecticut, the subject of Siting Council Petition 662? Has that proposed project been constructed? If not, what is the expected timetable for the commencement of operation for that proposed generating facility?

What is the current status of the proposed 50 MW natural gas-fired electric generating project at the site of the existing South Norwalk Electric and Water (SNEW) facility, the subject of Siting Council Petition 663? Has that proposed project been constructed? If not, is it anticipated that all construction would be completed on or before October 7, 2006?

Does CMEEC or the City of Norwich have any plans and/or conceptual schedules to upgrade its existing hydropower facilities in order to increase their capacity and/or capacity factor?

Could the Norwich Second Street hydropower facility and or the Norwich Tenth Street hydropower facility be operated in a manner that would qualify the units as a Class I renewable facility? If so, which units could be reclassified as a Class I renewable facility? What would be the effect on capacity and/or capacity factor if the existing hydropower could be reclassified as a Class I renewable facility?

Have any of the municipal electric companies within CMEEC considered offering either a 100% or 50% alternative/renewable energy service, similar to the Alternative Transitional Standard Offer, to its customers/taxpayers? Have any of the municipal electric companies within CMEEC considered utilizing fuel cell technology to provide a Class I designated electric power option to its customers/taxpayers?

Have the generators and/or utilities come to any agreement over the identification of the most appropriate technical mix of resources for conservation, demand response, generation, distributed generation, and transmission, or is there support for this mix to be driven entirely by the market?

Have the generators and/or the utilities come to any agreement over the identification of the most appropriate public and/or ratepayer funding levels for conservation, demand response, and renewable/clean generation?